INSPECTION DATASHEET For The Year 2024-25

<u>General</u>

S.N.	Particul ars	Details
1	Name of the Mine	Devnagar Iron Ore, Manganese ore, Bauxite, laterite and clay
	Date of Inspection:	13/09/2024
	Name of Inspecting Officer	Shri Raghubir Sharan Garg, ACOM
2	Total Lease Area (Ha) with breakup of non-forest and forest land	6.44 Hect.
3	Mine code	75MPR18019
4	IBM Registration Number under rule 45 of MCDR,1988	Reg. No. IBM/10657/2018
5	Last Inspection Details	Insp. officer – Shri Robert C. Simon, ACOM Date of Insp. – 22/08/2023 V/ L dated 20/11/2023 for rule –11(1),12,31(4),33, & 45(7) Reply letter received on 19/01/2024 Compliance considered on 09/02/2024 on all the pointed-out rules except rule 45(7). Show Cause Notice issued on 21/06/2024 for the violation of rule 45(7), reply letter received on 21/06/2024 and compliance considered on rule 45(7) on 21/06/2024
6	Mine official present during the inspection	Shri Punit Kumar Dhruve, Mining Engineer of the mine and Shri Kushal Patle, Geologist of the company
7	Name of the Lease, Address, Phone, email and fax m	M/s Sharma Mines & Minerals Address- Shop No. 3, Bengali Club Market Karamchand Chowk, Jabalpur, M.P. 482001 Email <u>-sharma.nitin.074@gmail.com</u> M.No. 9425150894 Fax No. 07614046833
8	Village	Devnagar
9	Taluka/Mandal	Sihora
10	District	Jabalpur
11	Pin code	483222
12	State	Madhya Pradesh
13	Post Office	Gandhigram
14	Nearest Police Station	Gosalpur
15	Nearest Railway Station	Gosalpur
16	Date of Grant of Mining Lease	31/01/1996
17	Date of Execution	20/03/1996(lease period upto 19/03/2046-50 years lease period.
18	Date of opening of Mine	20/03/1996
19	Date of First Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry	30/01/2017
20	Date of Second Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry	NA
21	Date of third Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry	NA
21	Date of submission of renewal application if Mining Operations are continuing under deemed extension	NA

22	Name of the Nominated Owner with Address,	Nominated Owner: Shri Nitin Sharma
	phone, email, fax number and date of	Address:
	appointment	Shop No. 3, Bengali Club Market,
		Karamchand Chowk,
		Jabalpur, M.P. 482001
		Date of Appointment: NA
	Name of the Mine Agent with Address, phone,	Agent- Not appointed
	email, fax number and date of appointment	Date of Appointment: NA
23	Name of the Mine Manager with Address, phone,	M. Manager not appointed
	email, fax number and date of appointment in mines	Date of Appointment: NA
	Name of the Geologist, Qualification and total	Geologist - Mrs. Ranjeeta Markam,MSc(Geo)
	experience with address, phone, email, fax number	Address, Phone: No., Fax No. & email address As
	and date of appointment in the mine	stated above
		Date of Appointment: 20/09/2021
25	Name of the Mining Engineer, Qualification and	Shri Punit Kumar Dhruve , (BE Mining Engineer),
	total experience with address, phone, email, fax	Experience -05 years, part time
	number and date of appointment in the mine	Address, Phone: No.,Fax No. & email address As
	**	stated above
26		Date of Appointment: 20/09/2021
26	Whether Geologist and Mining Engineer	Yes,
	appointment in mines satisfy the rule 42 &	
	carrying out their duties as per rule 43 & 44.	
27	Date of Approval of Mine Plan/Modified Mine	
	Plan with five- year period and specific	
	condition in approval letter, if any.	
28	Date of Approval of Scheme of Mining/Modified	28/06/2007(SOM) period 2006-07 to 2010-11
	Scheme of Mining with five- year period and	14/06/2014(SOM) period 2014-15 to 2015-16
	specific condition in approval letter, if any.	12/09/2019(RMP) period 2019-20 to 2020-21
		17/08/2022(RMP) period 2022-23 to 2025-26
29	Mineral(s) granted in lease and approved for Mining	Iron Ore, Manganese ore, Bauxite,
		Laterite and Clay
30	Method of Mining (Opencast, Underground)	Open cast
	Category (Fully Mechanized, Others or Manual)	Fully Mechanised
	Captive/Non-Captive	Non-Captive

Exploration

S.N.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
1a	Backlog of previous year	8 boreholes	Not carried	Deviation pointed out -rule
				11(1) V/L dated 23/09/2024
1b	Exploration over lease area	8 boreholes for	Explored under G2	
	for Geological axis 1 or 2.	convertion of	level-6.15hect	
		entire lease area	Unexplored area	
		i.e.6.44hect into	0.29hect	
		G1 level		
1c	Exploration Agency &	Not proposed.	Not applicable	
	Expenditure in Lakh Rupees			
	during the year			
1d	Balance area to be		Proposed exploration	
	explored to bring Geological	level	works not carried out.	
	axis in 1 or 2.		Hence entire 6.44hect as	
			well as unexplored area	
			0.29hect are required to	
			be converted into G1	
			Level.	
1e	Balance reserves as on 01.04.2024	122-48400 tone	122- 49094one	

_

1f				vel specified under statute.	
	Inspecting officer on geology,	There is a need of a	additional exploration an	d accordingly proposal for	
	exploration etc.	additional exploration	on were proposed in a	pproved RMP.]]But such	
	1	additional drilling had not been carried out by the lessee for which			
		violation of rule 1	1(1) & 12(3) had beer	n issued vide letter dated	
		23/09/2024. Addition	nal exploration is essentia	al to know the actual extent	
		of deposition as well	as qualitative status of m	ineralisation.	

