MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

General

| S N | Particulars | Details |
|----------|--|---|
| 1 | File no. | MCDR-MiFL0FE/24/2022-BBS- |
| <u> </u> | 1 110 110. | IBM_RO_BBS |
| 2 | Name of the Inspecting Officer | Shri Vikram Prakash Deshpande, ACOM |
| 3 | Name of the Mine | Barsua Iron Mine |
| 4 | Total Lease Area (Ha) with breakup of Non-forest and forest land | Barsua Iron Mine part is having an area of 1054.367Ha. (Forest Land=1005.529 Ha. Non Forest Land=48.838 Ha.) out of the total Lease area of Amalgamated Lease of ML-130 & ML-162 i.e. 2564.323 Ha. (Forest land =2425.613 Ha., Nonforest land = 138.71 Ha.) |
| 5 | Mine code | 30 ORI 13001 |
| 6 | Date of Inspection | 10.06.2024 |
| 7 | Name of official accompanying inspection | Sri P. C. Barua, Mines Manager (BIM) Sri H Sahu, AGM (Geology) Sri K K Singh, Dy. Manager (Survey) |
| 8 | IBM Registration Number under rule 45 of MCDR, 1988 | IBM/5662/2011 |
| 9 | Name of the lessee, Address, phone, email and fax number | M/s. Steel Authority of India Ltd. Sri Atanu Bhowmick Director-in-charge Rourkela Steel Plant, SAIL Rourkela, 769011. Email: rsp.coc@gmail.com Fax: 0661-2511072 |
| 10 | Village | Tensa |
| 11 | Taluka/Mandal | Koira |
| 12 | District | Sundargarh |
| 13 | Pincode | 770042 |
| 14 | State | Odisha |
| 15 | Post office | Tensa |
| 16 | Nearest police station | Lahunipara |
| 17 | Nearest Railway station | Barsua |
| 19 | Date of Grant of Mining Lease Date of Execution | 06.01.1960 Original Lease Deed : 06.01.1960 Supplementary Lease Deed : 13.11.2014 Amalgamated Supplementary Lease Deed : 30.03.2021 |
| 20 | Date of opening of Mine | 01.07.2016 |
| 21 | Date of first Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry | 06.01.1990 |
| 22 | Date of second Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry | 06.01.2010; Period 06.01.2010 to 05.01.2030 |
| 23 | Date of submission of renewal application if Mining Operations are continuing under deemed extension | N.A. |

| 24 | Name of the Nominated Owner with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment | | Shri Atanu Bhowmick Director-in-charge Rourkela Steel Plant, SAIL Rourkela, 769011. Email: rsp.coc@gmail.com Fax: 0661-2511072 DoA: 11.02.2022 |
|----|---|--|--|
| 25 | Name of the Mine Agent with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment | | Shri T Patnaik, General Manager I/c, Barsua Iron Mine, SAIL, At/PO- Tensa, Dist. Sundargarh, Odisha-770042. e-mail: gmofficebim@gmail.com Dt. of Appt.: 01.08.2022 Mob: 8895502365 |
| 26 | Name of the Mines Manager with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment in mines | | Shri P.C. Barua M.Tech. (Mining) At/PO- Tensa, Dist. Sundargarh, Odisha-770042 pcbarua@gmail.com Mob:8895502359 DoA: 23.03.2023 |
| | Name of the Mining Engineer & Geologist, | Mining engineer Qualification Date of appointment Address phone/email | Shri S. Kumar, B. E (Mining) At/PO- Tensa, Dist. Sundargarh, Odisha-770042 15+ Years Experience Mob. No8986881534 DoA: 16.02.2019 |
| 27 | Qualification and total experience | Geologist Qualification Date of appointment Address phone/email | Shri H Sahu, M. Sc. in Geology 15+ years Experience At/PO- Tensa, Dist. Sundargarh, Odisha-770042 Mob. No. 8895502456 DoA: 20 /04/2024 |
| 28 | Whether Geologist and appointed in mines sat carrying out their dutie 44. | isfy the rule 42 & | Vas |
| 29 | Date of Approval of Review of Mining Plan/Modified Mining Plan with five-year period and specific condition in approval letter, if any. | | plan for 2021-22 to 2024-25) |
| 30 | Date of Approval of Scheme of Mining/Modified Scheme of Mining with five-year period and specific condition in approval letter, if any. | | Review of Mining Plan 2020-21 to 2024-25: 08.11.2019 Modification of Review of Mining Plan 2020-21 to 2024-25: 03.02.2021 |
| 31 | Mineral(s) granted in lea | ase and proved for | Iron Ore (Hematite) |
| 32 | Method of Mining(Open- Underground) | cast, | Opencast |
| 33 | Category (Fully Mechan Manual) | ized, Others or | Fully Mechanized |
| 34 | Captive/Non Captive | | Captive |
| | | | F.No.J-11015/351/2006-IAII(M) |

