MCDR-MiFLOFE/2/2024-JBP-IBM\_RO\_JBP INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

## I/28180/2024

## MCDR inspection REPORT

## Jabalpur regional office

	Mine :	file No : MP/JBP/MANG-	-15		Mine code : 40MPR18001
	(i)	Name of the Inspecting Officer and ID No.	:	CQ04 ) ROBERT SIMON (	2
	(ii)	Designation	:	Assistant Controller M	ine
	(iii)	Accompaning mine Official with Designation	:	Haji Mohammed Farooq (I	Lessee),Sh. J. N. Patnayak (Min
	(iv)	Date of Inspection	:	31-JAN-24	
	(v)	Prev.inspection date	:	27-FEB-20	
		PAI	RT-	I : GENERAL INFORMATI	ON
1.	(a)	Mine Name	:	JHANSI/SILUA (4.49HA)	
	(b)	Registration NO.	:		
	(c)	Category	:	A Fully Mechanised	
	(d)	Type of Working	:	Opencast	
	(e)	Postal address		openease	
		State	:	MADHYA PRADESH	
		District	:	JABALPUR	
		Village	:		
		Taluka	:		
		Post office	:		
		Pin Code	:		
		FAX No.	:	NA	
		E-mail	:	NA	
		Phone	:	NA	
	(f)	Police Station	:		
	(g)	First opening date	:		
	(h)	Weekly day of rest	:		
2.		ess for espondance	:	M/S KHATRI MINERALS ANI 865, SOUTH MOTINALA, HANUMANTAL, JABALPUR (N	
3.	(a)	Lease Number	:	MPR2191	
	(b)	Lease area	:	4.49	
	(c)	Period of lease	:	30	
	(d)	Date of Expiry	:	17-MAY-37	
4.	Mine	ral worked	:	IRON ORE CLAY (OTHERS) OCHRE	Main Associated Associated Associated Associated

5.	Name and Address of	the		
	Lessee	:	KHATRI MINERALS AND MINING COMPANY	
			865, SOUTH MOTINALA, HANUMANTAL, JABALPUR (M.P.) JABALPUR MADHYA PRADESH Phone:NA	
			FAX :NA	
	Owner	:	KHATRI MINERALS AND MINING COMPANY 865, SOUTH MOTINALA, HANUMANTAL, JABALPUR (M.P.)	
			JABALPUR MADHYA PRADESH	
			Phone: NA	
			FAX : NA	
6.	Date of approval of Plan/Scheme of Mini		ng : Fresh under rule 22 MCR1960 Modif.of approved Mining Plan	25-APR-07 24-OCT-11

Modif.of approved Mining Plan24-OCT-11Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR198817-OCT-12

#### PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
la	Backlog of previous year	NIL	NIL	
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	Sixteen bore holes	Nil	Violation pointed out for the same under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.
1c	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	Not specified	NA	
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	G-1: 4.49	Not done	Violation pointed out for the same under rule 12 of MCDR 2017.
le	Balance reserve as on 01/04/20	Not specified	122- 130290 Tonnes (Iron ore) as on 31.03.23.	
lf	General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc			Refer page 24 of the approved Mining Plan, it was proposed to drill 16 boreholes for the year 2022- 23 but not carried out.Violation pointed out for the same under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.

# Development :

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t.lease area	carry during the year 2022-	But during the year, development & production was instead carried out on the southwestern part of the quarry.	Violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.

2b	Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15)	Yes	Maintained	
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	1: 0.57	1: 0.44	The mine development was lagging from the proposal and not as per the approved proposals of approved document. Violation pointed out.
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	Nil	Nil	
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	7785	5769	The mine development was lagging from the proposal and not as per the approved proposals of approved document. Violation pointed out.
2f	General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc			It was proposed to carry during the year 2022-23 development & production was proposed towards north-west of quarry between the coordinates N2584525 - N2584575 & E406200 - E406270 instead carried out on the southwestern part of the quarry. Only 5769 m3 of OB is generated against the proposal of 7785 m3 for the year 2022-23. Violation pointed out for the same under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.

Exploitation:

## MCDR-MiFLOFE/2/2024-JBP-IBM\_RO\_JBP

#### 1/28180/2024

Sl.No.

3a

3b

3c

3d

3e

3f

3g

3h

3i

Зj

3k

31

•	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
	Number of pit proposed for production	01	01	
	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	13494	13000	
	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	46%	52%	
	Quantity of mineral reject generation	Nil	Nil	
	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.	NA	NA	
	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	NA	NA	
	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	NA	NA	
	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	Manual	Manual	
	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects.	proposed	Carried out.	
	Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches	Not proposed	Not done as per records	
	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	Yes	Open cast mining using HEMM machinery.	
	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	Proposed	Excavations were found extended within 7.5m statutory barrier zone and beyond mining lease area between the ML boundary pillars nos. BP-25 to BP-22 & BP-17 to BP-12 wherein the	Violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.

to BP-12. wherein the bench ht was not maintained.

