MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

| S. N. | Particulars | Details |
|----------|--|--|
| 1 | Name of the Mine | Thimmappanagudi Ore Mine, ML No2549 |
| 2 | Total Lease Area (Ha) with breakup of Non-forest and forest land | Total Area – 46.20 ha Forest |
| 3 | Minecode | 30KAR03125 |
| 4 | IBM Registration Number under rule 45 of MCDR, 1988 | IBM/13651/2012 |
| 5 | Name of the lessee, Address, phone, email and fax number | Shri H.G. Rangan Goud |
| 6 | Village | Muraripura |
| 7 | Taluk/Mandal | Sandur |
| 8 | District | Ballari |
| 9 | Pincode | 583 119 |
| 10 | State | Karnataka |
| 11 | Post office | Sandur |
| 12 | Nearest police station | Sandur |
| 13 | Nearest Railway station | Toranagallu |
| 14 | Date of Grant of Mining Lease | 05/08/92 |
| 15 | Date of Execution | 05/08/92 |
| 16 | Date of opening of Mine | 10.12.2007 |
| 17 | Date of first Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry | 14.05.2007 |
| 18 | Date of second Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry | Not applicable |
| 19 | Date of submission of renewal application if Mining Operations are continuing under deemed extension | Not applicable |
| 20 | Name of the Nominated Owner with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment | Not applicable |
| 21 | Name of the Mine Agent with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment | Not applicable |
| 22 | Name of the Mines Manager with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment in mines | A.Sreekantha Reddy, Mining Engineer & Manager K.Santhosh Reddy, Geologist |
| 23 | Name of the Mining Engineer, Qualification and total experience with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment in mine | A.Sreekantha Reddy, Mining Engineer |
| 24 | Whether Geologist and Mining Engineer appointed in mines satisfy the rule 42 & carrying out their duties as per rule 43 & 44. | K.Santhosh Reddy, Geologist |
| 25 | Date of Approval of Mining Plan/Modified Mining Plan with five-year period and specific condition in approval letter, if any. | 25.02.22 |

1/33080/2024

| 26 | Date of Approval of Scheme of Mining/Modified Scheme of Mining with five-year period and specific condition in approval letter, if any. | NA |
|----|---|--|
| 27 | Mineral(s) granted in lease and proved for mining | Iron Ore |
| 28 | Method of Mining(Opencast, Underground) | Opencast |
| 29 | Category (Fully Mechanized, Others or Manual) | Fully Mechanized |
| 30 | Captive/ Non captive | Non Captive |
| 31 | Date of inspection | 19.01.24 |
| 32 | Name of accompanying mine officials with designation | A.Sreekantha Reddy, Mining Engineer K.Santhosh Reddy, Geologist |

Exploration

| S. N. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|----------|---|--|---|--|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for Geological axis 1 or 2. | 6 RC/Core boreholes(40m) 7Trial pits(3mx3mx3 mx) for the year 2022-23 | Nil | The Renewal of FC is under process. The mine was not in operations since 04.08.22. |
| 1c | Exploration Agency & Expenditure in lakh Rupees during the year | Not specified | nil | - |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | Not specified | G1- 11.57 Ha G2- NIL G3- Nil G4- 24.93 Ha | Only FC granted area has been considered. |
| 1e | Balance reserves as on 01.04.2023 | - | - | Reserves of Iron ore as on 1.4.2023: 2298352 t |
| 1f | General remarks of | The FC expired | on 04.08.2022, sin | nce then the |

1/33080/2024

| inspecting | officer on | mine is not operation. It is reported that the |
|------------|-------------|--|
| geology, | exploration | renewal of FC is under processing. Hence, no |
| etc. | | violation has been issued. |

Development

| S.N | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|-----|---|--|--|---|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t. lease area | E 665029 to E 665187 N 1675494 to 1675258 | The development carried out as proposed upto 3.8.2022. | The mine operations stopped on 04.8.2022 due to expiry of FC. |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and mineral (Rule 15) | yes | yes | - |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1:1.54 (tonnes) | 1: 1.56 (tonnes) | Iron ore: Ore:33696t Waste: 52656t |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | No proposal | Nil | No top soil generated. |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | 67235m³ | 20252m ³ | Iron ore: Ore:33696t Waste: 52656t |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officer on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc. | The development as proposed due has not been poin | to expiry of FC | |

