INSPECTION REPORT

1.0 GENERAL

| S.No | Particulars | Details |
|----------|--|---|
| 1(a) | Name of the Mine | Dakor Bauxite Mine |
| 1(b) | Name of Inspecting Officer & Designation | Sh. SnehalKumar Patel Asst. Mining Engineer |
| 1(c) | Accompanying Mines Representatives | Sh. Rakesh Dodiya (I/c Mining Engineer) |
| 1(d) | Type of Inspection | MCDR/Star Rating |
| 1(e) | Date of Inspection | 19/01/2023 |
| 2 | Total Lease Area (Ha) with breakup of Non-forest and forest land | 4.82.58 ha (non-forest land) |
| 3 | Mine code | 07GUJ09007 |
| 4 | IBM Registration Number under rule 45 of MCDR, 1988 | IBM/8232/2011 |
| 5 | Name of the lessee, Address, phone, email and fax number | Late Smt. Pushpaben Hiralal Joshi Legal Heir Janakkumar kantilal Sadhu – R/o 2531 Alkapuri Society Opp Pranami Temple, Dakor Ta : Thasra Dist : Kheda Gujarat- 388 225 |
| 6 | Village | Dakor |
| 7 | Taluka/Mandal | Thasra |
| 8 | District | Kheda |
| 9 | Pin code | 388 225 |
| 10 | State | Gujarat |
| 11 | Post office | Dakor |
| 12 | Nearest Police station | Dakor |
| 13 14 | Nearest Railway station | Dakor 07.04.1000 |
| | Date of Grant of Mining Lease Date of Execution | 07-04-1980 06-10-1980 |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | Date of opening of Mine Date of first Renewal, if | 06-10-1980 |
| 17 | applicable and its period & expiry | NA |
| 18 | Date of second Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry | NA |
| 19 | Date of submission of renewal application if Mining Operations are continuing under deemed extension | 01-05-1999 |
| 20 | Name of the Nominated Owner with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment | NA |
| 21 | Name of the Mine Agent with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment | NA |
| 22 | Name of the Mines Manager with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment in mines | NA |
| 23 | Name of the Mining Engineer, Qualification and total experience with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment in mine | Sh. Rakesh Bhupatbhai Dodiya (Mining Engg.) 216 Shivam Complex Sola Science city road Ahmedabad 15/07/2020 |
| 24 | Whether Geologist and Mining Engineer appointed in mines | Yes |

| | satisfy the rule 42 & carrying out their duties as per rule 43 & 44. | |
|----|---|--|
| 25 | Date of Approval of Mining Plan/Modified Mining Plan with five-year period and specific condition in approval letter, if any. | Mining Plan approval date 19-11-2004 with five year period |
| 26 | Date of Approval of Scheme of Mining/Modified Scheme of Mining with five-year period and specific condition in approval letter, if any. | Review of Mining Plan approval date 17-08-2017 with five year period (2017-18 to 2021-22) Review of Mining Plan approval date 12-04-2022 with five year period (2022-23 to 2026-27) |
| 27 | Mineral(s) granted in lease and proved for mining | Bauxite |
| 28 | Method of Mining(Opencast, Underground) | Opencast |
| 29 | Category (Fully Mechanised, Others or Manual) | "A" |
| 30 | Captive/Non Captive | Non Captive |

Scientific Mining: Compliance of proposals of approved mining plan/scheme of mining. – **Exploration**

| S.No. | Item | Proposals(2021-22) | Actual work | Remarks |
|-------|--|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | No proposal | Nil | |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for Geological axis 1 or 2. | No proposal | Nil | |
| 1c | Exploration Agency & Expenditure in lakh Rupees during the year | No proposal was there | Not applicable | |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | | | |
| 1e | Balance reserves as on 01.01.2022 (in million tonnes) | 0.695310 | 0.695310 | |
| 1f | General remarks of inspecting officer on geology, exploration etc. | Full exploration of area is | yet to be carried out. | |

