INSPECTION DATASHEET For The Year 2024-25

General

S.N.	Particulars	Details
1	Name of the Mine	Amehta limestone Mine
	Date of Inspection:	14/09/2024
	Name of Inspecting Officer	Shri Raghubir Sharan Garg, ACOM
2	Total Lease Area (Ha) with breakup of Non-forest and forest land	12.93 Hect.
3	Mine code	38MPR47078
4	IBM Registration Number under rule 45 of MCDR,1988	Reg. No. IBM/1218/2011
5	Last Inspection Details	Inspecting officer – Shri Robert C Simon, ACOM Date of Inspection – 26/08/2023 Violation Point out – Nil Compliance date –NA
6	Mine official present during the inspection	Shri S.K Jain , Mining Engineer of the mine.
7	Name of the Lease, Address, Phone, email and fax number	Lessee :- Rajesh Jain, and Shri Rakesh Gautam, both are partner of the Firm Address: M/s Ram Chandra Badri Prasad Gour. BD Agrawal Ward, Civil Lines Post & District Katni (MP) 483501 Emailramchandgour2106@gmail.com M.No. 9575800465 Fax number- 07622403129
8	Village	Amehta
9	Taluka/Mandal	vijayraghavgarh
10	District	Katni
11	Pin code	483880
12	State	Madhya Pradesh
13	Post Office	Mehgaon
14	Nearest Police Station	Kymore
15	Nearest Railway Station	Jhukehi
16	Date of Grant of Mining Lease	25/03/1976 for 20 years period.
17	Date of Execution	11.05.1976 -20 years lease period. Lease is valid upto 10/05/2026 with 50 years lease period
18	Date of opening of Mine	11/05/1976
19	Date of First Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry	First renewal not granted
20	Date of Second Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry	03/07/2018
21	Date of submission of renewal application if Mining Operations are continuing under deemed extension	16/09/1994
22	Name of the Nominated Owner with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment	Shri Rajesh Kumar Jain, BD Agrawal Ward , Civil Lines Katni(MP) Email –ramchandgour2106@gmail.com M.No. 9229236559 Date of appointment 07/01/2019

	Name of the Mine Agent with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment	Shri G.P.Garg, Yash Tower, Pathak Ward Katni (MP) M.No. 9575800465 Date of appointment 07/01/2019
23	Name of the Mine Manager with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment in mines	Shri S.K.Jain, Civil Lines Katni (MP) M. No. 9425152902 Date of appointment 18/02/2019
25	Name of the Mining Engineer, Qualification and total experience with address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment in the mine	Shri S.K.Jain, B.E.(Mining Eng.), Experience-30 years M. No. 9425152902 Date of appointment 18/02/2019
26	Name of the Geologist, Qualification and total experience with address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment in the mine	Shri Akhilesh Patel, M.Sc.(Geology) Date of appointment 25/06/2021
27	Whether Geologist and Mining Engineer appointment in mines satisfy the rule 42 & carrying out their duties as per rule 43 & 44.	Yes
	Date of Approval of Mine Plan/Modified Mine Plan with five- year period and specific condition in approval letter, if any.	1.Renewal under rule 24 MCR1960 08/07/2005 2.MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 25/01/2019
	Date of Approval of Scheme of Mining/Modified Scheme of Mining with five- year period and specific condition in approval letter, if any.	 SOM rule 12 MCDR1988 dated 15/12/2006 SOM rule 12 MCDR1988 dated 14/02/2013 RMP rule 17(2) MCR,2016 dated 09/07/2021 period 2021-22 to 2025-26
30	Mineral(s) granted in lease and approved for Mining	Limestone
31	Method of Mining (Opencast, Underground)	Open cast
	Category (Fully Mechanized, Others or Manual)	Mechanised
	Captive/non-captive	Non-Captive

Exploration

S.N.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks		
1a	Backlog of previous year	Not proposed	NA	Entire lease area was		
1b	Exploration over lease area for	Not proposed	NA.	considered explored under		
	Geological			G1 level based on		
	axis 1 or 2.			additional exploration		
1c	Exploration Agency & Expenditure	Not proposed.	Not applicable	carried out after approval		
	in Lakh Rupees during the year			of current RMP.		
1d	Balance area to be explored to	Not proposed	Not applicable			
	bring Geological axis in 1 or 2.					
1e	Balance reserves as on 01.04.2024	111-	122- 745299MT			
		609881MT				
1f	General remarks of Inspecting officer	Entire lease area	had been conside	red under G1 level after		
	on geology, exploration etc.	carrying out of additional exploration after approval of RMP				
		approved on 09/07/2021 with proposal from 2021-22 to 2025-				
		26.				

