INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMEMT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT
Gandhinagar regional office


PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

| 1. | (a) | Mine Name | : | BAROI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (b) | Registration NO. | : | IBM/421/201 |
|  | (c) | Category | : | A Mechani |
|  | (d) | Type of Working | : | Underground |
|  | (e) | Postal address |  |  |
|  |  | State | : | RAJASTHAN |
|  |  | District | : | UDAIPUR |
|  |  | Village | : | ZAWAR |
|  |  | Taluka |  | SARADA |
|  |  | Post office | : | ZAWAR MIN |
|  |  | Pin Code |  | 313901 |
|  |  | FAX No. | : | 0294-2412 |
|  |  | E-mail | : | arun.mish |
|  |  | Phone | : | 0294-6604 |
|  | (f) | Police Station | : | ZAWAR |
|  | (g) | First opening date |  | 01-DEC-73 |
|  | (h) | Weekly day of rest |  | MON |
| 2. | Add <br> cor | ess for espondance |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PO- ZAWAR } \\ & \text { DISTT- UDZ } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  | RAJASTHAN |
| 3. | (a) | Lease Number |  | RAJ1725 |
|  | (b) | Lease area |  | 816 |
|  | (c) | Period of lease |  | 80 |
|  | (d) | Date of Expiry |  | 31-MAR-30 |

4. Mineral worked : LEAD \& ZINC ORE Main
```
5. Name and Address of the
    Lessee : Hindustan Zinc Ltd
                            Yashad Bhavan Udaipur
                            UDAIPUR RAJASTHAN
                    Phone:
                    FAX :
Owner : Sri Arun Mishra
                            Yashad Bhavan, Udaipur
                            Rajasthan UDAIPUR
                            RAJASTHAN
                    Phone:
                            FAX : 0294-2412545
Agent : Sri Radha Raman
Post: Zawar District:
                    Udaipur UDAIPUR RAJASTHAN
                    Phone:
                            FAX :
Mining Engineer
Name : Shri Pratik Vijayvargiya,Full Time
Qualification : BE Mining
Appointment/ : 10-FEB-23
Termination date
Geologist
Name : Shri Vivek Tyagi,Full Time
Qualification : M. Sc . Geology
Appointment/ : 01-OCT-22
Termination date
Geologist
Name : Sri Somdeb Dutta Mal,Full Time
Qualification : M.Sc. (Geology)
Appointment/ : 31-JAN-19 30-SEP-22
Termination date
Manager
Name : Shri Mahendra Suthar
Qualification : BE Mining
Appointment/ : 27-FEB-23
Termination date
```

6. Date of approval of Mining
Plan/Scheme of Mining
: Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 15-SEP-03
Modif.approved Mining Scheme 16-AUG-05
MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 17-MAY-19
MP review under 17 (1) MCR 2016 17-DEC-19
MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 15-JUL-21
```
PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS
```

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 a | Backlog of previous year | A total of 270 BHs with 57000 mts of drilling was proposed during previous year 2021-22. | A total of 337 BHs with 66321 mts of drilling has been done during previous year 2021-22. | No short fall during previous year. |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | A total of 290 BHs with 61000 mts of drilling was proposed during reporting year (RY) 2022-23 | A total of 447 BHs with 84240.00 mts of drilling was completed during reporting year (RY) 2022-23 | Surface and UG exploration for Baroi Mine |
| 1 c | Exploration <br> Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | No specific proposal about drilling agency | Surface Exploration: <br> MAPL, TDMA and Underground Exploration: EFMCPL, TDMA. Total Expenditure: Rs. 291144483/- | Nil |
| 1 d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | An area of 6.21 hectare of G3 level proposed to explore under G2/G1 level | An area of 4.07 Hectare has been upgraded to G1/G2 level | Violation of rule 11(1) of MCDR, 2017 issued during previous inspection |
| 1 e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | No specific year wise proposal | The position of reserve and resources as on 01/04/2023 was 5.85 and 26.78 million tons respectively | Depletion of 3.07 million tons from 2021-22 to 2022-23 |
| 1 f | General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc |  |  | Geologically the area is complex in nature. 3D modeling technique is being used for correlation of ore zone etc |

