# MCDR-MiFLOFE/4/2024-JBP-IBM\_RO\_JBP INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES

#### MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR inspection REPORT

#### Jabalpur regional office

Mine file No : MP/JABALPUR/IRONORE-14 Mine code : 30MPR18011

(i) Name of the Inspecting : CQ04 ) ROBERT SIMON C

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Assistant Controller Mine

(iii) Accompaning mine : Shri Shrikant Pandey, Mine Manager & Lessee

Official with Designation

1/31231/2024

Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 12-MAR-24
(v) Prev.inspection date : 22-OCT-21

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

1. (a) Mine Name : HRIDEYANAGAR IRONORE 4.00

(b) Registration NO. :

(c) Category : A

(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

State : MADHYA PRADESH

District : JABALPUR
Village : HRIDEYANAGAR

Taluka : SIHORA

Post office : HRIDEYANAGAR

Pin Code : 483222

FAX No.

E-mail : shrikantpandey1969@gmail.com

Phone : 9425800763

(f) Police Station :

(g) First opening date : 01-APR-10

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

2. Address for :

correspondance

3. (a) Lease Number : MPR2432 (b) Lease area : 4.00

(c) Period of lease : 30

(d) Date of Expiry : 18-MAR-40

4. Mineral worked : IRON ORE Main

LATERITE Associated
MANGANESE ORE Associated

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : SHRIKANT PANDEY

50, GANDHIR\GRANM

(BURHAGAR) TEHSIL-SIHORA DISTT. JABALPUR (M.P.) JABALPUR MADHYA PRADESH

Phone: 09425800763

FAX :NA

Owner : SHRIKANT PANDEY

50, GANDHIR\GRANM

(BURHAGAR) TEHSIL-SIHORA DISTT. JABALPUR (M.P.) JABALPUR MADHYA PRADESH

Phone: 9425800763 FAX : NA

6. Date of approval of Mining : MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016 21-AUG-20

Plan/Scheme of Mining

I/31231/2024 PAGE : 3

PART - II: OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

### EXPLORATION :

S.N.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
1a	Backlog of previous year	18 boreholes proposed during the period 2020-21 to 2024-25.	Nil	Violation pointed out under Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.
1b	Exploration over lease area for Geological axis 1 or 2.	Not proposed	NA	
1c	Exploration Agency	Not proposed	NA	
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2.	Not specified	2.44 hect of lease area has been explored under G-2 and the remaining 1.56 hect area is yet to be explored.	Violation pointed out under Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.
1e	Balance reserves	Not specified	The details of Balance resources of Iron Ore & Manganese as on 31.03.2023 is incorrectly furnished in AR	Violation pointed out under Rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017.
1f	General remarks of Inspecting officer on geology, exploration etc.	zone with phyllite.	The strike is al	in the lease in a contact Lmost East west and dipping curs below the band of

### Development :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
2a	Location of Development w.r.t. lease area	Eastern part of the lease area	South western part of the lease area	Violation pointed out under Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.
2b	Separate benches in topsoil overburden and mineral (Rule 15)	Proposed	maintained	-
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	1:4.54	NA	-

I/31231/2024 PAGE : 4

	2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	Nil	Nil	
	2e	Quantity of overburden generation in $m^3$	11633	Nil reported	Violation pointed out under Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.
•	2f		development & production of quarry between E399515- E4399585. production was ins	ction was propose the coordinates But during the tead carried ou	ing the year 2022-23 ed towards eastern part N2584840 - N2584960 & e year, development & t on the southwestern pointed out under Rule

# Exploitation :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
3a	Number of pits proposed for production	1 pits were proposed for production	1 pits	
3b	Quantity of ROM production proposed (2022-23)	2559(Iron Ore & Mn) t	2049 (Iron Ore) t	
3c	Recovery of Salable/usable mineral from ROM production	Iron ore -100% Manganese ore- 20%	Iron ore -90% Manganese ore-35%	
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	Nil	Nil	No mineral reject generation
3e	Grade of mineral reject generation and threshold value declared	Nil	Nil	No mineral reject generation
3f	Quantity of sub- grade mineral generation	Nil	Nil	No sub-grade mineral generation
3g	Grade of sub-grade mineral generation	Nil	Nil	No sub-grade mineral generation
3h	Manual/Mechanized method adopted for segregation from ROM	manual	manual	
3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed & carried out for sub- grade mineral and reject	Nil	Nil	No sub-grade mineral generation