Exploitation

| S. N. | Iter | m | | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|----------|--------|------|-----|-----------|-------------|---------|
| 3a | Number | of p | its | 1Pit | One pit | - |

| | proposed for production | | | |
|----|---|---|--|--|
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | 114000t | 33696t | The less production due to mine was not in operation for the entire year - |
| 3c | Recovery of salable/usable mineral from ROM production | 100% | 100% | - |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | Nil | NIL | - |
| 3e | Grade of mineral reject generation and threshold value declared | Nil | NIL | - |
| 3f | Quantity of sub- grade mineral generation | Nil | NIL | - |
| 3g | Grade of sub-grade mineral generation | Nil | NIL | - |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | Mechanised method for segregation | Mechanised method for segregation | Dry Crushing and Screening 200 TPH |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed & carried out for sub-grade mineral and reject | No proposal | No such beneficiation study carried out | - |
| 3j | Provision of drilling & blasting in mineral benches | Provision of Drilling and Blasting is proposed for mineral benches. | Drilling and Blasting has been carried out for production purpose. | - |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | Mining Machineries proposed- | Machinery deployed: Excavators- 3 | - |

| | | Excavators- 3 Tippers- 3 Drill-2 Wheel loader-2 Water tanker- 2(8000lts) Crusher-1(200 TH) | Tippers- 3 Drill-2 Wheel loader-2 Water tanker- 2(8000lts) Crusher-1(200 TH) | |
|----|--|---|--|--|
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | Bench Height- 6m Bench Width- >6m | Bench Height- 6m Bench Width- >6m | - |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | 6.30 Ha (At the end of the plan period) | 6.30Ha | - |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year | 1:1.54 (tonnes) | 1: 1.56 (tonnes) | Iron ore : Ore:33696t Waste: 52656t |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | 5.03 Ha | Mine Working- 4.89 Ha Dumping - 1.47ha Infrastructure- 0.77ha Mineral storage- 1.210 Ha | |
| 3p | Production of ROM mineral during last five-year period, as applicable | 114000t 2019-20: 114000t 2020-21: 114000t 2021-22: 113770t 2022-23: 114000t | 2018-19: 114000t 2019-20: 114000t 2020-21: 114000t 2021-22: 111550t 2022-23: 33696 t | |

| 3q | General remarks of | There is no change in method of mining. The less | | |
|----|--------------------|--|-----------------|---------------|
| | inspecting officer | production due to | mine was not in | operation for |
| | on method of | the entire year. The mine operations stopped or | | s stopped on |
| | mining etc. | 04.8.2022 due to ex | piry of FC. | |

Solid Waste Management-Dumping

| S.N | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|-----|---|---|---|---------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB & mineral reject (Rule 32, 33) | Separate Dumping of Overburden is proposed. | Separate Dumping of Overburden is carried out. | - |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB & mineral reject dumps | OB dump proposed in western and Northwestern side of mining lease area. | Overburden Dumping carried out in western and Northwestern side of mining lease area. | - |
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside lease area | Within Lease Area- 1 Outside lease- NIL | Within Lease Area- 1 Outside lease- NIL | - |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Outside UPL | Outside UPL | - |
| 4e | Number of active & alive dumps | one | one | - |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps | nil | nil | |
| 4g | Number of dumps stabilized | NIL | NIL | - |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there | Retaining wall & Garland drain proposed. | Retaining wall & Garland drain constructed. | - |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dump | 25mRetaining wall &25m Garland drain proposed. | 28mRetaining wall &29m Garland drain have been | - |

| | | | constructed. | |
|----|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | No proposal | Nil. | - |
| 4k | Specific comments of | | - | ts on waste |
| | inspecting officer on | dump managem | ent. | |
| | waste dump | | | |
| | management. | | | |

Solid Waste Management-Backfilling

| S.N | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|-----|--|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 5a | Status on part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling | No proposal | Nil | - |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | No proposal | Nil | - |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mined out area (Rule 32) | No proposal | Nil | - |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed & rehabilitated | No proposal | Nil | - |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officer on backfilling, reclamation etc | No backfilling 23. | g proposals for | the year 2022- |