Development :
Sl.No. Item Propasals Actual work Remarks

| 2 a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | A total of 20400 mts (Horizontal and vertical) of development was proposed for BK series, K series, NB Series, HM Series and SB series lens | During the RY, a total of 13655.40 mts (Horizontal and vertical) development has been achieved for BK series, $K$ series, NB Series, HM Series and SB series lens | Violation of rule <br> 11(1) of MCDR, <br> 2017 issued for less development during previous inspection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | Not applicable as mining operation has been proposed through UG Mining Method | Not applicable | NIL |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | Not applicable being a UG mine | Not applicable | NIL |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | No proposal of topsoil generation during the RY | No topsoil has been generated during the RY | NIL |
| 2 e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | A total of 429000 tons of waste has been proposed to generate through UG development | A total of 454029 tons of waste has been generated. Waste quantity of 98527MT has been brought on surface for tailing dam construction | Violation of MCDR, 2017 prepared for more waste generation compared to development and issued during previous inspection. |
| 2 f | General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc |  |  | The underground method of development was proposed and same is suitable for the type of the deposit |

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 a | Number of pit proposed for production | A total of 16 Stope blocks at different level has been proposed for stoping operation | Stoping operation has been carried out in 12 stope blocks. | Shortfall was due to less development. <br> Violation for less development issued during previous inspection. |


| 3 b | ```Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed``` | 1.90 million tons | 1.51 million tons. | Less production was in account of less development. Violation for less development was issued during previous inspection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 c | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | 100\% ROM is usable for beneficiation | Entire ROM is being used for the purpose of beneficiation | Entire ROM is being dispatch to the beneficiation plant located in lease area |
| 3 d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | ```Mineral Reject generation (mill tailing)- 1642500 MT``` | Entire ROM is subject to beneficiation. A quantity of 1425731 MT (mill tailing) has been reported as mineral reject | Less generation due to less production of ROM |
| 3 e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | No proposal of grade | The grade of mil tailing reported was $0.32 \%$ $(\mathrm{Pb}+\mathrm{Zn}) .$ | Nil |
| 3 f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | No proposal of Sub-grade generation | Not applicable. | Nil |
| 3 g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | Not applicable as no proposal of sub-grade generation | Not applicable | NIL |
| 3h | Manual / <br> Mechanised <br> method adopted <br> for segregating <br> from ROM | No proposal of segregation as entire ROM is subject to beneficiation | Entire ROM is being beneficiated. | NIL |
| $3 i$ | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | No proposal of any fresh beneficiation study. <br> However, beneficiation facility of 4.8 mtpa is already in place within lease boundary. | Beneficiation of ROM is being carried out as per proposal. | ROM from Mochia, Balaria and Zawarmala mine is also beneficiated at same location. |


| 3 j | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | Drilling pattern for development in ore and rockBurn Cut method. <br> Drilling pattern in Stopes- Ring drilling with parallel hole drilling | Drilling and blasting have been carried out as per proposal in UG development and stopes | Nil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 k | ```Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches``` | Twin Boom Jumbo, Loaders, LPDT, Grader, scaler and utility vehicles etc. has been proposed for mine development and stoping operation. | Deployment of Mining machinery has been found to be as per proposal in UG operations. | NIL |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | Not applicable being a UG mine. | Not applicable. | NIL |
| 3 m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | Not applicable being a UG mine. | Not applicable. | NIL |
| $3 n$ | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | Not applicable being a UG mine | Not applicable. | Nil |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | Total area of 380.12 hectare has been proposed to put in use under different heads at the end of the year 2024-25 | As per AR 2022-23 an area of 325.23 has been utilized under different heads at the end of the year 2022-23 | Figures for entire Zawar lease area. |
| $3 p$ | Production of | 2018-19: | 2018-19: 999991 | All figures in |
|  | ROM mineral | 1000000 | 2019-20: 1180841 | Metric Tons (MT) |
|  | during the last | 2019-20: | 2020-21: 1402163 | for Baroi Mine. |
|  | five year period | 1460000 | 2021-22: 1554464 | Less production in |
|  | as applicable | $\begin{aligned} & 2020-21: \\ & 1500000 \\ & 2021-22: \\ & 1800000 \\ & 2022-23: \\ & 1900000 \end{aligned}$ | 2022-23: 1514579 | account of less development. |