# MCDR-MiFLOFE/4/2024-JBP-IBM\_RO\_JBP

I/31231/2024 PAGE : 5

3ј	Provision of drilling & blasting in mineral benches	Nil	Nil		Manual working was proposed.
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	proposed	As per prop	posal	
31	Whether height of benches in OB and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in	Yes	Yes		
3m	MP/SOM Total area covered under excavation /pits	Not specified	Nil reporte	ed in AR	Violation pointed out under Rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017.
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year	1:4.54	NA		
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	Not specified	Incorrectly in AR	reported	Violation pointed out under Rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017.
3р	Production of ROM	2018-19	9199	0	
	mineral during	2019-20	12265	0	
	last five-year	2020-21	2876	2298	
	period, as applicable	2021-22	1943	1554	
	applicable	2022-23 (till date)	2559	2049	
3q	General remarks of inspecting officer on method of mining etc	It was proposed development & production for quarry between E399515- E4399585. production was inspart of the quarry inspection but 'Ni Return. Hence Violat	ction was prother coording But during tead carriegy. Waste excall OB/waste	roposed to ates N258 g the yea ed out on avation wa is repor	wards eastern part 4840 - N2584960 & ar, development & the southwestern s observed during

Solid waste management-dumping :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB & mineral reject (Rule 32,33)	Separate dumping of OB for backfilling proposed	Separate dumping of OB not reported.	Violation pointed out under Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017.
4b	Location of topsoil, OB & mineral reject dumps	Not proposed	Nil	
4c		1	1	
4d	Location of Dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	within UPL	NE part of lease area	
4e	Number of Active & Alive dumps	2	1	
4 f	Number of dead dumps	0	0	
4g	Number of dumps established	0	0	
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there	Not proposed	-	
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dump		-	
4 j	Number of settling ponds	Not proposed		
4 k	Specific commends officer on waste dump management	'Nil' OB/waste is re	s observed during inspeported in the Annual at under Rule 11(1) of	Return.

### Solid waste management-backfilling :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
5a	Status on part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling	Backfilling proposed on Northern part of mined out area		Violation pointed out under Rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017.
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	Not specified for the year	Nil	
5c	Concurrent use of Topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mined out area (Rule 32)	Not proposed	Nil	
5d	Total area fully rehabilitated	3936 m2(approx.)	Nil reported in returns	Violation pointed out under Rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017.
5e	General remarks of inspecting officer on backfilling, reclamation etc	Backfilling & recl	amation were lagging proposals.	behind approved

### Progressive mine closer plan

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
6a	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly-Rule 26(2). Details should be given in the format as given in Annexure-20	Yes	Annual report on PMCP has been Submitted.	
6b	Management of worked/mined out benches	Nil	NA	
	<pre>i)Area available for rehabilitation (Ha)</pre>	Nil	NA	

0.15

ii)Afforestation 0.06

iii)No. of 100 100  saplings planted during the year iv)Cumulative no. Not specified for 500(approx.) of plants the year v) Any Nil Nil other specific method of rehabilitation  vi)Cost incurred Not specified NA on watch & care during the year 6c Compliance on NA NA reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L X B X D)
saplings planted during the year iv) Cumulative no. Not specified for 500 (approx.) of plants the year v) Any Nil Nil other specific method of rehabilitation  vi) Cost incurred Not specified NA on watch & care during the year  6c Compliance on NA NA reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L
saplings planted during the year iv) Cumulative no. Not specified for 500 (approx.) of plants the year v) Any Nil Nil other specific method of rehabilitation  vi) Cost incurred Not specified NA on watch & care during the year  6c Compliance on NA NA reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L
saplings planted during the year iv) Cumulative no. Not specified for 500 (approx.) of plants the year v) Any Nil Nil other specific method of rehabilitation  vi) Cost incurred Not specified NA on watch & care during the year  6c Compliance on NA NA reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L
saplings planted during the year iv) Cumulative no. Not specified for 500 (approx.) of plants the year v) Any Nil Nil other specific method of rehabilitation  vi) Cost incurred Not specified NA on watch & care during the year  6c Compliance on NA NA reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L
saplings planted during the year iv) Cumulative no. Not specified for 500 (approx.) of plants the year v) Any Nil Nil other specific method of rehabilitation  vi) Cost incurred Not specified NA on watch & care during the year  6c Compliance on NA NA reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L
iv)Cumulative no. Not specified for 500(approx.)  of plants the year  v) Any Nil Nil  other specific method of rehabilitation  vi)Cost incurred Not specified NA  on watch & care during the year  6c Compliance on NA  reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L
v) Any Nil other specific method of rehabilitation  vi)Cost incurred Not specified NA on watch & care during the year  6c Compliance on NA NA NA reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L
other specific method of rehabilitation  vi)Cost incurred Not specified NA on watch & care during the year  6c Compliance on NA NA reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L
method of rehabilitation  vi)Cost incurred Not specified NA on watch & care during the year  6c Compliance on NA NA NA reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L
on watch & care during the year  6c Compliance on NA NA NA reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L
care during the year  6c Compliance on NA NA NA reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L
6c Compliance on NA NA NA reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L
reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L
backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L
i) Voids available for backfilling (L
for backfilling (L
ii) Void filled by
waste/tailings iii) Afforestation
on the backfilled
area
iv) Rehabilitation
by making water reservoir
v) Any other
specific means
6d Compliance of NA NA
Rehabilitation of
waste land within lease
i) Afforestation
ii) Area
rehabilitated (ha)
iii) Method of
rehabilitation  6e Compliance of Environment Carried out
Environment monitoring
monitoring (core proposed

#### PAGE: 9

#### 1/31231/2024

zone & buffer
zone)

General remarks of Afforestation being done on green belt.
inspecting officer
on PMCP compliance
& progressive
closer operations

#### Mineral conservation :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
	ROM mineral dispatch or grade wise sorting within lease area	ROM mineral dispatch proposed	ROM mineral dispatch done	
7b	Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical	Manual sorting proposed	Manual sorting done	
7с	Different grade of mineral sort out at mine	Not specified	IRON ORE Fines & Lumps-45% to below 51% Fe.	
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines	Nil	Nil	
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation & beneficiation issues	<del>-</del>	h after manual sorting	being done in

#### ENVIRONMENT

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
		1		
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule32)	± ±	NA	_
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	NA	NA	
8c	Separate dumps for overburden waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Generated waste quantity was proposed to be backfilled.	Not reported in returns	Violation pointed out under Rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017.

8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	during conceptual		Violation pointed out under Rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017.
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	Green belt was proposed to be developed	Around 500 plants has been planted in lease area and surroundings	
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation & additional plantation done (Rule 41)	Proposed	100 nos of saplings planted.	Additional 150 nos Plantation also been done on lessee's private land adjoining to lease area.
8g	Survival rate	80%	50-70%	
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	yes	Regular Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust is in practice by lessee's water tanker.	
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mine area		and around mine area r	needs

# Compliance of rule 45 :

Mining Engineer, Pandey Geologist and Manager Geologist In Charge : Nil  9c Scrutiny of Annual Lease area (surface The details wrt to return on land use area) utilisation as Lease area (surface pointed out	Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer In Charge: Mr. Shrikant Charge were not Secologist and Manager (Secologist In Charge: Mr. Shrikant Charge were not Secologist and Manager (Secologist In Charge: Mr. Shrikant Charge were not Secologist In Charge: Nil Secologist In Charge: Mr. Shrikant Charge were not Secologist In Charge: Nil Secologist In Charge: Mr. Shrikant Charge were not Secologist In Charge: Secologist In Charge: Secologist In Charge: Secologist In Charge: Charge were not Secologist In Charge were not Sec	9a	submission of monthly and Annual	submitted on: 28.06.2023 Monthly returns submitted till Dec by the 10 th of every reporting		
return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.  area) utilisation as bease area (surface at the end of year (hectares): year is incorrectly Under forest Outside furnished forest Total (i) Already exploited and abandoned by opencast (O-C) mining 0.000 2.443 2.443 (ii) Covered under current (O-C) Workings 0.000 0.000 0.000 (iii) Reclaimed-rehabilitated 0.000 0.000 0.000 (iv) Used for waste disposal 0.000 0.000 0.000 (v) Occupied by plant, buildings, residential , welfare buildings and roads 0.002 0.000	9b	return for information on Mining Engineer,	Mining Engineer In Charge: Mr. Shrikant Pandey Geologist In Charge	Manager & Geologist in charge were not	pointed out under Rule 45(7)
0.002	9c	return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area,	area) utilisation as at the end of year (hectares): Under forest Outside forest Total (i) Already exploited and abandoned by opencast (O-C) mining 0.000 2.443 2.443 (ii) Covered under current (O-C) Workings 0.000 0.000 0.000 (iii) Reclaimed-rehabilitated 0.000 0.000 0.000 (iv) Used for waste disposal 0.000 0.000 0.000 (v) Occupied by plant, buildings, residential, welfare buildings and roads 0.002 0.000	Lease area (surface area) utilisation as at the end of year is incorrectly furnished	pointed out under Rule 45(7)
9d Scrutiny of Annual Given Trees planted- return on survival rate	9d	<del>=</del>			

	afforestation		Description Within lease area Outside lease area i) Number of trees planted during the year 100 150 ii) Survival rate in percentage 75 60 iii) Total no. of trees at the end of the year 250 300	
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade & Quantity)	Nil	Nil	
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or grade ore	Given	Opening stock : 1090.840 T Production: 2049(Iron Ore) Fines); Despatches:217 6.047 T Closing stock: 963.793 T	
9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex Mine price & production costs	Given	Cost of production - Rs.280; Ex mine price-Rs.375(Iron Ore Fines 45% to below 51% Fe)	
9i	Scrutiny of Annual return on Fixed assets	Nil	The Value of Fixed Asset is mentioned as "Nil"	Violation pointed out under Rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017.
9k	Scrutiny of Annual return on mining Machineries		The details wrt to the type of Machinery deployed during the year is incorrectly furnished.	pointed out

PAGE : 13

### 1/31231/2024

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out								
Vio	lation observed		Show cau	se position				
Rule NO.	Issued on	Compliance on	Rule NO.	Issued on	Compliance on			
Rule 11(1)	03-MAY-24							
Rule 12	03-MAY-24							
Rule 31(4)	03-MAY-24							
Rule 33	03-MAY-24							
Rule 45(7)	03-MAY-24							

Date :

(ROBERT SIMON C)

Indian Bureau of Mines