MCDR-MIFLOFE/22/2023-BNG-IBM_RO_BNG INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR inspection REPORT

Bangalore regional office

	Mine :	file No : KNT/BLR/FE/3	75,	/BNG Mine code : 30KAR03069
	(i)	Name of the Inspecting Officer and ID No.	:	S47) DR. Sudhakara T.L
	(ii)	Designation	:	Senior Mining Geologist
	(iii)	Accompaning mine Official with Designation	:	Sri.M.R.K.Bagawan , Mines Manager, Sri.T.Ramakrishna,
	(iv)	Date of Inspection	:	06/07/2023
	(v)	Prev.inspection date	:	24/02/2023
		PAF	۲ ۲ -	I : GENERAL INFORMATION
1.	(a)	Mine Name	:	SUBBARAYANAHALLI
	(b)	Registration NO.	:	IBM/4369/2011
	(c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h)	Category Type of Working Postal address State District Village Taluka Post office Pin Code FAX No. E-mail Phone Police Station First opening date Weekly day of rest	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A Fully Mechanised Opencast KARNATAKA BELLARY SUBBARAYANAHALLI SANDUR NANDIHALLI 080-22213172, info.mml@nic.in,mmliodsandı 080-22278814 / 15 /16 SANDUR 01/01/1980 SUN
2.		ess for espondance	:	M/s Karnataka State Minerals Corporation Limited Vaishnavi Farm House, Doulathpur Road Iron ore Sector, Sandur-583 119 Karnataka
3.	(a) (b) (c) (d)	Lease Number Lease area Period of lease Date of Expiry	:	KAR0199 80.06 50 12/04/2049
4.	Miner	ral worked	:	IRON ORE Main

l/25865/2024

5.	Name and Address of t	che	
	Lessee	:	Karnataka State Minerals Corp. Ltd
			TTMC, A Block, 5th Floor, BMTC Building, K.H.Road,
			Shanthinagar Bengaluru BANGALORE KARNATAKA
			Phone: 080-22278813-14-15-16
			FAX :080-22213172
	Owner	:	
			Karnataka State Minerals Corporatio 'A' block, 5th
			Floor, Shanthinagar
			Bangalore BANGLORE URBAN
			KARNATAKA Phone: +91 9448456789,
			FAX : 08022213172
	Agent	:	Mallikarjun Sarapur
			Karnataka State Minerals Corporatio 'A' block, 5th
			Floor, Shanthinagar
			Bengaluru-560027 BANGALORE
			KARNATAKA Phone: 08022278814/15/16, 8763673728
			FAX : 08022213172
	Mining Engineer	ſ	
	Name	:	Sharanandan,Full Time
	Qualification	:	BE IN MINING
	Appointment/ Termination dat		02/05/2019
	Geologist		
	Name	:	T. Ramakrishna,Full Time
	Qualification	:	MSc (Geology)
	Appointment/ Termination dat		01/07/2015
	Manager		
	Name	:	M.R.K.Bagawan
	~	:	Dip. In Mining,
	Appointment/ Termination dat	: ce	24/07/2020
6	Date of approval of M	Mini	ng : Existing rule 11 MCDR1988 22/12/1992
0.	Plan/Scheme of Mining		IngExisting fulle 11 MCDR198822/12/1992Existing rule 11 MCDR198829/11/1995Renewal under rule 22 MCR196020/12/2002Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR198823/04/2007Modif.of approved Mining Plan14/09/2010Modif.of approved Mining Plan27/09/2013Modif.of approved Mining Plan31/10/2014Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR198816/04/2015Modif.approved Mining Scheme04/03/2019
			MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016 12/02/2020

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
la	Backlog of previous year	NIL	9Nos Reverse Circulation (RC) was drilled and total Depth was 786.00 Mtrs.	4Nos of bore holes are proposed in the year 2020-21. In which lessee has carriedout 2 nos. of core holes in the year 2021- 22 with a total depth of 142.40Mtrs. During 2022-23, further lessee has carried out 09 nos. of RC Drilling with a total meterage of 786.0 Mtrs.
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	G2 -1.37 Ha.	_	The Entire 73.06 Ha.area covered under exploration.The 7.00 Ha. Area which falls under temporary dump yard(73.06 + 7.00 = 80.06 Ha.)(North side of the lease boundary)
lc	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	NIL	M/s Rock Tech Enterprises, Hosapete,Vijayanagar, Ground Floor, Kaushika, Annapurna Badavane,Chittawadgi,Hos apete Total Expenditure :Rs.56,59,200/- (15.07.2022 to 17-08- 2022 RC Drill)	As per the information provided by lessee during the inspection.
ld	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	Not applicable	-	-
1e	Balance reserve as on 01/04/20	-	Proved = 6,46,43,496MTs Probable =29,23,416MTs Prefeasibility Resources = 60,45,046MTs	_

1f General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc

From the recent exploration data and the geological cross sections observed that lease area consists of hematitic silicious ore (HSIO), same is not assessed by lessee as per the revised threshold value notification dated 25th April 2018. In view of this vide letter dated 13/09/2023 advised lessee to modify the Mining Plan by incorporating the reserve/resources as per threshold value notification.