1/35243/2024

| 35 | Environment Clearance grant date & limit | Date of Approval-28.04.2023 |
|----|--|-----------------------------|
| | of EC | Limit of EC- 5.0 MTPA |
| | | Validity:27/04/2053 |
| | | No.19762/IND-I-CON-I(A) |
| 36 | СТО | Date of Approval-14.12.2023 |
| 30 | CIO | Limit of CTO - 5.0 MTPA |
| | | Validity:31/03/2025 |

_

_

_

_

_

_

_

_

_

_

<u>Exploration</u>

| Expid | Exploration | | | | | |
|-------|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks | | |
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | All lease area | | |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for Geological axis 1 or 2. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | covered under G-1. | | |
| 1c | Exploration Agency & Expenditure in lakh Rupees during the year | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Exploration completed in 2022- 23 period. | | |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | Nil | Not Applicable | Total lease area has been explored under G-1 | | |
| 1e | Balance reserves as on 01.04.2024 (in MT) | As on 31.10.2020 111: 104.529 121: 26.188 211: 77.024 221: 30.508 Total: 238.249 | As on 01.04.2024 111: 95.882 121: 25.858 211: 77.024 221: 30.508 Total: 229.272 | | | |
| 1f | General remarks of inspecting officer on geology, exploration etc. | N20 ⁰ W-S20 ⁰ E t part and 10 ⁰ to | o E-W and dips 30 50 ⁰ in eastern par area has been | ⁰ E - S60 ⁰ W to N-S & 0 ⁰ to 80 ⁰ in western t of the ML area. The covered under G-1 | | |

_

Development

| | <u>Development</u> | | | | | |
|------|--|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks | | |
| | Location of | Area-3: | Area-3: | The excavation | | |
| | development w.r.t. lease | | 308712E to | is not carried | | |
| | area | 309150 E, | 308954 E, | out as per | | |
| | | 2419400 N to | 2419500 N to | proposal | | |
| | | 2420250 N | 2420200 N | therefore | | |
| 2a | | | | violation of Rule | | |
| | | Area-5: | Area-5: | 11(1) of MCDR | | |
| | | 307900 E to | 307858 E to | 2017 pointed | | |
| | | 308550 E, | 308152 E, | out to the | | |
| | | 2416900 N to | 2417214 N to | lessee. | | |
| | | 2417750 N | 2417472 N | | | |
| | Separate benches in | Separate benches | _ | Area-3: | | |
| | - | in, mineral and | benches in, | Overburden- 8 | | |
| | mineral (Rule 15) | Overburden | mineral and | benches | | |
| | | proposed. | Overburden | Mineral- 8 | | |
| 2b | | | maintained. | benches | | |
| 2.0 | | | | Area-5: | | |
| | | | | Overburden- 3 | | |
| | | | | benches | | |
| | | | | Mineral- 3 | | |
| | | | | benches | | |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio (T/T) | 1: 0.068 | 1:0. 269 | No Significant change. | | |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil | Topsoil to a tune | No topsoil | Area-5: 10300 | | |
| | generation in m ³ | of 10300 Cu.m | generated during | | | |
| | 9001-00-01 | proposed to be | reporting year. | | | |
| | | generated | | | | |
| | | during reporting | | | | |
| | | year. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2e | Quantity of | | | For excess | | |
| | overburden/waste | | | waste | | |
| | generation in m ³ | | | generation | | |
| | 9 | 270405 | 702001 74 | violation of Rule | | |
| | | 270405 | 702801.74 | 11(1) of MCDR | | |
| | | | | 2017 pointed | | |
| | | | | out to the | | |
| | | | | lessee. | | |
| 2f | General remarks of | The mine working | is confined to 2 p | its. The work has | | |
| | inspecting officer on | not been carrie | - | | | |
| 1 | | | | - | | |
| | development of pit | approved modifica | ation of MP | | | |
| | development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit | approved modifica | ation of MP | | | |

