

I/38608/2024



**GOVERNMENT OF INDIA**  
**MINISTRY OF MINES**  
**INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES**  
**O/O REGIONAL CONTROLLER OF MINES, JABALPUR**

**To,****Shri Moti Lal Bajaj (Lease holder),**

Acharya Vinoba Bhawe Ward, Moti Bag,

Near Mai Nadi, Murwara, Katni (M.P.) - 483501

E-mail: - atulkumarguptaco@yahoo.co.in; pacificbauxite@gmail.com

**Sub: -** Violation of provisions of Mineral Conservation and Development Rules, 2017 in respect of your **Tikariya Bauxite, Laterite & Fireclay Mine** over an area **4.01 Hectare** having Mine code-07MPR47020, situated in Tikariya, Tehsil - Murwara, District - Katni of Madhya Pradesh State.

Sir,

Following provisions of MCDR, 2017 were found violated in your above mine during the inspection by Shri Akash Arun Mittarwar, Assistant Mining Engineer on 27/06/2024 in the presence Shri Saurabh Nayak (Mining Engineer) & Shri Umakant Soni (Geologist) as follows:-

Rule No	Nature of violation observed in detail
<b>11(1)</b>	<p>No holder of a mining lease shall commence or carry out mining operations in any area except in accordance with the mining plan approved, modified or reviewed by the Indian Bureau of Mines or prepared and certified in accordance with the system established by the State Government pursuant to the proviso to clause (b) of sub-section (2) of section 5 or approved by the competent authority of the Atomic Minerals Directorate for Exploration and Research, in respect of minerals specified in Part B of the First Schedule to the Act where the grade of such atomic minerals is equal to or above the threshold value limits declared under Schedule-A of the Atomic Minerals Concession Rules, 2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The Review of Mining Plan of above mentioned mine was approved vide letter No.-MP/Katni/Bauxite/RMP-36/2019-20 dated 16/09/2020 incorporating proposal of working from 2020-21 to 2023-24 having validity up to 31/03/2024; during the inspection following violations were observed: -</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. Boundary pillars are not maintained and demarcated as per Rule 12 of MCR,2016.</b></li> <li><b>2. It was proposed to plant 304 saplings during the year but, only 220 saplings were planted.</b></li> </ol>
<b>26(2)</b>	<p>The holder of mining lease shall submit to the competent authority a yearly report as per the format specified by the Indian Bureau of Mines, before 1st day of July every year [along with annual return] setting forth the extent of protective and rehabilitative works carried out as envisaged in the approved mine closure plan, and if there is any deviation, reasons thereof:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>• The information furnished in the PMCP report is incomplete and inconsistent with the Annual Returns submitted for the year 2023-24.</b></li> </ul>

33	<p>The holder of a mining lease shall, on or before the 30th day of June every year submit to the authorized Officer, as the case may be, and the State Government, a digital copy along with a print copy of the surface geological plans and sections maintained under rule 32.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Copies of plans and sections under rule 32 of MCDR-2017 have not been submitted.</b></li> </ul>
45(7)	<p>If it is found that the holder of a mineral concession or the person or company engaged in trading or storage or end-use or export or import of minerals, as the case may be, has submitted incomplete or wrong or false information in monthly or annual returns or fails to submit a return within the date specified;-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>It was proposed to generate 2397 cu.m of OB/ waste during the year 2023-24, but Nil OB was reported in the submitted Annual return of 2023-24.</b></li> <li>2. <b>In submitted Annual Return of 2023-24, 18690 tonnes of bauxite production reported during the year but, Nil reserves depleted from the assessed reserves which is false and misleading. Therefore, total reserves arrive as on 31.3.2024 are also wrong &amp; misleading.</b></li> <li>3. <b>Details of Mining Engineer &amp; Geologist appointed under rule 55 of MCDR,2017 is not mentioned in submitted Annual Return of 2023-24.</b></li> </ol>

1. In this connection, it is brought to your notice that the above violations constitute an offence punishable under Rule 62 of Mineral Conservations and Development Rules, 2017.
2. The mining operations can be suspended under the rule 11(2) of MCDR-2017, if compliance of rule 11(1) of MCDR-2017 read with MCDR-2021 (Amendment) if, not found satisfactory.
3. The mining operations can be suspended under the rule 45(7) of MCDR-2017, if compliance of rule 45(7) of MCDR-2017 read with MCDR-2021 (Amendment) if, not found satisfactory.
4. You are advised to rectify the violations of abovementioned rules immediately and intimate the position to this office within 45 (Forty-Five) days from the date of issue of this letter.

Yours faithfully,

**(Kathroju Naveen)**  
**Senior Assistant Controller of Mines,**  
**For Regional Controller of Mines**  
**Indian Bureau of Mines, Jabalpur**

Copy for kind information to;-

1. The Director of Geology & Mining, Govt. of Madhya Pradesh, Khanij Bhavan, 29-A, Arera Hills, Bhopal (M.P.) for information and further necessary action please.
2. The Controller of Mines (CZ). IBM, Nagpur.
3. The District Collector, Katni (M.P.)

**(Kathroju Naveen)**  
**Senior Assistant Controller of Mines,**  
**For Regional Controller of Mines**  
**Indian Bureau of Mines, Jabalpur**