



भारत सरकार/GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
खान मंत्रालय/MINISTRY OF MINES
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो/INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES
क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक का कार्यालय/OFFICE OF REGIONAL CONTROLLER OF MINES

File No.:- MCDR-MiFLOFE/1/2023-JBP-IBM_RO_JBP

Jabalpur, dated. /02/2024

To

Smt. Bandana Modi
6, Bada Bazar, Post- Shahgarh
Sagar (Madhya Pradesh)-470339
E-mail - modisv101@gmail.com

Sub.:- Violation of provisions of Mineral Conservation and Development Rules, 2017 in respect of your Tigoda Iron Ore Mine, (area - 11.82 ha), Mine Code 30MPR35002 in district Sagar of M.P. State.

Sir,

The following provisions of Mineral Conservation and Development Rules, 2017 were found violated in your above mentioned mine during the Inspection By undersigned on 06.01.2023 in presence of Shri Abhay Kumar Modi, Shri Sashi Bhimrao Sindhe, Mining Engineer, Shri Rajeev Yadav, Geologist and Shri M M Gosavi, Qualified person are detailed as below:-

नियम सं.	पाए गए उल्लंघन की विस्तृत प्रकृति
11(1)	<p>According to this rule-No holder of a mining lease shall commence or carry out mining operation in any area except in accordance with the mining plan approved, modified or reviewed by the Indian Bureau of Mines or prepared and certified in accordance with the system established by the State Govt. pursuant to the provisions to clause (b) of Sub Section (2) of Section 5 or approved by the competent authority of the Atomic Minerals Directorate for exploration and Researching respect of minerals specified in Part B of the First Schedule of the Act where the grade of such atomic minerals is equal to or above the threshold value limit declared under schedule-A of the Atomic Mineral Concession Rules 2016</p> <p>The mining operations were not carried out in accordance with the Review of Mining Plan of above mentioned mine approved vide this office letter No-MP/Sagar/Iron ore/RMP- 45/18-19 dated 18.02.2019 as below:</p> <p>(i) It was approved to produce 65450 Tonnes of Iron ore during the year 2021-22 against which 84134 Tonnes was produced thus excess production has been carried out during the year.</p> <p>(ii) It was approved to carryout exploration by 14 no. of bore holes and 24 no. of trial pits during the plan period; against which 11 bore holes were drilled and recovery of core was found less than 25% and no trial pits done.</p>
12(4)	<p>In the case of existing mining leases detailed exploration (G1 level) over the entire potentially mineralised area under the mining lease shall be carried out within a period of five years from the date of commencement of these rules.</p> <p>Exploration of the entire potential area in G1 scale has not been done so for, exploration is found carried out in G2 and G3 scale.</p>
45(7)	<p>If it is found that the holder of a mining lease or the person or company engaged in trading or storage or end-use or export of minerals, as the case may be, has submitted incomplete or wrong or false information in daily or monthly or annual returns or fails to submit a return within the date specified; then,-</p> <p>(a) in the case of mining of minerals by the holder of a mining lease, the Regional Controller of Mines may advise the State Government to,-</p> <p>(i) order suspension of all mining operations in the mine and to revoke the order of suspension only after ensuring proper compliance; (ii) take action to initiate prosecution under these rules; (iii) recommend termination of the</p>

	<p>mining lease, in case such suppression or misrepresentation of information indicates abetment or connivance of illegal mining;</p> <p>Following discrepancies are observed in the Annual return submitted for the year 2021-22</p> <p>Part I: Para12: Contradictory statements are furnished in the annual returns in comparison to Review of Mining Plan submitted to this office (i) 1.824 Ha area is reported as exploited and abandoned whereas no such area is found exploited and abandoned in the field. (ii) 2.264 Ha is reported under opencast working whereas very less area of about 0.84 Ha is reported under opencast working in the Review of Mining Plan submitted to this office</p> <p>Part V, Para 3: Details of Reserves and Resources at the end of year is not furnished</p> <p>Section 7(i) and (ii): Details of Dry Processing plant with process flow sheet and machinery deployed, Details of Feed, concentrate and by-product etc., is not furnished, dry processing is being carried out.</p> <p>Part - VI, Para 5. Sales/Dispatches effected for Domestic Consumption and for exports: Iron ore is being sold to the consignee whereas Registration No. allotted by IBM to the Consignee to whom the mineral sold is not furnished.</p>
--	---

2. Your reply dated 03/07/2023 in this regard has been duly considered but the above violated rules in your mine has not been found satisfactory. Therefore, violation of Rules 11(1), 12(4), 45(7) of MCDR 2017 still exists in above mentioned mine.
3. The mining operations can be suspended under the rule 11(2) of MCDR-2017, if compliance of rule 11(1) of MCDR-2017 is not found satisfactory.
4. You are, therefore, directed to show cause within a period of 30 days from the date of issue of this letter, as to why you should not be prosecuted for the above offences.
5. In this connection, it is brought to your notice that the above violations constitute an offence punishable under Rule 62 of MCDR 2017.
6. Please note that no further notice will be given to you in this regard.

भवदीय,

(इब्राहिम शरीफ)
उप खान नियंत्रक
कृते क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो

प्रतिलिपि प्रेषित (ईमेल):

01. संचालक, भौमिकी एवम खनिकर्म, मध्य प्रदेश सरकार, खनिज भवन, 29-ए, अरेरा हिल्स, भोपाल (म.प्र.) को सूचनार्थ एवं अग्रिम कार्रवाई हेतु।
02. खान नियंत्रक (मध्यांचल), भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, नागपुर।
03. जिलाधीश, जिला – सागर (म.प्र.) सूचनार्थ।

इब्राहिम शरीफ
उप खान नियंत्रक
कृते क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो