INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

Mining Plan Modification REPORT

Chennai regional office

| | Mine : | file No : TN/ALR/LST- | 13.1 | 1DS | Mine | code : | 38TMN38036 |
|----|---------------------------------|--|------|---|------|---------|------------|
| | (i) | Name of the Inspecting Officer and ID No. | : | MQ17) Matiul Islam | | | |
| | (ii) | Designation | : | Assistant Controller Mine | | | |
| | (iii) | Accompaning mine Official with Designation | : | R Ravikumar, DGM(Mines) & K | Shan | kar, QI |) |
| | (iv) | Date of Inspection | : | 07/03/2024 | | | |
| | (v) | Prev.inspection date | : | 17/10/2016 | | | |
| | | РА | RT- | I : GENERAL INFORMATION | | | |
| 1. | (a) | Mine Name | : | KARUPPUR-KEELAPALUR | | | |
| | (b) | Registration NO. | : | IBM/170/2011 | | | |
| | (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) | Category Type of Working Postal address State District Village Taluka Post office Pin Code FAX No. E-mail Phone Police Station First opening date | | A Mechanised Opencast TAMIL NADU ARIYALUR KARUPPUR-KEELAPALUR ARIYALUR KEELAPALUR 621707 04329-250011 arymines@chettinadcement.co 04329-250011 Kilapaluvur 01/01/2015 | | | |
| 2. | (n) Addre corre | weekly day of rest ess for espondance | : | SON Shri. L.Muthukrishnan, | | | |
| 3. | (a) (b) (c) (d) | Lease Number Lease area Period of lease Date of Expiry | :: | TMN1596 4 20 21/04/2033 | | | |
| 4. | Miner | ral worked | : | LIMESTONE Main | | | |

| 5. | Name and Address of th | he | | |
|----|---|--------|--|--|
| | Lessee | : | CHETTINAD CEMENT CORPORATION LIMITED 4TH FLOOR, RANI SEETHAI HALL BLDG 603, ANNA SALAI CHENNAI CITY TAMIL NADU Phone:044-28292727, 42149955 FAX :044 - 28291558 | |
| | - | | | |
| | Owner | : | Mohan Muthukaruppan M/s. Chettinad Cement Corpn (P) Ltd 4th Floor, Sigappi Aachi Building 18/3 RukmaniLakshipathyRoad,Egmo re CHENNAI CITY TAMIL NADU Phone: | |
| | | | FAX : | |
| | Agent | : | V. KRISHNAN Chettinad Cement Corp Pvt Ltd Ariyalur Works, Keelapalur Post Ariyalur Taluk & Distt ARIYALUR TAMIL NADU Phone: 9788858216 FAX : | |
| | Mining Engineer | | | |
| | Name | : | P ALAGUKANNAN,Full Time | |
| | Qualification | : | B E (MINING ENGINEERING) | |
| | Appointment/ Termination date | : e | 02/08/2021 | |
| | Geologist | | | |
| | Name | : | R RAVIKUMAR,Full Time | |
| | Qualification | : | M SC (GEOLOGY) | |
| | Appointment/ Termination date | : e | 02/08/2021 | |
| | Manager | | | |
| | Name | : | R.Ravi Kumar | |
| | Qualification | : | | |
| | Appointment/ Termination date | : e | 02/08/2021 | |
| б. | Date of approval of M: Plan/Scheme of Mining | ini | ng : Fresh under rule 22 MCR1960 MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016 MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016 | 25/04/2012 11/11/2016 15/12/2017 10/12/2020 |

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|-----------|---|---|
| la | Backlog of previous year | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | Nil | Nil | Mine already fully explored upto G1 level. |
| lc | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | - | - | Nil |
| ld | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| 1e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | - | Lst - 360677 Tons Marl - 296251 Tons | As per Annual Return for FY 2022-23 submitted online. |
| lf | General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc | - | _ | The geology & exploration of the lease was found satisfactory. |

Development :

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|--|--|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | N-1223270 to N-1223410 E-289840 to E-290100 | N-1223270 to N-1223650 E-289840 to E-290302 | Violation already pointed by last inspecting officer for development carried out beyond the proposed grid intervals. |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | <pre>lstBench in Topsoil, 2nd bench in OB,3rdBench in Limestone and Marl.</pre> | lstBench in Topsoil, 2nd bench in OB,3rdBench in Limestone and Marl. | Nil |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1:0.65 | 1:0.56 | Nil |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | 54175 | 54030.15 | Top soil considered as a overburden for calculation of Ore to OB ratio. |

| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | 54175 | 54030.15 | Top soil considered as a overburden for calculation of Ore to OB ratio. |
|----|--|-------|----------|--|
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc | - | - | Violation already pointed by last inspecting officer for development carried out beyond the proposed grid intervals. |