2.0 Development

| S.No. | Item | Proposals (2021-22) | Actual work | Remarks |
|-------|---|--|-------------|---------|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t. lease area | Pit-2 | Pit-2 | |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and mineral (Rule 15) | No topsoil available in remarkable thickness | NA | |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1:0.00 | NA | |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | No proposal | NA | |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | No proposal | NA | |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officer on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc. | Satisfactory. | | |

3.0 Exploitation

| S.No. | Item | Proposals (2021-22) | Actual work | Remar |
|--------|--------|---------------------|-------------|----------|
| J.140. | ILCIII | | ACLUAL WOLK | IXCIIIAI |

| | | | | | | ks |
|------------|--|------------------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------|-------|
| 3a | Number of pits proposed for production | Pit-2 | | Pit-2 | | |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed (in tonnes) | 50000 | | 50000 | | |
| 3c | Recovery of saleable / usable mineral from ROM production | | oposal of 00% ROM is | Nil | | |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | Not applica | able | Nil | | |
| 3e | Grade of mineral reject generation and threshold value declared | Not applica | able | Nil | | |
| 3f | Quantity of sub-grade mineral generation | Not applica | able | Nil | | |
| 3g | Grade of sub-grade mineral generation | Not applica | able | Nil | | |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | Not applica | able | Nil | | |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed & carried out for subgrade mineral and reject. | Not applica | able | Nil | | |
| 3 <u>j</u> | Provision of drilling & blasting in mineral benches | Not applica | able | Nil | | |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | Rock break | er | Front end 1, Tipper-1 - 17 tonnes | | |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | OB – no Mineral – 3 | t available 3.0m | Height- 3. | 00M | |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | 1.45 | | 0.93 | | |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year | 1:0 | | 1:0 | | |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | 2.11 | | 1.65 | | |
| 3р | Production of ROM mineral during last five-year period, as applicable | 2017-18 | 25274 | 2017-18 | Nil | |
| | | 2018-19 | 30400 | 2018-19 | Nil | |
| | (in million tonnes) | 2019-20 | 37149 | 2019-20 | 45000 | |
| | | 2020-21 | 45021 | 2020-21 | 44300 | |
| 2 | Compared removaling of transport | 2021-22 | 50000 | 2021-22 | 50000 | |
| 3q | General remarks of inspecting officer on method of mining etc. | Production inspection | | quality iss | sues. No workii | ng on |

4.0 Solid Waste Management-Dumping

| S.No. | Item | Proposals (2021-22) | Actual work | Remarks |
|-------|--|----------------------|----------------|---------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB & mineral reject (Rule 32, 33) | No proposal | Nil | |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB & mineral reject dumps | No proposal | Nil | |
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside lease area | No proposal | Nil | |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | No proposal | Nil | |
| 4e | Number of active & alive dumps | No proposal | Nil | |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps | No proposal | Nil | |
| 4g | Number of dumps stabilized | Nil | Nil | |

| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland | NA | NA | |
|----|-------------------------------------|--|----|--|
| | drain all along dumps are there | | | |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland | NA | NA | |
| | drain all along dump | | | |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | NA | NA | |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting | No waste material observe during the inspection. | | |
| | officer | | - | |

5.0 Solid Waste Management-Backfilling

| S.No. | Item | Proposals (2021-22) | Actual work | Remarks |
|-------|--|----------------------|-------------|---------|
| 5a | Status on part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling | No proposal | Nil | |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | No proposal | Nil | |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mined out area (Rule 32) | Backfilling | Nil | |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed & rehabilitated | No proposal | Nil | |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officer on backfilling, reclamation etc | NA | | |