## I/28180/2024

3m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	1.84 ha	1.847 ha as per AR 2022- 23.	
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year.	1: 0.57	1: 0.44	
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	3.0115 ha	2.804 ha as per AR 2022- 23.	
3р	Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable	2018-19 20694 Tonnes 2019-20 19560 Tonnes 2020-21 20014 Tonnes 2021-22 20430 Tonnes 2022-23 13494 Tonnes	2019-20 16000 Tonnes 2020-21 6590 Tonnes 2021-22 15946 Tonnes	
3q	General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc.			Open cast mining using machinery is in practice, without use of explosive. Lessee is having one more lease area with common boundary, it's a working mine, beneficiation plant is established in the lease area.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

## MCDR-MiFL0FE/2/2024-JBP-IBM\_RO\_JBP

4a Separate dumping Separate OB dumps observed in the Excavations were of topsoil, OB dumping of OB lease area. found extended and mineral proposed within 7.5m rejects (Rule statutory barrier 32,33) zone and beyond mining lease area between the ML boundary pillars nos. BP-25 to BP-22 & BP-17 to BP-12. Further, dumping of overburden material found beyond mining lease near BP-17 to BP-18 & BP-19. Violation pointed out for the same under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017. 4b Existing Waste Dumping of overburden Location of Violation pointed material is also found topsoil, OB and dumps in out under rule mineral reject southern & beyond mining lease near 11(1) of MCDR northern part BP-17 to BP-18 & BP-19 dumps 2017. are proposed extended laterally and w.r.t. height 4c Number of dumps 02 02 within lease area and outside of lease area 4d Location of Temporary Temporary dumps within dumps w.r.t. dumps within UPL and within ML area. ultimate pit UPL and within limit (Rule 16) ML area. 4e Number of active 02 02 and alive dumps. 4f Number of dead Nil Nil dumps. 4g Number of dumps Nil Nil established. 4h Whether NIL NIL Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. 4i Length of NA NA Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps Number of 4j NIL NIL settling ponds

4k

Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management Dumping of overburden material found beyond mining lease near BP-17 to BP-18 & BP-19. Violation pointed out for the same under rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.		-	
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	NIL	NIL	
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	NIL	NIL	
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	NIL	NIL	

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
ба	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	Yes	Submitted via email but not annexed in Annual return.	Violation pointed out under rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017.
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	Nil	Nil	
бс	afforestation done (ha).	0.1 ha	0.05	

6d	No. of saplings planted during the year	25	25
бе	Cumulative no .of plants	Not specified	75 approx.
6f	Any other method of rehabilitation	Nil	Nil
бg	Cost incurred on watch and care during the year	Nil	Nil
6i	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings	Nil	Nil
6j	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area	Nil	Nil
6k	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir	Nil	Nil
61	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means.	Nil	Nil
бm	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation	Nil	Nil
6n	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha)	Nil	Nil

Compliance of rehabilitation 60 Nil Nil of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation Compliance of Carried out бр Yes environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)

Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	ROM Mineral dispatch after grade-wise sorting proposed.	ROM Mineral dispatch after grade-wise sorting adopted	
7b	Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.	Manual and mechanical	Manual and mechanical	
7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.	Yes	Fines 45% to below 51% Fe.	
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines	Yes	Carried out.	
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues			Mining lease granted with condition to establish mineral based industry / beneficiation plant in Jabalpur dist. Beneficiation plant is established in southern part of the lease area. Screening, grinding and sorting facility are in built facility with beneficiation plant.

## I/28180/2024

Environment:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	Not proposed	Nil	
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	NA	NA	
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Seperate dump of OB proposed	Seperate dump of OB observed.	
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	Yes	Dumping adopted.	
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	Yes	Backfilling will be done.	
8£	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	Proposed	Details given in AR.	
8g	Survival rate	80%	80%	
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	Yes	Carried out.	
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area			Aesthetic beauty in and around mines area needs improvement.

Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

MCDR-MIFLOFE/2/2024-JBP-IBM\_RO\_JBP

## I/28180/2024

9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	Yes	AR and MR submitted online.	
9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Given.	Manager : None Mining Engineer in charge: J.N.PATNAIK Geologist in charge : Rohit Kanojiya	
9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	Given	PART-1 S.No.12. The details wrt to Lease area (surface area) utilisation as at the end of year in respect of work done under progressive mine closure plan is not furnished.	Violation pointed out under rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017.
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation	Given	Trees planted- survival rate Description Within lease area Outside lease area i) Number of trees planted during the year 25 ii) Survival rate in percentage 80	
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)	Nil	Nil	
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore	Given	Fines (a) 45% to below 51% Fe: Opening stock at mine- head=8592.415 13000.000(Production) 10524.020(Despatches) 11068.395 (Closing stock at mine-head)	
9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost	Given	Ex-mine price- 1336.30( 45% to below 51% Fe) Cost of production- 994.40.	
9h	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets	Given	Value of Fixed Assets* (Rs. 34207759)	

9k Scrutiny of Given Annual return on mining machineries Type of machinery Capacity of each type of machinery Unit (in which capacity is reported) No. of machinery Electrical Nonelectrical (specify) Used in opencast underground (specify) DUMPER 16.000 TONNE 2 Non Electrical Opencast DUMPER 10.000 TONNE 1 Non Electrical Opencast FRONT END LOADER 1.200 CUM 1 Non Electrical Opencast WATER TANKER 2000.000 LITRE 1 Non Electrical Opencast PUMPS (NON-ELEC.) 650.000 L/MN 1 Non Electrical Opencast

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out							
Violat	ion observed	Show couse position					
Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on	Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on				

#### Date :

## (ROBERT SIMON C)

Indian Bureau of Mines