

I/36701/2024

**INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES
MINERALS DEVELOPEMMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION**

**MCDR inspection REPORT
Jabalpur regional office**

Mine file No : _____ **Mine code :** 38MPR47093

- (i) Name of the Inspecting Officer and ID No. : **AKASH ARUN MITTARWAR**
- (ii) Designation : Assistant Mining Engineer
- (iii) Accompanying mine Official with Designation : Shri Abhishek Gautam (Mining Engineer) & Shri Vidya Sagar Sahu (Geologist)
- (iv) Date of Inspection : 28-JUN-24
- (v) Prev.inspection date : 10-OCT-23

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

1. (a) **Mine Name** : **BHATGAWAN SUNEHRA (11.02 Hect.)**
- (b) **Registration NO.** : **IBM/9075/2012**
- (c) Category : A Mechanised
- (d) Type of Working : Opencast
- (e) Postal address :
State : MADHYA PRADESH
District : KATNI
Village : BHATGAWAN SUNEHRA
Taluka : MURWARA
Post office : KATNI
Pin Code : 483501
FAX No. : N.A.
E-mail : sisodiyakhanijudyog@gmail.com
Phone : 9425154073
- (f) Police Station : MURWARA
- (g) Date of Execution : 05-MAR-2003
- (h) Weekly day of rest : SUN
2. Address for correspondance : Kunwar Saurabh Singh Sisodia (Nominated Owner),
M/s Sisodiya Khanij Udyog; Satyendra Bhawan, Ram Niwas Singh Marg, Bhatta Mohalla, Katni, District -Katni (M.P.) - 483 501
3. (a) Lease Number :
(b) Lease area : 11.02
(c) Period of lease : 50 Years (05-MAR-2003 TO 04-MAR-53)
(d) Date of Expiry : 04-MAR-53
4. Mineral(s) granted in lease and approved for Mining : LIMESTONE & DOLOMITE

I/36701/2024

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : Kunwar Saurabh Singh Sisodia (Nominated Owner),
M/s Sisodiya Khanij Udyog; Satyendra Bhawan, Ram Niwas
Singh Marg, Bhatta Mohalla, Katni, District -Katni (M.P.) -
483 501

Owner : Kunwar Saurabh Singh Sisodia (Nominated Owner),
M/s Sisodiya Khanij Udyog; Satyendra Bhawan, Ram Niwas
Singh Marg, Bhatta Mohalla, Katni, District -Katni (M.P.) -
483 501

6. Date of approval of Mining Plan/Scheme of Mining	:	Mining plan under rule 10 MCDR1988	28-DEC-03
		Scheme of Mining under Rule 12(3) Of MCDR1988	29-NOV-11
		Review of Mining plan under rule 17(3) of MCR 2016	11-APR-17
		Modification of Mining plan under rule 17(3) of MCR 2016	08-AUG-19
		Review of Mining plan under rule 17(3) of MCR 2016	01-MAY-23

Exploration

I/36701/2024

S.N.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
1a	Backlog of previous year	Nil	Nil	
1b	Exploration over lease area for Geological axis 1 or 2.	No exploration proposals during year 2023-24 for G1/G2 levels	Entire lease area explored up to G1 level.	
1c	Exploration Agency & Expenditure in Lakh Rupees during the year	Not specified.	Not specified.	
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2.	Nil	Nil	
1e	Balance reserves as on 01.04.2023	Nil	Balance reserve as on 01/04/2024 – 111-1785507 tonnes (Limestone) & 111-112215 (Dolomite)	
1f	General remarks of Inspecting officer on geology, exploration etc.	Entire lease area explored up to G1 Level of exploration.		

Development

S.N.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
2a	Location of Development w.r.t. lease area	Development was proposed towards North of the Pit 1.	As per proposal	
2b	Separate benches in topsoil overburden and mineral (Rule 15)	Separate bench for Overburden & mineral proposed	Yes, Separate bench for Overburden & Mineral were observed.	
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	1:0.23	1:0.17	
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m ³	Nil	Nil	
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m ³	3880 cu.m	945 cu.m	Violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR,2017
2f	General remarks of Inspecting officer on development of pit w.r.t type of deposit etc.	Development was carried towards North of the Pit 1 as per proposal.		