-

_

Exploitation

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|------|------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| | | | | |

| 3a | Number of pits proposed for production | Two pits proposed for production. | Production carried out in 2 pits | Area-3 (1000mLx800mBx180mD) and Area-5 (600mLx300mBx60mD) |
|----|--|---|--|---|
| 3b | Quantity of ROM production | 3991049.5 MT | 2607782.00 MT | For less achievement of targeted ROM Production violation of Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017 pointed out to the lessee. |
| 3с | Recovery of salable/usable mineral from ROM production | 81.73% | 100 % | |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | 729022 T | 204810 T. | |
| | | Grade: Fe >45% to <57% | Grade: Fe >45% to <57% | |
| 3e | and threshold | Tailing : Fe >45% to <57% | | |
| | value declared | Threshold: Fe 45% | Threshold: Fe 45% | |
| 3f | Quantity of sub- grade mineral generation | 729022 T. | 204810 T. | |
| 3g | Grade of sub- grade mineral generation | 45-57% Fe | 45-57% Fe | |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanized method adopted for segregating from ROM | Mechanized method proposed for segregating from ROM | Mechanized method adopted for segregating from ROM | |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed & carried out for sub-grade mineral and reject. | Analysis proposed for sub-grade mineral and reject. | out for sub- | Screening of subgrade materials carried out in departmental laboratory. The +10mm lump recovery varying between 30 to 40% with a quality of 52 to 55% Fe. |
| 3j | Provision of drilling & blasting in mineral benches | Blasting | Drilling and Blasting carried out in mineral benches. | Blast hole diameter: 150mm Blasting is done by using ANFO and slurry explosives with NONEL. Burden 3.5m Spacing:5.0m |
| 3k | Provision of mining machinery in | Use of HEMMs is proposed in Mineral Benches. | l | Mining is carried out by mechanized open cast mining in combination of backhoe(4.3 Cu.m) and Dumper (60T/100T |

| | mineral benches | | HEMMs in mineral benches | tonne)with deep hole drilling and blasting. |
|----|---|--|--|---|
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | benches | Height of the benches broadly maintained as proposed. | |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | 191.55 Ha. | 183.20 Ha. | |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year(T/T) | 1: 0.068 | 1: 0.269 | No significant change |
| | | As per the proposal | Actual | |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | Area under Excavation: | Area under Excavation: 183.20 ha. Overburden Dump: 7.702 ha. Mineral storage: 71.095 ha. Others: 44.65 ha. Total area: 306.647 ha. | |
| 3р | Production of ROM mineral during last five- year period, as applicable Year- 2019-20 Year- 2020-21 Year- 2021-22 Year-2022-23 | 3.49 MT 3.49 MT 3.49 MT 3.99 MT | 2.04 MT 2.41 MT 2.87 MT 2.16 MT | For not achieving targeted production Violation of Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017 pointed out to the lessee. |
| 3q | Year-2023-24 General remarks of inspecting officer on method of mining etc. | Dumper combina | | g method with Backhoe- high benches and deep |

_

Solid Waste Management-Dumping

-

| S.N | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|-----|------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| | | Separate dumping of OB | Dumping of OB in Back | Dumping of |
| | | and Mineral reject | Filling at Area-3 Pit and | OB in |
| | | - | | |

| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB & mineral reject (Rule 32, 33) | proposed. | • | BWD-10 could not be done and hence Violation of Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017 pointed out to the lessee. |
|----|--|---|---|--|
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB & mineral reject dumps | 3 0 9 3 7 8 E 2419814N-2419642N BSD-2 308460E- 3 0 8 6 6 4 E 2418211N-2418484N BSD-2&4 308204E- 3 0 8 4 1 4 E 2417810N-2418100N BSD-3 308206E- 3 0 8 2 8 8 E 2419124N-2419228N BSD-5 309290E- 3 0 9 3 5 8 E 2420039N-2420083N BSD-7 308618E- 3 0 8 7 8 6 E 2418912N-2418584N BSD-9 308876E- 3 0 9 0 3 6 E 2419404N-242419562N | 3 0 8 3 9 0 E 2417810N-2418100N BSD-3 308206E- 3 0 8 2 8 8 E 2419124N-2419228N BSD-5 309290E- 3 0 9 3 5 8 E 2420039N-2420083N BSD-7 308618E- 3 0 8 7 8 6 E 2418912N-2418584N BSD-9 308876E- 3 0 9 0 3 6 E 2419404N-242419562N | and hence Violation of Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017 pointed out to the lessee. |
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside the lease area | All waste dump and mineral reject dumps are within Lease area Waste Dump: 1 no. Mineral Reject Dump: 8 nos. | _ | |