6.0 Progressive Mine Closure Plan

| S.No. | Item | Proposals (2021-22) | Actual work | Remar ks |
|-------|---|--|---|---|
| 6a | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly - Rule 26(2). Details should be given in the format as given in Annexure-20. | Yes | Yes. | |
| 6b | Management of worked/mined out benches i) Area available for rehabilitation (ha) ii) Afforestation done (ha) iii) No. of saplings planted during the year iv) Cumulative no. of plants v) Any other specific method of rehabilitation vi) Cost incurred on watch & care during the year | No Proposals 0.07 70 NA NA 10000/- | Nil 0.07 Approx. 50% survival NA NA Yes | Cost : Related to Plantati on |
| 6c | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L X B X D) ii) Void filled by waste/tailings iii) Afforestation on the backfilled area iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir v) Any other specific means | No proposal | Nil | |
| 6d | Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease i) Afforestation ii) Area rehabilitated (ha) iii) Method of rehabilitation | i) 0.07ha ii) no proposal iii) no proposal | i) 0.07ha ii) Nil iii) Nil | |
| 6e | Compliance of Environmental monitoring (core zone & buffer | Yes | Yes | |

| | zone) | | | |
|----|--|--------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| 6f | General remarks of inspecting officer on PMCP compliance & progressive | Strikingly aesthetic pla | antation at office premises. | |

7.0 Mineral Conservation

| S.No | Item | Proposals (2021-22) | Actual work | Remarks |
|------|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or gradewise sorting within lease area (in tonnes) | No Proposal | Nil | The ROM (Bauxite) is directly |
| 7b | Method of grade-wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical | Manual | Manual | sold to the Cement Plant for |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines | Al ₂ O ₃ >30 % | Al ₂ O ₃ >30 % | cement manufactu ring. |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines | No proposal | Nil | Tillig. |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation & beneficiation issues | Found Satisfactory. | | |

8.0 Environment

| S.No. | Item | Proposals (2021-22) | Actual work | Remarks |
|-------|--|---|----------------------------------|---------|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | No proposal | Nil | |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | No proposal (although soil encountered if any was proposed to be utilized for plantation purpose) | Nil | |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | No proposal | Nil | |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | No proposal | Nil | |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc). | No proposal | Nil | |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation & additional plantation done (Rule 41) | 70 | Nil | |
| 8g | Survival rate | Assumed 60% | 50% | |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | Proposed | as per proposal by water tankers | |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines are | Found Satisfactory. | | |

9.0 Compliance of Rule 45

| S.No. | Item | M.R. Submitted up to Feb-2023 A.R. submitted up to 2021-2022 | | Remarks |
|-------|---|---|--|---------|
| | Status of submission of Online Monthly and Annual returns | | | |
| S.No. | Item | Details GIVEN in A.R | Observation of I/ Officer /Actual reported in Returns | Remarks |
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Mining Engg. Sh. Rakesh Dodiya Geologist - Ami Jain Mine Manger Kanabhai B. Borkhatariya | Found Satisfactory. | |
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. (Ha) | Pit: 0.930 Reclaimed: 00; Occupied by buildings, roads: 0.120 Dump: 0.6 Hect | Found Satisfactory. | |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | 80 | Found Satisfactory. | |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade & quantity) | Nil | Found Satisfactory. | |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore (Tonne) | Yes | Found Satisfactory. | |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price & production cost | Sale value: No dispatched Ex. Mine price: 615 & production cost: 234.30 | Found Satisfactory. | |
| 9i | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | 624000 | Found Satisfactory | |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | SHOVEL (HYDRAULIC - 01 DUMPER- 01 WATER TANKER- 01 | Found Satisfactory | |

WATER TANKER- 01

10- Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of earlier violation pointed out: - No violation pending. During inspection violation of Rule 11(1), 33 read with 31(4) for the rectification of the same within a period of 45 Days.

स्नेहलकुमार पटेल सहायक खनन अभियंता एवं केन्द्रीय जन सूचना अधिकारी भारतीय खान ब्यूरो

* * * *