Development

S.N	Item	Proposals	Actual	Remarks
•		_	Work	

2a	Location of Development w.r.t.	Advancement of	Actual	-Pit had deepened upto
	lease area			313mRL in place of
		wards further west direction		
		proposed between N2656015		340mRL.Deviations
		to N2656240 and E453560 to	1	pointed out through
		E453510	E453510	violation of rule 11(1)
				vide letter dated
				18/09/2024
2b	Separate benches in	One-one bench in Soil and	Soil and OB	-
	top soil	Shale with 3-4.5m height	benches found	
	overburden and mineral		almost as per	
	(Rule 15)		proposal	
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB	1:0.94	1:0.30	Lateral movement is
	ratio			less than the proposed
				one consequent to
				further deepening of
				pit.
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation	45000m ³	45000m ³	
	in m ³		_	
2e	Quantity of overburden	124552m ³	52260m ³	
	generation in m ³			
2f	General remarks of Inspecting	Although, height and width		
	officer on development of pit	maintained as per proposal.		
	w.r.t type of deposit etc.	proposals. Lateral movement		
		further deepening of pit even		
		upto 313mRL.Deviation poin	ted out through viol	ation of rule 11(1) vide
		letter dated 18/09/2024		

Exploitation

S.N	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
	NT 1 C 1C	04	0.1	
3a	Number of pits proposed for production	01	01	-
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral	449538TROM	ROM-314120 T	OK
	production proposed (2023-24)	449538TLimestone	LST -314120 T	
3c	Recovery of Salable/usable	100%	100	-
	mineral from ROM production		%	
3d	Quantity of mineral reject	Nil	Nil	-
	generation			
3e	Grade of mineral reject	Nil	Nil	-
	generation and threshold value declared			
3f	Quantity of sub-grade	Nil	Nil	-
	mineral generation			
3g	Grade of sub-grade mineral	Nil	Nil	-
	generation			
3h	Manual/Mechanized method	Mechanised Method proposed for	Mechanised method	-
	adopted for segregation from ROM	segregation	adopted for	
	segregation from KOW		segregation	
			(screening)	
3i	Any analysis or beneficiation	Nil		
	study proposed & carried out for			
	sub-grade			
	mineral and reject			

3j	Provision of drilling & blasting in mineral benches	Proposed with (spacing X b depth of 4.0mX3mX6.60) blasting pattern.	hole)	Drilling by wagon drill with 100mmdia and blasting			
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches					HEMM are being used in both, mineral as well as in OB benches for excavation and transportation.	
31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	Yes		As per proposal	-		
3m	Total area covered under excavation /pits	9.97На		9.97Ha		9.5	This is a 48 years old M.L. area almost covered with mining and allied activities.
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year	1:0	.94	1:0.30	-		
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	11.63he March2		About 11.1hect	-		
Зр	Production of ROM mineral	2019-20	550059T	50004.72T			
	during the last five-year period as applicable	2020-21	546835T	164759T			
		2021-22	521500T	403630T			
		2022-23	488470T	402290T			
		2023-24	449538T	314120 T			
3q	General remarks of inspecting officer on method of mining etc blastir	Excavator/JCB 100mm dia ho	& dump		proposed by using vith Wagon drilling of		

Solid Waste Management-Dumping

S.N.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
4a	Separate dumping of top soil, OB & mineral reject (Rule 32,33)	Not proposed	Not applicable	Simultaneous use for backfilling
4b	Location of topsoil, OB & mineral reject dumps	Not proposed	Not applicable	-
4c	Number of Dumps within lease area and outside lease area	Four existing dumps within the lease area & outside lease area-Nil (status at the time of approval of RMP)	dumps present within the lease area outside	Part of dump No 1 on western portion & 3 on eastern portion of the lease had bee rehandled.
4d	Location of Dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	UPL and partly on 7.5m wide statutory		

4e	Number of Active & Alive dumps	Active-0	Active-0		
		Inactive-4	Inactive-4		
4f	Number of dead dumps	0	0	Nil	
4g	Number of dumps established	Nil	Nil	Nil	
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there	F	Garland drain 800m		
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dump	300m retaining wall and 300m garland drain	As per proposal		
4j	Number of settling ponds	NA	NA		
4k	Specific commends of inspecting officer on waste dump management	Entire OB generated during the year utilized in proposed backfilling. Thus, overall waste dump management found satisfactory.			

Solid Waste Management-Backfilling

S.N.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks		
5a	Status on part or full extraction of	As per proposal	About 1.8hect	Backfilling started		
	mineral from mined out area	40000.00m ² area	mined out area is	during the year		
	before starting backfilling	available for backfilling	available for	under review.		
			backfilling.			
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	25000.00m ²	Backfilling of	None		
			6000m ² mined out			
			area			
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for	Proposed	As per approved	Soil generation not		
	restoration or rehabilitation of mined		proposal	envisaged.		
	out area (Rule 32)					
5d	Total area fully reclaimed &	7000m ²	About 2000m ²	Nil		
	rehabilitated	Reclaimed	reclaimed and rest is under			
			reclamation			
5e	General remarks of inspecting officer	Backfilling and reclamat	ion work found in	progress in the light		
	on backfilling, reclamation etc.	of approved proposal. E	xtent of reclamation	on are less as lateral		
		movement of pit is less. Pit is deepened more than the proposed				
		one in the light of mineralization in depth. Deviation pointed out				
		through violation of rule	11(1) vide letter da	ted 18/09/2024		