| 4d | II ocation of dilmis | are outside UPL & BSD- | BSD-1, 3, 4 & 5 BWD-8 are outside UPL & BSD-2, 2&4, 7 and 9 are within UPL | |
|----|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------|
| 4e | Number of active & alive dumps | Mineral reject dump: 1 no. (BSD-2&4) | Mineral reject dump: 1 no. (BSD-2&4) | As per proposal |
| | Number of dead | Mineral reject dump: 6 | Mineral reject dump: | As per |

1/35243/2024

| 4f | dumps | nos. (BSD-1, 2, 3,4, 5, 7, 9) | 6 nos. (BSD-1, 2, 3,4, 5, 7, 9) | proposal |
|----|--|---|--|---|
| 4g | Number of dumps stabilized | Mineral reject dump: 7 nos. (BSD-1, 2, 3,4, 5, 7, 9) | Mineral reject dump: 7 nos. (BSD-1, 2, 3,4, 5, 7, 9) | As per proposal |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there | Retaining wall or garland drain proposed all along the dumps. | | - |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along the dump | Retaining Wall of 1040m and Garland Drain of 1070m proposed. | Not done. | Violation of Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017 pointed out to the lessee. |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | 4 | Nil | Violation of Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017 pointed out to the lessee. |
| | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | Overburden, mineral and per proposal in the appro | | nent is not as |

_

Solid Waste Management-Backfilling

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|------|---|--|-------------|---|
| 5a | Status on part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling | No such proposal | | Back filling have been proposed after complete extraction of ore from lower benches of pit-3. |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | No backfilling proposed during reporting year. | | out to the lessee. |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mined out area (Rule 32) | No such proposal | Not done | |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed | Nil | Nil | |

| l | | & rehabilitated | |
|---|----|--------------------------|---|
| Ī | 5e | General remarks of | During inspection it was observed that material from |
| | | inspecting officer on | area 3 was being dumped over backfilled area of pit-3 |
| | | backfilling, reclamation | for which Violation of Rule 11(1) of MCDR 2017 |
| | | etc | pointed out to the lessee. |

_

Progressive Mine Closure Plan

| | ressive Mille Clos | | , | |
|------|---|--|--|---------|
| Sl.N | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
| 6a | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | As per rule 23E(2) of MCDR, 2017, the holder of mining lease shall submit to the competent authority a yearly report as per the format specified by the IBM, before 1st day of July every year setting forth the extent of the protective and rehabilitative works carried out as envisaged in the approved mine closure plan, and if there is any deviation, reasons thereof. | vide letter dated 07.06.2024 for the year 2023-24. | |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha). | Nil | Nil | |
| 6c | Afforestation done (ha). | 0.25 | 0.50 | |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | 625 | 1000 | |
| 6e | Cumulative no. of plants | 625 | 2500 | |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | Nil | Nil | |
| 6g | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | Rs. 37500.00 | Rs. 53000.00 | |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | Nil | Nil | |
| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids | Nil | Nil | |

| | filled by waste / tailings | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestation on backfilled area | Nil | Nil | |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | Nil | Nil | |
| 6l | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v) any other specific means. | Nil | Nil | |
| 6m | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | Nil | Nil | |
| 6n | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) | Nil | Nil | |
| 6o | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii) Method of rehabilitation | Nil | Nil | |
| 6p | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | Environmental monitoring in Core zone and buffer zone proposed as per MOEFCC and SPCB guidelines | AAQ, Water Quality and Noise Level are being | Environmental parameters found to be |

| 6q | IDIVIL D COMPLIANCO AND | The lessee has done adequate plantation and is regularly monitoring the Environmental Parameters. |
|----|-------------------------|---|
|----|-------------------------|---|