Progressive Mine Closure Plan

| S.N | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|-----|---|---|-----------------------|------------------------|
| 6a | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly - Rule 23E(2). Details should be given in the format as given in Annexure-20. | To be submitted under rule 26(2) of MCDR, 2017 before 1st July of every year. | Submitted on 20.06.23 | - |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) | nil | nil | - |
| 6c | Afforestation done (ha) | 0.21Ha | 0.21ha | Plantation carried out |

| | | | | in the dump area. |
|----|---|--|--|---|
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | 525 saplings within the lease area | 600saplings within the lease area. | Plantation carried out in the dump area |
| 6e | Cumulative no. of plants | 22525saplings | 60515saplin gs within the lease area | - |
| 6f | Any other specific method of rehabilitation | No proposal | Nil | - |
| 6g | Cost incurred on watch & care during the year | Rs 1,05,000/- | Rs. 5,88,460/- | Towards watch and ward, watering & purchase of saplings |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (L x B x D) | No proposal | Nil | - |
| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Void filled by waste/tailings | No proposal | Nil | - |
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii) Afforestation on the backfilled area | No proposal | Nil | |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | No proposal | Nil | - |
| 6l | Compliance on | No proposal | Nil | - |

| 6m | reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v) Any other specific means Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste | | | - |
|----|---|--|---|---|
| | land within lease (i) Afforestation | No proposal | Nil | |
| 6n | Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii) Area rehabilitated (ha) | No proposal | Nil | - |
| 60 | Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii) Method of rehabilitation | No proposal | Nil | - |
| 6р | Compliance of Environmental monitoring (core zone & buffer zone) | Environment monitoring is proposed for Core and Buffer Zone. | Environmen t monitoring is being carried out monthly in Core and Buffer Zone. | All the environment al parameters observed to be within the permissible limits. |
| 6q | General remarks of inspecting officer on PMCP compliance & progressive closure operations | There are no spec | cific comments | on PMCP. |

Mineral Conservation

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|------|--|--|---|---------|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade- wise sorting within lease area | size wise sorting is proposed within lease area. | size wise sorting is done within lease area. | - |

| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical | Mechanical Sorting | Mechanical Sorting | Dry Crushing & Screening |
|----|--|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines | Grade wise sorting is proposed. | Grade wise sorting is done in lease area. | 0-10mm fines and lumps, 10mm to 40mm. |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines | No proposal | nil | - |
| 7e | | There are no s Conservation | 1 | ents on Mineral ion issues. |

Environment

| S. N. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|----------|---|---|---|---|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | No proposal | NIL | No top soil generated. Most of the area is broken up. |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | No proposal | Nil | - |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | Separate dumping for Overburden proposed. | Separate dumping of Overburden carried out. | - |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | No proposal | Nil | - |
| 8e | Phased restoration, | No proposal | Nil | - |

| | Mining Engineer, Geologist and | Manager K.Santhosh | | | |
|--------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| | Annual return for information on | Reddy, Mining Engineer & | | | |
| 9b | Scrutiny of | A.R. A.Sreekantha | Appears to | | _ |
| S. N. | Item | Details GIVEN in of I/Officer | | Remarks | |
| <u> </u> | Annual returns | submitted on 20.6.2023. | | | |
| | submission of Monthly and | | 23 | | - |
| N. 9a | Status of | | | | |
| Con | npliance of Rule 45 | COMMENTS | | | Remarks |
| | officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | and dround mines. | | | |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting | There is no cland around min | • | netic | beauty in |
| 8h | control airborne dust | Water sprinkling on haul roads proposed to Water tankers are deployed to control the airborne dust on haul roads | | os. of ter tanker h of 8000 rs capacity. | |
| 8g | Survival rate | 80% 8 | 30% | | |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation & additional plantation done (Rule 41) | 525 saplings within the lease area | 600 saplings within the lease area. | car | lantation ried out in dump area. |
| | reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | | | | |

| | Manager | Reddy, Geologist | | |
|----|---|---|---------------------------|------------------------|
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | Mine Working- 4.89 Ha Dumping - 1.47ha Infrastructure- 0.77ha Mineral storage- 1.210 Ha | Appears to be correct | - |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | 600 saplings within the ML with 80% survival rate. | Appears to be correct | - |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade & quantity) | Nil | Appears to be correct | - |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | O/S –nil Production of Iron ore - 33696t C/S –131 t | Appears to be correct | - |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price & production cost | Cost of production-Rs 4811.72/tonne. Av. Ex- mine price - Rs 0.00/tonne | Appears to be not correct | Violation pointed out. |
| 9i | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | Fixed Assets- Rs10334833/- | Appears to be correct | - |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | Machinery deployed: Excavators- 3 Tippers- 3 | Appears to be correct | - |

MCDR-MiFLOFE/19/2023-BNG-IBM_RO_BNG

1/33080/2024

| Drill-2 | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Wheel loader-2 | |
| Water tanker- | |
| 2(8000lts) | |
| 2(8000lts) Crusher-1(200 | |
| TH)) | |

Date:

(K. Srinivas) Junior Mining Geologist Indian bureau of Mines