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|--|--|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | 2 | 2 (merged into 1) | Violation already pointed by last inspecting officer for merger of two working pits into a single pit with lateral excavation extending beyond approved grid intervals. |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | 1,99,375 tons Lst - 1,67,200 tons Marl - 32,175 tons | 1,43,252 tons Lst - 1,17,361.26 tons Marl - 25,890.66 tons | Nil |
| 3с | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | 100% | 100% | - |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | NA | NA | Nil |
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | NA | NA | Nil |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | NA | NA | Entire ROM is consumed by blending with limestone from other mines. |

3i Any analysis or NA Nil NA beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. 3j Provision of Nil Nil Non-conventional drilling and mining practiced blasting in without drilling & mineral benches blasting. 3k Provision of Back hoe - 1.5 Back hoe - 0.9 Cu.m-1 Machinery proposal was in view of mining Cu.m-3Nos Nos machineries in Rock Breaker - Rock Breaker -1 Nos peak production mineral benches 1 Nos Tipper -25 T- 3 Nos requirement during Tipper -20 Tthe year 2021-22. Production for the 8 Nos concerned year, being lower, was achieved with lesser machinery deployment. 31 Whether height In 1st bench) In 1st bench) Suitable of benches in Top Soil -Top Soil - 3.0 m overburden and 3.0 m Waste -3.0 m mineral suitable Waste -3.0 m for method of 3rd bench mining proposed 3rd bench Lst -5.5 m in MP/SOM Lst -5.5 m Marl - 5.5 m Marl - 5.5 m 18.43 Ha (Till 9.56 Ha Nil 3m Total area 2025 - 2026) covered under excavation/pits Ore to OB ratio 1:0.65 1:0.56 Nil 3n for the pit/mine during the year. Total area put 24.765 Ha 20.93 Ha Nil 30 in use under different heads at the end of vear 3p Production of 2018-19 - Nil 2018-19- Nil Nil ROM mineral 2019-20-758517 2019-20-596157.06 Ts during the last Ts 2020-21-680742.07 Ts five year period 2020-21-707890 2021-22-581138 Ts as applicable Ts 2022-23-143252 Ts 2021-22-759500 Ts 2022-23-199375 Ts

3q General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc. Violation already pointed by last inspecting officer for merger of two working pits into a single pit with lateral excavation extending beyond approved grid intervals.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|--|--|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | No External Top Soil & Over Burden dumps were proposed | Top Soil utilized for Afforestation and remaining utilized for bund formation | Top soil temporarily stacked in 2 locations for utilisation in afforestation activities. |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | No External Top Soil & Over Burden dumps were proposed | Top Soil utilized for Afforestation and remaining utilized for bund formation | Top soil temporarily stacked in 2 locations for utilisation in afforestation activities. |
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | NA | NA | Nil |
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | NA | NA | Nil |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | NA | NA | Nil |
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | NA | NA | Nil |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | NA | NA | Nil |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | NA | NA | Nil |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | NA | NA | Nil |

4k Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management No waste dump was proposed or present in the lease. Top soil temporarily stacked in 2 locations for utilisation in afforestation activities.

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|--|--|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | Mineral to be fully extracted before commencing backfilling | Mineral to be fully extracted before commencing backfilling | Nil |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | 1.26 Ha | 1.376 Ha | To fulfill of Back lack of 2021-22 |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | Top soil used for restoration and rehabilitation of area at pit-1 | Top soil used for restoration and rehabilitation of area at pit-1 | Top soil temporarily stacked in 2 locations for utilisation in afforestation activities. |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | 0.45 На | 1.10 На | (Cumulative area Till 2022-23 6.63 Ha) |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | - | - | Backfilling and reclamation was found satisfactory. |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|-----------|-------------|---------------|
| ба | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | - | Submitted | Along with AR |

| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | Nil | Nil | Nil |
|----|---|---------|----------|---|
| бс | afforestation done (ha). | 3.05 На | 3.15 На | As proposed |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | 5250 | 5300 | -do- |
| бе | Cumulative no .of plants | 15,550 | 15,720 | -do- |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| бg | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | 75,000 | 1,42,500 | Nil |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | 1.26 Ha | 1.376 Ha | Additional area backfilled to clear backlog of previous year |
| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | 1.26 Ha | 1.376 Ha | -do- |
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area | 0.45 На | 1.10 На | Additional area afforested to clear backlog of previous year |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means. | Nil | Nil | Nil |

| 6m | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | Nil | Nil | Nil |
|----|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| 6n | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation | NA | NA | Nil |
| бр | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | Periodic monitoring proposed | Periodic monitroing carried out | All parameters within norms |
| бq | General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. | - | - | PMCP Compliance & progressive closure operations were found satisfactory. |