|  | General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc. | ub level open toping method f underground ining has een proposed. | Method of mineral extraction as per proposal. | The method of mining proposed is suitable for the type of the deposit. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solid Waste Management - Dumping: |  |  |  |  |
| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
| 4 a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | No proposal of topsoil generation. OB/waste is proposed to dispose in UG stopes as well as for tailing dam construction. Mineral reject (Mill tailing) has been proposed to dispose in tailing dam | ```f Topsoil has not been generated during the reporting year. Waste and mill tailing has been disposed as per proposal.``` | Mill tailing of 1425731 MT of $0.328 \%(\mathrm{~Pb}+\mathrm{Zn})$ has been reported as Mineral reject. |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | No proposal of topsoil generation. OB/waste is proposed to dispose in UG stopes as well as for tailing dam construction. Mineral reject (Mill tailing) has been proposed to dispose in tailing dam | f Topsoil has not been generated during the reporting year. Waste and mill tailing has been disposed as per proposal. | Mill tailing of 1425731 MT of $0.328 \%(\mathrm{~Pb}+\mathrm{Zn})$ has been reported as Mineral reject. |
| 4 c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | No proposal. | Not applicable. | NIL |
| 4 d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Not applicable as Mining operations are being carried out through UG mining method. | Not applicable. | NIL |
| 4 e | Number of active and alive dumps. | No proposal. | Not applicable. | NIL |
| 4 f | Number of dead dumps. | No proposal. | Not applicable | NIL |


| 49 | Number of dumps established. | No proposal. | Not applicable. | NIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 h | Whether <br> Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | Not applicable as no proposal of waste dumping | Not applicable. | NIL |
| $4 i$ | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | No proposal. | Not applicable. | NIL |
| $4 j$ | Number of settling ponds | No proposal. | Not applicable. | NIL |
| 4 k | Specific <br> comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management |  |  | The waste generated during the development is being disposed in the stoped out area. No issue related to waste dumping. |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | Backfilling or waste dumping in UG voids has been proposed. | ```Dumping of waste has been carried out in UG stopes.``` | NIL |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | No specific proposal on area of backfilling. Waste quantity of 392000 MT was proposed to backfill. | Waste quantity of 355503 MT has been dispose in UG stopes. | NIL |
| 5 c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | No proposal of topsoil generation during reporting year. | No topsoil has been generated during the year. | NIL |
| 5 d | ```Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated``` | No proposal of full reclamation and or rehabilitation | Not applicable. | NIL |


| 5 e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | Backfilling or waste dumping in UG voids has been proposed. | Dumping of waste has been carried out in UG stopes. | The waste generated during the development is being disposed/backfille d in the stoped out area. No issue related to backfilling. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Progressive Mine Clo | lousre Plan: |  |  |
| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
| 6 a | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | As per rule 26(2) of MCDR, 2017, PMCP report to be submitted for previous year by 1st July. | PMCP report for the year 2022-23 has been submitted. | NIL |
| 6 b | ```Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .``` | No proposal. | Not applicable. | NIL |
| 6 c | afforestation done (ha). | ```5.0 Hectare area (gap filling).``` | 5.0 Hectare area (gap filling). | Figures for entire Zawar lease area. |
| 6 d | No. of saplings planted during the year | $\begin{aligned} & 5000 \text { (within } \\ & \text { lease area). } \end{aligned}$ | 5190 sapling planted with Zawar lease. | Figures for entire Zawar lease area. |
| $6 e$ | Cumulative no .of plants | No proposal on cumulative number of plants. | Cumulatively 447815 number of plants. | Figures for entire Zawar lease area. |
| 6 f | ```Any other method of rehabilitation``` | Other than plantation, no specific <br> proposal of any other method of rehabilitation | Not applicable. | NIL |
| 6 g | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | No specific proposal on cost of watch and care during the year. | Approximately Rs 577400/- | NIL |


| Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling ( Lx B x D | No specific proposal of area. A quantity of 392000 MT has been proposed to dispose in UG stopes. | The quantity of 355503 MT of waste has been dispose in UG stopes. | NIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | Waste quantity of 392000 MT was proposed to backfill. | Waste quantity of 355503 MT has been dispose in UG stopes. | NIL |
| Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii) Afforestati on on backfilled area | No proposal. | Not applicable. | NIL |
| Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) <br> Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | No proposal. | Not applicable. | NIL |
| Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v) any other specific means. | No proposal. | Not applicable. | NIL |
| Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease <br> (i) afforestation | 5.0 Hectare area (gap filling) with 5000 number of saplings. | 5.0 Hectare area gap filling) with 5190 number of saplings. | Figures for entire Zawar lease area. |
| Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) | No proposal. | Not applicable. | NIL |
| Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii) Method of rehabilitation | ```Plantation (gap filling).``` | ```Plantation (gap filling).``` | Nil |


| 6 p | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | Provision of environment monitoring has been given | Environment monitoring is being carried out and parameters are found to be within permissible limit. | Nil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 q | General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. | A total of 5000 number sapling has been proposed to plant. | A total of 5190 number of saplings has been planted. | PMCP compliance is satisfactory. |