Exploitation

S.N.	Item	Proposals		Actual Work	Remarks
3a	Number of pits proposed for production	One pit		One pit	
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed (2023-24)	81864 tonnes		14175 tonnes	Violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR,2017
3c	Recovery of Salable/usable mineral from ROM production	90%		90%	
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	9096 tonnes		Nil	Violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR,2017
3e	Grade of mineral reject generation and threshold value declared	Not proposed		Not applicable	
3f	Quantity of sub-grade mineral generation	Not proposed		Not applicable	
3g	Grade of sub-grade mineral generation	Not proposed		Not applicable	
3h	Manual/Mechanized method adopted for segregation from ROM	Mechanized		Mechanized	
3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed & carried out for sub-grade mineral and reject	Not proposed		Not applicable	
3j	Provision of drilling & blasting in mineral benches	Proposed		Drilling & blasting carried out as proposed.	
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	proposed		HEMM is being used in mineral benches for excavation and transportation. Shovel -1- 1.2 cu.m Pumps -1- 650 lit/min Water Tanker-1- 2000 litre Dumper-2- 16 tonne	
3l	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	Proposed		Height of o/b & mineral benches is suitable for method of mining as proposed.	
3m	Total area covered under excavation /pits	1.99 hect.		1.854 hect.	
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year	1:0.23		1:0.17	
3o	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	4.08 hect.		3.70 hect.	
3p	Production of ROM mineral during	2019-20	14720 t	2019-20 – 14717 t	
	last five-years period, as Applicable	2020-21	14688 t	2020-21- 11292 t	

I/36701/2024

		2021-22	15000 t	2021-22 – 14580 t	
		2022-23	14873 t	2022-23 – 13963 t	
		2023-24	81864 t	2023-24 – 14175 t	Violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR,2017 for less production.
3q	General remarks of inspecting officer on method of mining etc	Category A mines having adopted mechanized method of mining.			

Solid Waste Management-Dumping

S.N.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB & mineral reject (Rule 32,33)	Separate dumping of OB were proposed.	Separate dumping of OB were observed.	
4b	Location of topsoil, OB & mineral reject dumps	No top soil dump is proposed. 3 dumps towards north, 1 dump in the center of the lease area & 2 dumps towards south of the lease. Mineral stack towards North of the lease.	3 dumps towards north, 1 dump in the center of the lease area & 2 dumps towards south of the lease. Mineral stack towards North of the lease were observed.	
4c	Number of Dumps within lease area and outside lease area	6 dumps within the lease area	6 dumps within the lease area	
4d	Location of Dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	within ultimate pit limit	within ultimate pit limit	
4e	Number of Active & Alive dumps	06 dumps	06 dumps	
4f	Number of dead dumps	Not proposed	Not applicable	
4g	Number of dumps established	Not proposed	Not applicable	
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there	Retaining wall not proposed. Garland drain of 135 meter were proposed.	Garland drain of 135 meter were observed.	
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dump	Retaining wall not proposed. Garland drain of 135 meter were proposed.	Garland drain of 135 meter were observed.	
4j	Number of settling ponds	Not proposed	Not applicable	
4k	Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management	Waste generated are dumped on proposed location for dumping.		

Solid Waste Management-Backfilling

S.N.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
5a	Status on part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling	Full extraction of mineral from mined out area is proposed	Full extraction of mineral under progress up to the UPD	
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	Pit 3 adjacent to BP-20 were proposed for backfilling.	Backfilling not done.	Violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR,2017

I/36701/2024

5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mined out area (Rule 32)	Not Proposed	Not Applicable	
5d	Total area fully reclaimed & rehabilitated	Not proposed	Not Applicable	
5e	General remarks of inspecting officer on backfilling, reclamation etc	Proposed Backfilling not done. Violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR,2017.		