Development :

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t.lease area	<pre>location of development :- N584.02mtrs.to N-1752.69mtrs. E-771.83mtrs. to E-1400mtrs.</pre>	N-600.00 mtrs.to N- 1740.00 mtrs. E-780 mtrs.toE-1400.00	Working between section 1-1' to J-J'
2b	in topsoil, overburden and	benches in	All the benches contains mineral & O/B	-
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio		1:0.07 Ore: 13,39,998 tonnes Waste: 97500 tonnes	Lessee explained that more waste handled due to intercalated waste in the ore zone.

2d

2e

2f

Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	No proposal	NIL	-
Quantity of overburden generation in m3	Cum = 29,747 Tonnes =59495	Cum= 48,750 Tonnes = 97500	-
General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc			The development carried out with in the proposed extent. However, between section line B-B' & F-F' mine benches are not systematically developed. The benches are advanced leaving behind BHQ/Hematitic Silicious Iron ore (HSIO) bands which is hampering free movement of men & machinery thereby creating safety concern. Violation pointed out under Rule 11(1) of MCDR, 2017.

Exploitation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production	One pit	Working in one pit.	The extent of the pit : L-1150.06m, W- 605.06m, D-82.00m
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	13,39,999 tonnes	13,39,998 tonnes	-
3c	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	±	Achieved	5% is considered as intercalated waste
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	No proposal	Not applicable	No mineral reject proposed & generated

1/25865/2024

3e	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.	No proposal	Not applicable	-
3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	No proposal	Not applicable	No sub grade mineral was generated.
3g	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	No proposal	Not applicable	-
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	Mechanized method proposed for segregating from ROM	Mechanized method adopted for segregating from ROM	Crusher plant - 250T/H 1Unit Screening plant- 200T/H 3 Units
3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects.	No proposal	-	-
3j	Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches	Drilling & Blasting proposed in mineral benches	Drilling & Blasting carried out in hard strata encountered.	Explosives ANFO= 32,500Kg. Slurry Explosives= 4,775Kg. OD= 85 Nos. Safety Fuse = 318Mtrs Detonator Fuse = 11,205Mtrs Excel Detonators (Nonel) = 1,574 Nos.
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	nos. Trippers/Dumpe rs: 22 nos.	Excavator : 08 nos. Dupers 25 nos. Loaders: 06 nos. Water tanker : 08 nos. Drilling machine : 01 no. Rock breaker : 01 no. Crusher/screening: 04 nos.	

Whether height Height of the Bench height & width

31

	of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	Width of the	maintained as per proposal	
3m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	Mining - 11.66 Ha.	Mining - 11.66 Ha.	-
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year.	1:0.04	1:0.07	Bulk density : Ore - 3.5, Waste-2.00
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	Retaining Wall - 0.66Ha.	Road = 0.50Ha. Green belt - 3.05 Ha Retaining Wall - 0.70Ha. Mineral Storage-	
3р	Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable	Proposal in Mining plan (In Tonnes) 2017-18: 29,99,934 2018-19: 13,39,992 2019-20: 13,39,998 2020-21: 13,39,999 2021-22: 13,39,998 2022-23: 13,39,999	<pre>In Tonnes 2017-18 : 16,85,498 2018-19 : 7,92,053.30 2019-20 : 3,42,356.29 2020-21 : 13,39,997 2021-22 : 13,11,240 2022-23 : 13,39,998</pre>	Figures as per Annual return submitted

3q General remarks
 of inspecting
 officers on
 method of mining
 etc.

Lessee has achieved the proposed production, however, between section line B-B' & F-F' mine benches are not systematically developed. The benches are advanced leaving behind BHQ/Hematitic Silicious Iron ore (HSIO) bands which is hampering free movement of men & machinery thereby creating safety concern. Violation pointed out under Rule 11(1) of MCDR, 2017.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
4a	of topsoil, OB		Separate dumping of O/B is carried out	No top soil & Mineral reject generated.
4b	Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps	Proposed Temporary Waste Dump (PTD-1) N-810.0 m. to 910.02 m. & E- 790.83m.to E-869.09 m.	N-813.56 m.to N-908.32m. & E- 792.35 m.to E-865.14	
4c	Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area	lease area	1 Dump Within lease area No Dumps Outside M.L.area	-

l/25865/2024

MCDR-MIFLOFE/22/2023-BNG-IBM_RO_BNG	PAGE	:	9

4d	Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	O/B Dump (PTD1) proposed within UPLNorth western side of the lease	ActiveO/B Dump (PTD-1) within UPL North western side of the lease	Entire M.L. Area is mineralized and hence temporary dumping was carried out.
4e	Number of active and alive dumps.	1 Dump	1 Dump	Dumps are Temporary
4f	Number of dead dumps.	3	3	Dump-1,Dump- 2,dump-3 all are Temporary dumps.
4g	Number of dumps established.	No proposal	NIL	
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.	Yes	Yes	-
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps		& Garland Drain 67.00 Mtrs. at PTD-1 Achieved retaining wall At Dump-D1	Proposed retaining wall and Garland drain achieved.
4j	Number of settling ponds	No proposal	Nil	5 No's Settling Tank with in lease area
4k	Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management			The existing dumps in the lease area are temporary and are within the UPL. Lessee has constructed toe wall and garland drain along the toe of the dump as per proposal.