Progressive Mine Closer Plan

S.N		Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
6a	on time	Annual report on PMCP submitted and correctly-Rule 23E(2). Details e given in the format as given in -20	Submitted	Ok	-
6b	Management of worked/mined out benches		Nil	Nil	Nil
	i)	Area available for rehabilitation (Ha)	Not proposed	NA	-
	ii)	Afforestation done (ha)	Not proposed	NA	
	iii)	No. of saplings planted during the year	Not proposed	NA	

	iv) Cun	nulative no. of plants	Not proposed	NA	
	,	other specific method of bilitation	NA	NA	Nil
	. ,	incurred on watch & care	Not proposed.	NA	Nil
6c	ii) V iii) Af iv) Rehabil reservoi	vailable for backfilling (L*B*D) oid filled by waste/tailings orestation on the backfilling itation by making water	4.0hect 2.5hect 0.7hect Not proposed Not proposed	1.8hect 0.6hect 0.01hect NA NA	None
6d	Compliance of i within lease i) ii) iii)	Rehabilitation of waste land Afforestation Area rehabilitation Method of rehabilitation	400 No 0.4 plantation	500 no. 0.345 Plantation	Green belt area.
6e	Compliance of (core zone & bu	Environment monitoring ffer zone)	Yes	Yes	Nil
6f		s of inspecting officer on ance & progressive closer		g is being carried outby o	utsourced

Mineral Conservation

S.N.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
7a	ROM mineral dispatch or grade wise sorting within lease area	Dispatch proposed with sizing and sorting at mine site Dispatch quantity 449538T	ROM is being dispatched as per approved proposal Dispatch quantity 265595 T	Nil
7b	Method of grade-wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical	Manual sorting proposed	As per proposal	Nil
7c	Different grade of mineral sort out at mine	Not specified.	BF grade.	-
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines	NA	Nil	Nil
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation & beneficiation issues	There is no issue related	with mineral conserva	ation.

S.N.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule32)	Not Proposed	Not applicable as simultaneous use of top soil for reclamation of mined out area envisaged.	Nil
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	Proposed	As per proposal	Nil
8c	Separate dumps for overburden waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Not proposed	NA	None
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	Proposed.	As per proposal	Nil
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	Proposed	As per proposal	Nil
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation & additional plantation done (Rule 41)	Not specified	Plantation done in the lease area but survived quantity is less.	
8g	Survival rate	80%	75%	Nil
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	Water sprinkling is proposed by water tanker	Regular water sprinkling is done by water tanker	Water is required for dust suppression
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mine area	Aesthetic beauty in and around mine area is not much satisfactory as survival rate of plantation are not up to that extent as proposed. Hence, it was instructed to lessee at the time of inspection for close monitor survival rate of plantation.		

Compliance of Rule 45

	Item	Comments	Remarks
9a	Status of submission of monthly and Annual returns	MR upto Sep-24 and AR of 2023-24 have been found submitted	-
9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Name of the ME: Shri S.K.Jain Geologist: ShriAkhilesh Patel	-
9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	24 Currant working: 7.59 hect. Reclaimed-rehabilitated 0.62hect OB/waste dump: 3.32 hect	Indicated reclaimed and rehabilitated area is on higher side. Matter discussed in the field and necessary guidance provided during inspection.
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on Afforestation		Survival of plantation are on lower side. Advise rendered during inspection for effort for improvement of survival

			rate of plantation.
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade & Quantity)	No any mineral reject generated during the year.	OK
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or grade ore	ROM closing stock - 314120T, BF grade -265595T	In fact, entire ROM produced during the year had taken into grade wise production inspite of it closing stock of ROM remain indicated. This deficiency has been pointed out during inspection and advise rendered to avoid mistakes in future.
9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price & production costs	Ex mine Price Rs.738/47 per tone, Av. sale value: Rs.648/47 Cost of production = Rs. 294/54per tone	As per calculation Av sale price at mine head reach Rs. 648/47 but Ex mine price is indicated as Rs. 738/47 i.e ASP declared by IBM from time to time.
9i	Scrutiny of Annual return on Fixed assets	Fixed assets – Rs. 19150396/-	OK
9k	Scrutiny of Annual return on mining Machineries	Back Hoe-2(0.9cum bucket capacity) Front end loader 1(1.2cum bucket capacity) DUMPER - Ten PUMPS (NON-ELEC.) - One	-

Details of Validations observed during current inspection and compliance position of earlier violation pointed out:- Details is given below:

1. Rule – 11(1) of MCDR 2017 dated 18/09/2024

2. Rule 24 of MCDR 2017 dated 18/09/2024

Date: 18/10/2024 Name:- Raghubir Sharan Garg

Designation: Assistant Controller of Mines