<u>ieral Conservation</u>

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| | ROM Mineral | Grade wise | Grade wise | Sorting done in two |
| | dispatch or | mineral sorting | mineral sorting | 45%Fe to 57% Fe and |
| 7a | grade-wise | within lease area. | within lease area | +57% Fe |
| | sorting within | | carried out. | |
| | lease area | | | |
| 7b | Method of | Mechanical | Mechanical | >57% Fe feed to |
| | grade-wise | method of mineral | | crusher and partly |
| | mineral sorting | sorting proposed | mineral sorting | subgrade |
| | i.e. manual or | | carried out | materials/mineral reject |
| | mechanical | | | blended with high |
| | D:00 1 1 | 450/ 550/ F | 450/ 550/ E | grade ore. |
| _ | Different grade of mineral | 45% - 57% Fe and | | |
| 7c | | <57% Fe | <57% Fe | |
| | sorted out at mines | | | |
| 7d | Any | Beneficiation | Beneficiation | Washing plant with |
| 'u | beneficiation | | | 800tph capacity |
| | process at | process proposed | out. | available in the Ore |
| | mines | | out. | Handling Plant in the |
| | | | | mine. |
| 7e | General | Washing plant wi | th 800tph capacit | y available in the Ore |
| | remarks of | Handling Plant in the | | J |
| | inspecting | 5 | | |
| | officer on | | | |
| | Mineral | | | |
| | conservation & | | | |
| | beneficiation | | | |
| | issues | | | |

ironment

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|------|---|--|---|--|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | Removal: 10300 M ³ Utilization: 10300 M ³ | Removal: Nil Utilization: Nil | |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | Storage: Nil | Storage: Nil | |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | waste , rejects | Separate dumps for waste , rejects made | Waste dump: BSD-8 Mineral reject dump: 8 nos. (BSD-1, 2, 2&4, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9) |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | No proposal for the year for reporting year. | Not done | |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands | No proposal for the year for reporting year. | Not done | |

| | affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc.) | | | |
|----|--|-----|------|--|
| | Baseline information on existence of plantation & additional plantation done (Rule 41) | 625 | 1000 | |
| 8g | Survival rate | | 85% | |

| 8h | Water sprinkling on | Water sprinkling on | Permanent | |
|----|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| | roads to control | roads to control | sprinkling system | |
| | airborne dust | airborne dust | is installed in | |
| | | proposed. | haulage road and | |
| | | | water tanker also | |
| | | | routinely used. | |
| | | | Regular water | |
| | | | sprinkling is being | |
| | | | done with one 12 | |
| | | | KL & one 20 KL | |
| | | | mobile water | |
| | | | tanker used. | |
| 8i | General remarks of | Aesthetic beauty in & | around mines area is well | |
| | inspecting officer on | maintained. The lesse | e is implementing the PMCP | |
| | aesthetic beauty in | proposal and has car | ried out adequate plantation. | |
| | and around mines area | area Sprinkling of water on Haul roads and transp | | |
| | | using water tanker is do | ne to suppress the dust. | |

Compliance of Rule 45

| S.N. | Item | Observation | of I/Officer | Remarks |
|------|--|---|--|---------|
| 9a | | M.R. Submitted upto A.R. Submitted upto 2023-24. | _ | |
| | Item | As per AR | As Observed | |
| 9b | information on Mining Engineer, | Mines Manager- Shri P.C. Barua Mining Engineer- Shri S. Kumar Mining Geologist: Shri R.P. Mondal | Mines Manager- Shri P.C. Barua Mining Engineer- Shri S. Kumar Mining Geologist: Shri Harihara Sahu | |
| 9c | return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, | | Broadly as per proposal | |

1/35243/2024

| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | 7000 Saplings Planted | Appears to be correct | All within mining lease |
|----|---|--|---|---|
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade & quantity) | | Appears to be correct | Tailing: 53 to 56% Fe 204810 tonnes. All generated reject (including tailings) blended with production. |
| 9f | J | tonnes | Appears to be correct | |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price & production cost | Fines-Rs 1664 CLO-Rs 2240 Cost of Production is Rs 1792 | The Ex-Mine Price for fines and CLO are not correct as for captive consumption the cost of production is the Ex-Mine Price. | correct Ex-Mine price violation of Rule 45(7) of MCDR 2017 pointed out to |
| 9h | return on fixed assets | | correct | This asset is common for Barsua & Taldih Iron Mine. |
| 9i | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries. | - | Broadly as per proposal | |