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	No proposal	Nil	Pit is not matured for back filling as ore is not exhausted.
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	No proposal	NIL	NIL
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	No proposal	NIL	NIL
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	No proposal	NIL	NIL
5e	General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.			The entire lease area is mineralized and the pit is not matured for back filling.

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
6a	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	Yes	Submitted	Submitted on 28.06.2023
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	No proposal	NIL	_
бс	afforestation done (ha).	-	-	-
6d	No. of saplings planted during the year	400 Nos.	400 Nos.	Total Amount of Rs.1,46,000/-
бе	Cumulative no .of plants	-	6670 Nos.	-
6f	Any other method of rehabilitation	Nil	Nil	
бg	Cost incurred on watch and care during the year	Nil	Nil	

PAGE : 10

6h	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D	Nil	Nil
6i	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings	Nil	Nil
6j	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area	Nil	Nil
6k	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir	Nil	Nil
61	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means.	Nil	Nil
6m	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation	Nil	Nil
6n	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha)	Nil	Nil
60	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation	Nil	Nil

бр	Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)	environmental monitoring of ambient air	 Monitoring done by MSV Analytical Laboratories, Ballari. All environmental parameters were observed to be within the permissible limit.
бq	General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.		Lessee has carried out the PMCP activities as per the proposal. In the season-wise environment data submitted, environmental parameter values of air, water and noise are wtihtin the permissible limit.

Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	Grade wise mechanical sorting proposed within the lease area	Mechanical sorting by crushing and screening done within the lease area.	-
7b	Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.	Mechanical Method of grade wise mineral sorting proposed	Grade wise sorting done mechanically within the M.L.area as per proposal	-
7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.	Lumps & Fines	Lumps & Fines 60% to 62% 62% to 65%	-
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines	No proposal	No beneficiation process carried out.	No beneficiation process carried out except dry crushing & screening

7e

General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues Lessee has adopted crushing and screening method to separate the lumps and fines of various grades. However, mineral conservation of view, hematitic siliceous ore (Fe grade between 35% to 45%) to be utilized by blending with highgarde ore. In this regard, vide letter dated 13/09/2023 this office has advised lessee to reassess the reserve/resources as per the revised threshold value notification.

Environment:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	No proposal	No generation of Top soil	Working within the broken up area.
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	No proposal	Not applicable	-
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	of O/B (PTD-1) of proposed	Separate O/B dump (PTD- 1) carried out in North western part of the M.L. Area.	_
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	No proposal	Nil	Entire lease area is mineralized, ate present, no part of the mining pit is matured for back filling

1/25865/2024

8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	No proposal	Nil	Protective& safety measures are being carried out for management of temporary dump (PTD-1).
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	No proposal	6670Nos.	As per the information provided by lessee, about 6670Nos. sapling were planted so far
8g	Survival rate	No proposal	80%	-
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	sprinkling	Regular water sprinkling is done by water tanks to suppress the dust.	8 No's of 10 K.L. capacity of water tanker deployed in mine.
81	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area			The entire lease area is mineralized. Lessee has carried out plantation in the safety zone and greenery around the lease

Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	Monthly Returns SubmitteduptoM ay-2023 Annual Returns submitted for FY.2022-23	Submitted within stipulated time	Annual return submitted on 28.06.2023.

area is good.

9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Manager : Sri.M.R.K.Baga wan Mining Engineer : Sri.Sharananan da Geologist : Sri.T.Ramkrish na	All the officials were present during the date of inspection
9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	Waste dump - 3.83 Ha., Infrastructure	Appears to be correct
		Retaining Wall - 0.70Ha. Mineral Storage- 0.108Ha.	
		Untouched area = 23.52Ha.	
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation	Within M.L. area- 400 Nos.of saplings and 80% survival rate.	Appears to be correct
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)	NIL	

PAGE : 15

9£	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore	ROM Stock:-	Appears	to be	correct
		Lumps processed 55% to 58% : Nil 58% to 60% : Nil 60% to 62% : Nil 62% to 65% : 474348			
		Fines processed 55% to 58% : Nil 58% to 60%: Nil 60% to 62%: Nil 62% to 65%: 865650			
9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost	Production	Appears	to be	correct

9h	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets			to	be correct
9k	Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries	Excavator : 08 nos. Dupers 25 nos. Loaders: 06 nos. Water tanker : 08 nos. Drilling machine : 01 no. Rock breaker : 01 no. Crusher/screen ing: 04 nos.	Appears	to	be correct

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out						
	Violation observed Show couse position					
Rule I	NO.	Issued on	Compliance on	Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on	
MCDR17	Rule 11(1)	12/07/2023	10/11/2023			
MCDR17	Rule 34(1)	12/07/2023	01/12/2023			

Date :

(DR. Sudhakara T.L)

Indian Bureau of Mines