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|------------------------|------------------------|--|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | No sorting proposed | No sorting carried out | Entire ROM dispatched to plant for blending with limestone from other leases |
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | NA | NA | Nil |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | NA | NA | Nil |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines | Nil | Nil | Nil |

7e General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues No issues observed with mineral conservation. No beneficiation proposed or carried out. Entire ROM is consumed by the lessee.

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|---|-------------|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | Separate removal & utilisation of topsoil proposed | Top Soil utilized for Afforestation and remaining utilized for bund formation as well as stacked temporarily for future use. | As proposed |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | Concurrent use & storage proposed | Top Soil utilized for afforestation and remaining utilized for bund formation as well as stacked temporarily for future use. | -do- |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | No dumping proposed | No dumping carried out | -do- |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | Backfilling proposed | Backfilling carried out | -do- |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | Afforestation on backfilled area proposed | Afforestation on backfilling area carried out | -do- |

_

| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | Saplings planted Following native species are selected for plantation. a. Neem b. Pongan c. Vembu d. Pouvarasa e. Illupai f. Teak g. Tamrind Greenbelt development is being carried out progressively. Herbs and shrubs will also be developed. | Totally 15720 Nos Plantation done | of | -do- |
|----|--|---|--------------------------------------|--------|--|
| 8g | Survival rate | 80% | 90% | | -do- |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | Water sprinkling proposed | Water sprinkling c out | arried | -do- |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | - | - | | The aesthetic beauty in and around mines area is found satisfactory. |

Compliance of Rule 45:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|-------------------------|---------|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | Given | Submitted in time | Nil |
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Manager : R.Ravikumar Mining Engineer in charge: G.Raghavendra Geologist in charge : R.Ravikumar | Given in Part I, Sl 7-9 | Nil |

9c Scrutiny of (i) Already Given in Part I, Sl 12 Nil Annual return on exploited and land use pattern abandoned by for area under opencast (O-C) pits, reclaimed mining 0.000 area, dumps etc. 0.000 0.000 (ii) Covered under current (O-C) Workings 0.000 9.880 9.880 (iii) Reclaimedrehabilitated 0.000 6.630 6.630 (iv) Used for waste disposal 0.000 0.000 0.000 (v) Occupied by plant, buildings, residential, welfare buildings and roads 0.000 1.000 1.000 (vi) Used for any other purpose (specify) Safety Zone 0.000 5.210 5.210 (vii) Work done under progressive mine closure plan during the year 0.000 0.270 0.270 9d Scrutiny of i) Number of Given in Part V, Sl 5 Nil Annual return on trees planted afforestation during the year 5300 0 ii) Survival rate in percentage 90 0 iii) Total no. of trees at the end of the year 15720 0

| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | Nil | Given | in Part | V, Sl 3 | Nil |
|----|--|---|-------|---------|----------|-----|
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | Category Opening stock Production Closing stock (a) Open Cast workings 0.000 117361.260 0.000 | Given | in Part | VI, Sl 2 | Nil |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | Rs 276.30 per tonne | Given | in Part | VI, Sl 3 | Nil |
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | Value of Fixed Assets - Rs 87,16,33,642/- | Given | in Part | II A | Nil |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | Type of machinery Capacity of each type of machinery Unit (in which capacity is reported) No. of machinery Electrical Non- electrical (specify) Used in opencast underground (specify) BACK HOE 0.900 CUM 1 Non Electrical Opencast OTHER HEM MACHINERY 125.000 1 Non Electrical Opencast TIPPER 14.000 CUM 3 Non Electrical Opencast | Given | in Part | V, Sl 6 | Nil |

| Details of violat violation pointed | ions observed o out | luring current | : inspectior | n and compliand | ce position of |
|--|------------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Violat | ion observed | | Sł | now couse posit | zion |
| Rule NO. | Issued on | Compliance of | n Rule N | 0. Issued | on Compliance on |

Date :

(Matiul Islam)

Indian Bureau of Mines