# INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

### Review and updation of Mining Plan REPORT

### Hyderabad regional office

Mine file No : AP/RR/LST-1/HYD Mine code : 38APR23001

(i) Name of the Inspecting :  $R \!\!\!\!/ \!\!\!/ \!\!\!/ M \!\!\!\!/$  ) RAMKISHAN R

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Deputy Controller Mines

(iii) Accompaning mine : Shri D Samadder, HOD (Mines)

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 03-OCT-23
(v) Prev.inspection date : 29-NOV-18

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

. (a) Mine Name : KARANKOTE

(b) Registration NO. : IBM/5210/2011

(c) Category : A Mechanised

(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

State : TELANGANA
District : RANGAREDDY
Village : KARANKOTE
Taluka : TANDUR

Post office : CCI TANDUR

Pin Code : 501158

FAX No. : 08411-247218

E-mail : miningccitandur@mail.com

Phone : 08411-247223

(f) Police Station : KARANKOTE
(g) First opening date : 12-JAN-83

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

2. Address for : CEMENT CORPORATION OF INDIA,

correspondance TANDUR CEMENT FACTORY,

TANDUR, R.R. DIST.

3. (a) Lease Number : APR0069
(b) Lease area : 255.15

(c) Period of lease : 20

(d) Date of Expiry : 21-JAN-94

4. Mineral worked : LIMESTONE Main

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : CEMENT CORPORATION OF INDIA LTD

CORE-V,SCOPE COMPLEX 7,LODHI ROAD,NEW DELHI 110003 ADILABAD TELANGANA

Phone: 011-24360158 FAX : 011-24364555

Owner : Shri R.P.Singh

Core 5, Scope Complex, 7 Lodhi Delhi. DELHI DELHI

Phone: 011-24360158 FAX : 011-24364555

Agent : VIVEK KUMAR

TANDUR CEMENT FACTORY CCI

TANDUR RANGAREDDY

TELANGANA

Phone: (08411)247221/223 FAX : (08411)247243

Mining Engineer

Name : Shri Pulakit Amburkar, Full Time

Qualification : Appointment/ : Termination date

Geologist

Name : Manish Kumar Shukla, Full Time

Qualification :
Appointment/ :
Termination date

Manager

Name : Shri Dhrubajyothi Samadder

Qualification :
Appointment/ :
Termination date

6. Date of approval of Mining : Renewal under rule 22 MCR1960 19-NOV-04 Plan/Scheme of Mining Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 12-OCT-12 MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 30-SEP-16 MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016 08-JAN-19

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

### Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item  | Proposals  | Actual work  | Remarks   |
|--------|---|--|--|---|
| 1a     | Backlog of previous year  | No such specific proposal.   | Nil  |   |
| 1b     | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2                  | No proposal.   | Nil  | Entire mineralised area has been explored under G1 level. |
| 1c     | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year     |  | Nil  |   |
| 1d     | Balance area to<br>be explored to<br>bring Geological<br>axis in 1 or 2 |  | Entire mineralised area has been explored under G1 level.  |   |
| 1e     | Balance reserve<br>as on 01/04/20                                       | As per the approved document dated 08.01.2019, the Limestone reserves are 233.36 mil.t | due to depletion/addition over the period of time the updated reserves as on dated 01.04.2023 are limestone: 230.42 milt.t.  | grade cao-44.88%  |
| 1f     | General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc      |  | The limestone beds in the mining lease area is almost horizontal indisposition. A gentle dip of 4-5 is observed towards west and southwest. Closely spaced horizontal joints and stylolites are common features in limestone which gives it a slabby nature. No major structural disturbances are observed in this area. | 5   |

### Development :

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals     | Actual work  | Remarks |
|--------|------|---------------|--|---------|
| 2a     |      | northern side | one pit is being developed in the northern side of lease area. | the     |

| 2b | Separate benches<br>in topsoil,<br>overburden and<br>minerals (Rule<br>15)              | proposed to be | scrapped separately benches in mineral are  |                               |
|----|---|----------------|---|-------------------------------|
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio  | No proposal.   | Nil   | No OB generatin is envisaged. |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3  | during the     | 41678 cu.m.   |                               |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3   | No proposal.   | Nil   |                               |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc |                | development is carried out by opencast mining method as proposed. some old quarries were observed in southern side of the lease area. |                               |

## Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item  | Propasals                          | Actual work | Remarks  |
|--------|---|------------------------------------|-------------|--|
| 3a     | Number of pit proposed for production                             | one pit                            | one pit     |  |
| 3b     | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed                       | 1047235 t during the year 2022-23. | 785000 t    | deviation is due to less demand at captive cement plant. |
| 3с     | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production           | 1047235 t                          | 785000 t    |  |
| 3d     | Quantity of mineral reject generation                             | No proposal.                       | Nil         |  |
| 3e     | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | No proposal.                       | Nil         |  |
| 3f     | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.                         | No proposal.                       | Nil         |  |

| 3g | Grade of sub<br>grade mineral<br>generation  | No proposal.   | Nil  |   |
|----|--|--|--|---|
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM  | mechanised.  | mechanised.  |   |
| 3i | Any analysis or<br>beneficiation<br>study proposed<br>and carried out<br>for sub grade<br>mineral and<br>rejects.      | No proposal.   | Nil  |   |
| 3j | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches  | drilling and blasting is proposed.   | drilling & blasting is carried out.  |   |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches   | excavator and dumper combination is proposed for excavation.   | excavator and dumper combination is deployed for excavation.   |   |
| 31 | Whether height<br>of benches in<br>overburden and<br>mineral suitable<br>for method of<br>mining proposed<br>in MP/SOM | 6-10 m   | maintained.  |   |
| 3m | Total area<br>covered under<br>excavation/pits   | 94.9 ha at the end of plan period i.e. 2023-24.  | 75.01 ha   |   |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year.  | No proposal.   | Nil  |   |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year   | 146.66 ha at the end of plan period i.e. 2023-24.  | 102.03 ha  |   |
| 3p | Production of<br>ROM mineral<br>during the last<br>five year period<br>as applicable                                   | 2018-19: 0.74 mil.t. 2019-20: 1.04 mil.t. 2020-21: 1.04 mil.t. 2021-22: 1.04 mil.t. 2022-23: 1.04 mil.t. | 2018-19: 0.63 mil.t.<br>2019-20: 0.477 mil.t.<br>2020-21: 0.873 mil.t.<br>2021-22: 0.961 mil.t.<br>2022-23: 0.785 mil.t. | due to less demand from captive cement plant. |

3q General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining

production is carried out from one pit by opencast mining method as proposed.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item   | Propasals   | Actual work                            | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|--|---------|
| 4a     | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)     | proposed for top soil, no OB generation is envisaged. | carried out                            |         |
| 4b     | Location of<br>topsoil, OB and<br>mineral reject<br>dumps            | in the souther side of the lease area.                | in the souther side of the lease area. |         |
| 4c     | Number of dumps<br>within lease<br>area and outside<br>of lease area | No proposal.  | Nil                                    |         |
| 4d     | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)                | No proposal.  | Nil                                    |         |
| 4e     | Number of active and alive dumps.                                    | No proposal.  | Nil                                    |         |
| 4f     | Number of dead dumps.  | No proposal.  | Nil                                    |         |
| 4g     | Number of dumps established.   | No proposal.  | Nil                                    |         |
| 4h     | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.   | No proposal.  | Nil                                    |         |
| 4i     | Length of<br>Retaining wall<br>or garland drain<br>all along dumps   | No proposal.  | Nil                                    |         |
| 4j     | Number of settling ponds   | No proposal.  | Nil                                    |         |
| 4k     | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management     |   | No OB/waste generation is envisaged.   |         |

### Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item  | Propasals    | Actual work  | Remarks |
|--------|---|--------------|--|---------|
| 5a     | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | No Proposal. | Nil  |         |
| 5b     | Area under<br>backfilling of<br>mined out area  | No Proposal. | Nil  |         |
| 5c     | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)     | No Proposal. | Nil  |         |
| 5d     | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated  | No Proposal. | Nil  |         |
| 5e     | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.                    |              | Not envisaged, as area is not matured for reclamation. |         |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item   | Propasals                                  | Actual work   | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|---------------|---------|
| ба     | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | PMCP report to submitted before 1 st July. | submitted.    |         |
| 6b     | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .                                     | No proposal.                               | Nil           |         |
| 6с     | afforestation done (ha).   | 0.5 ha during<br>the year 2022-<br>23.     | 0.5 ha        |         |
| 6d     | No. of saplings planted during the year                                      | 1000                                       | 1000          |         |
| 6e     | Cumulative no .of plants   |  | 17000         |         |
| 6f     | Any other method of rehabilitation   | No proposal.                               | Nil           |         |
| 6g     | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year                              |  | not assessed. |         |

| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling ( Lx B x D | No | proposal. | Nil |
|----|--|----|-----------|-----|
| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings          | No | proposal. | Nil |
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area         | No | proposal. | Nil |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir  | No | proposal. | Nil |
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means.                   | No | proposal. | Nil |
| бm | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation                                   | No | proposal. | Nil |
| 6n | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha)                       | No | proposal. | Nil |
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation                      | No | proposal. | Nil |

Compliance of бр Periodical Periodical Monitoring as parameters are environmental Monitoring as stipulated in within the limits. monitoring (core stipulated in Environment Clearance zone and buffer Environment granted by MoEF & CC and zone) Clearance Consent to Operate granted by granted by SPCB is MoEF & CC and carried out. Consent to Operate granted by SPCB. General remarks бq PMCP measures were of inspecting carried out as proposed. officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.

### Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item   | Propasals                  | Actual work  | Remarks  |
|--------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| 7a     | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area                           | proposed.                  | carried out.   | entire ROM (Limestone) of cement grade is used in the captive plant. |
| 7b     | Method of grade-<br>wise mineral<br>sorting i.e.<br>manual or<br>mechanical.           | mechanical.                | mechanical.  |  |
| 7c     | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.  | cement grade<br>limestone. | cement grade<br>limestone.                                       |  |
| 7d     | Any beneficiation process at mines .   | No proposal.               | Nil  |  |
| 7e     | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues |                            | entire ROM (limestone) of cement grade is used at captive plant. |  |

#### Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|------|-----------|-------------|---------|
|        |      |           |             |         |

| 8b |   |   |  |
|----|---|---|--|
|    | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil  | Proposed.                               | carried out  |
| 8c | Separate dumps<br>for overburden,<br>waste rock,<br>rejects and<br>fines (Rule 33)                          | No proposal.                            | Nil  |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use           | No proposal.                            | Nil  |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | No proposal.                            | Nil  |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)                    | 1000 nos<br>during the<br>year 2022-23. | 1000 nos   |
| 8g | Survival rate   |   | 50%  |
| 8h | Water sprinkling<br>on roads to<br>control airborne<br>dust   | sprinkling on                           | carried out  |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area                          |   | aesthetic beauty in and around the mines area is maintained. |

Actual work

Remarks

Compliance of Rule 45:

Item

Sl.No.

Propasals

| 9a | Status of<br>submission of<br>Monthly and<br>Annual returns                                   | Monthly and annual returns are to be submitted as per rule 45 of MCDR, 2017.                               | submitted.  |
|----|---|--|---|
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager           | Mining   | found to be in order.   |
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | Workings<br>90.720 ha,<br>Occupied by  | area covered by open pit workins is not as per field conditions, so lessee has been advised to rectify the area in annual return. |
| 9d | Scrutiny of<br>Annual return on<br>afforestation  | 1000 nos   | found to be in order.   |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)                   | Nil  | found to be in order.   |
| 9f | Scrutiny of<br>Annual return on<br>ROM stock and/or<br>graded ore                             | stock is   | found to be in order.   |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost                   | Ex-mine price<br>Rs.224.04/t   | Ex-mine price<br>Rs.224.04/t  |
| 9h | Scrutiny of<br>Annual return on<br>fixed assets   | Rs. 22733659/-   | Rs. 22733659/-  |
| 9k | Scrutiny of<br>Annual return on<br>mining<br>machineries                                      | SHOVEL<br>(HYDRAULIC)<br>3.700 CUM 1,<br>SHOVEL<br>(HYDRAULIC)<br>1.500 CUM 3,<br>DUMPER 35.000<br>TONNE 1 | found to be in order.   |

PAGE: 12

| Details of violat | ions observed during current is lout | nspection and       | compliance position of  |  |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Violat            | cion observed                        | Show couse position |                         |  |
| Rule NO.          | Issued on Compliance on              | Rule NO.            | Issued on Compliance on |  |

Date : (RAMKISHAN R)

Indian Bureau of Mines