## Mineral Conservation:

| Sl. No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | No specific proposal of grade wise sorting | Entire ROM ore is being transported to Ore beneficiation plant. | NIL |
| 7b | Method of gradewise mineral sorting i.e. <br> manual or mechanical. | Not applicable as no grade wise sorting. | Not applicable. | NIL |
| 7 c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | No proposal. | Not applicable. | NIL |
| 7 d | Any <br> beneficiation <br> process at mines | A beneficiation plant of 4.8 MTPA has been installed within lease area. | Beneficiation plant having capacity of 4.8 MTPA is already in place. The process includes mainly crushing and grinding of ROM, Classification , differential flotation etc. | NIL |
| $7 e$ | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | No specific proposal. | Not applicable. | No issues related to mineral conservation and beneficiation observed. Beneficiation of ROM is being carried out through beneficiation plant of 4.8 MTPA installed within the lease area. |

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | No proposal of topsoil generation | Not applicable. | NIL |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | Not applicable as no proposal of top soil generation. | Not applicable. | NIL |
| 8 c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | Waste has been proposed to dispose in UG stopes as well as for tailing dam construction. No proposal for fines generation. | Fines have not been generated during the year. Waste has been disposed in stoped out area. | NIL |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | Waste proposed to dispose in stopped out area and tailing dam construction. | Wate generated was disposed in U/G stoped out area and tailing dam cosnstruction. | NIL |
| 8 e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | No proposal | Not applicable. | NIL |
| 8 f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | An area of 5.0 hectare (gap filling) with 5000 number of saplings. | During the year a total of 5190 saplings have been planted over 5.0 ha area for gap filling plantation | NIL |
| 8 g | Survival rate | No specific proposal of for survival rate. | 90\% (as inform during mine inspection). | NIL |
| 8 h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | To control the air born dust particle, water sprinkling has been proposed over the roads by water tanker. | Water sprinkling has been observed. | NIL |


| 8i | ```General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area``` |  |  | Aesthetic beauty in and around mine is satisfactory as the working is being carried out through UG mining method. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comp | iance of Rule | $45:$ |  |  |
| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
| 9 a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | As per rule 45 of MCDR, 2017 monthly and annual returns to be submitted. | Monthly and annual <br> returns have been <br> submitted for the RY. | NIL |
| 9 b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Mining <br> Engineer: <br> Pratik <br> Vijayvargiya <br> Geologist: <br> Vivek Tyagi <br> Manager: Sri <br> Mahendra <br> Suthar | Mining Engineer, Manager and Geologist are appointed. | NIL |
| 9 c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | Reclaimed/reha bilitated45.07 <br> 0 hect., <br> Occupied by plant, buildings, residential, welfare buildings \& roads-275.16 hect., Work done under PMCP-5.0 hect | The land use area furnished appears in order | NIL |
| 9 d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | 5190 no. of plants within lease. | The information <br> furnished appears in order. | NIL |
| 9 e | ```Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)``` | MR: 1425731 tons | The quantity of tailing has been furnished as Mineral Reject | NIL |
| 9 f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | ROM closing <br> stock: Nil | Found in order. | NIL |


| 9 g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | No sale of mineral only captive use of mineral. Cost of production 4093.37 Rs./ton Ex mine prize $3666.44 \mathrm{Rs} / \mathrm{ton}$ | Discripancies has been discussed with mine management and to be corrected from next return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | Value of fixed asset <br> furnished is Rs. $6323546877.00$ | Appears in order. |
| 9 k | ```Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries``` | LHD, Dumper, Rock drill, Blast Hole drill, Motor grader, Front end loader, Explosive ven, Air compressor, ventilation fan and mine cars. | Information on mining machineries is furnished in the AR 2022-23 and seems to be in order. |


| Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of <br> violation pointed out |
| :--- |
| Violation observed |

## Date :

(Sh. Chandresh Bohra)
Indian Bureau of Mines