Progressive Mine Closer Plan

S.N.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
6a	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly-Rule 23E (2). Details should be given in the format as given in Annexure-20	Proposed	Submitted	
6b	Management of worked/mined out benches			
	i) Area available for rehabilitation (Ha)	Not proposed	Not applicable	
	ii) Afforestation done (ha.)	Not Proposed	Not applicable	
	iii) No. of saplings planted during the year	Not Proposed	Not applicable	
	iv) Cumulative no. of plants	Not specified	Not applicable	
	v) Any other specific method of rehabilitation	Not proposed	Not applicable	
	vi) Cost incurred on watch & care during the year	Not Specified.	Not applicable	
6c	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling			Violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR,2017
	i) Voids available for backfilling (L*B*D)	34*12*6	Not done	
	ii) Void filled by waste/tailings	22250 tonnes	Not done	
	iii) Afforestation on the backfilling	Not Proposed	Not applicable	
	iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir	Not Proposed	Not applicable	
	v) Any other specific means	Not Proposed	Not Applicable	
6d	Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease			Violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR,2017
i) Afforestation	200 number of plants were proposed for plantation on barrier zone near BP – 39 to 40.	Only 100 sapling were planted.		
ii) Area rehabilitation				
iii) Method of rehabilitation				
6e	Compliance of Environment monitoring (core zone & Buffer zone)	Proposed	Within prescribed limit.	
6f	General remarks of inspecting officer on PMCP compliance & progressive closer operations	Proposed backfilling of Pit 3 not done; violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR,2017.		

I/36701/2024

Mineral Conservation

S.N.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
7a	ROM mineral dispatch or gradewise sorting within lease area	ROM mineral dispatch to steel and cement industries	As per proposal	
7b	Method of grade-wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical	Mechanical	Mechanical	
7c	Different grade of mineral sort out at mine	SMS grade	SMS grade	
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines	Not Proposed	Not applicable	
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation & beneficiation issues	The limestone dispatched to cement and steel industries directly. No beneficiation process at mines carried out.		

Environment

S.N.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule32)	Not Proposed	Not Applicable	
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	Not Proposed	Not Applicable	
8c	Separate dumps for overburden waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Separate dumping of OB is proposed.	Separate dumping of OB is observed.	
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	Proposed for dumping	Separate dumping of OB is observed.	
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	There is no specific Approved proposal for Reclamation and Rehabilitation of pit and dumps	There is no effective reclamation and rehabilitation work	
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation & additional plantation done (Rule 41)	Plantation proposed on barrier zone near BP – 39 to 40.	As per proposal	
8g	Survival rate	80%	80%	
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	Proposed	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust carried out with the use of water tanker	

I/36701/2024

8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mine area	Plantation was done in the proposed location. Needs improvements for aesthetic beauty in and around mine area.
----	---	--

Compliance of Rule 45:-

S.N.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
9a	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns	Submitted both Monthly and Annual Return	Submitted	
9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Mining Engineer and Geologist	Shri Abhishek Gautam (Mining Engineer) & Shri Vidya Sagar Sahu (Geologist)	
9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	Yes	Covered under current (O-C) Workings – 1.854 hect. waste disposal – 1.482 hect. Infrastructure – 0.207 hect. Green Belt – 0.16 hect.	
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation	200 number of saplings were proposed for plantation	Only 100 saplings were planted.	Violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR,2017
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity)	Yes	Nil	Violation pointed out under rule 11(1) of MCDR,2017
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore	Yes	ROM closing stock as on 01/04/2024 – 11869	
9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost	Yes	Ex. Mine price - 301.28 and production cost – 300.30	
9h	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets	Yes	Value of Fixed Assets* (₹1842000)	
9k	Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries	Yes	Shovel -1- 1.2 cu.m Pumps -1- 650 lit/min Water Tanker-1- 2000 litre Dumper-2- 16 tonne	

Details of Violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out:-

Rule – 11(1) of MCDR 2017 – dated 30/07/2024
 Rule – 33 of MCDR 2017 – dated 30/07/2024
 Rule – 35 of MCDR 2017 – dated 30/07/2024
 Rule – 45(7) of MCDR 2017 – dated 30/07/2024

Name: Akash Arun Mittarwar
 Designation: Assistant Mining Engineer