<u>Development</u>

S.N	Item	Proposals	Actual	Remarks		
•			Work			
2a	Location of	N2584650-N2584850 &	Mining operation in	Mining operation in the mine		
	Development w.r.t.	E399320-399420	the mine was found	was found closed on the date of		
	lease		not carried out as per	inspection. As per office record(
	area		approved proposal.	MR,May,23 to July,24) there		
				was no mining operational		
2b	Separate	New Pit -1	As stated above.	activities carried out in the lease		
	benches in top soil	1& 2 .No Soil & OB bench 3.Iron ore benches 2 no of 6m		area. Advancement of faces as		
		height		well as deepening of Pit 1 & 3		
	mineral (Rule 15)			were observed. Deviations		
	Stripping ratio or ore	1:0.28	NA	pointed out -rule 11(1) vide		
	to OB ratio			letter dated 23/09/2024.As the		
	Quantity of top soil	Nil	Nil	mine was closed for more than		
-	generation in m ³			90 days without notice of		
	Quantity of	16448	Nil	temporary discontinuance and		
	overburden 3			mine again reopened after a gap		
	generation in \mathbf{m}^3			of 15 months without notice of		
				reopening of mine, violation of		
				rule 28 and 29 pointed out vide		
				letter dated 23/09/2024.		
2f						
		found not developed in the light of approved proposals. Shape and size of Pits were				
		found capable to achieve the envisaged production. Mining operation in the lease area				
				ter prolong period i.e after		
	deposit etc.	May,2023(based on MRs). Pi	t no.2 was found water	logged.		

		Exploitation		
S.N	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
•				
3a	Number of pits proposed for production	02	NA	-
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed (2023-24)	104383tones ROM	ROM-Nil tone	-
3c	Recovery of Salable/usable mineral from ROM production	80%	NA	-
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	Nil	Nil	-
Зе	Grade of mineral reject generation and threshold value declared	Nil	Nil	-
3f	Quantity of sub-grade mineral generation	Nil	Nil	-
- 3g	Grade of sub-grade mineral generation	Nil	Nil	-
3h	Manual/Mechanized method adopted for segregation from ROM	Mechanised method proposed	NA	-
3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed & carried out for sub-grade mineral and reject	Nil	Nil	

3j	Provision of drilling & blasting in mineral benches	Not propos	sed	Not required	-
		.			T. C. A.
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	Excavator: no.1.2cum Capacity Hydraulic I 1 No. 1.0cu capacity. Transporta Dumpers 0	01 bucket Excavator um bucket tion: D1 nos.		List of mining machineries not furnished in AR. Reportedly there was no mining activities except dispatch in year under review.
31	Whether height of benches in	water tank	er 01 no. es	At some places	Matter discussed
51	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	I	es	bench height was on higher side.	
3m	Total area covered under excavation /pits	3.27 Hecta	ire	3.27hect.	-
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year		1:0.28	NA	-
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	4.9158 He	ectare	About 3.308hect	Under reporting. Matter discussed in the field and advise rendered for proper care while filling of AR.
Зр	Production of ROM mineral during the last five-year period as	2019-20	91191	0	
	applicable	2020-21	82593	12000	
		2021-22	0	0	
		2022-23	77949	19450	
		2023-24	74440	0	
3q	General remarks of inspecting officer on method of mining etc			nised mine workir B & dumper comb	

Solid Waste Management-Dumping

S.N.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
4a	Separate dumping of top soil, OB & mineral reject (Rule 32,33)	Mine waste dumping	NA	Reportedly mine was not in operation.
4b	Location of topsoil, OB & mineral reject dumps	N23º22'10.38" to N N23º22'14.92" & E80º00'46.84" to	NA	As stated above.

4cNumber of Dumps within lease area and outside lease areaWithin the lease area 01 & outside lease area 01 & outside lease area 01 & NAdo do4dLocation of Dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)Outside of UPLNA-4eNumber of Active & Alive dumpsActive-& Inactive-Nil4fNumber of dead dumpsNilNilNil4gNumber of dumps establishedNilNilNil4hWhether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are thereProposedNA4iLength of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumpRetaining wal-250m Garland drain-240mNot observed4jNumber of settling pondsNot proposedNA-4kSpecific commends of inspecting officer on waste dump managementTher is no dump within UPL. Proposed dump location is a outside of UPL. Although there is no waste dump observer			- 0			
and outside lease areaoutside lease area-Nil4dLocation of Dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)Outside of UPLNA4eNumber of Active & Alive dumpsActive-& Inactive-Nil-4fNumber of dead dumpsNilNilNil4gNumber of dumps establishedNilNilNil4hWhether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are thereProposedNA4iLength of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumpRetaining wal-250m Garland drain-240mNot observed4jNumber of settling pondsNot proposedNA-4kSpecific commends of inspecting officer on waste dump managementTher is no dump within UPL. Proposed dump location is a outside of UPL. Although there is no waste dump observed			E80°00'52.41"			
4dLocation of Dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)Outside of UPLNA4eNumber of Active & Alive dumpsActive-& Inactive-Nil-4fNumber of dead dumpsNilNilNil4gNumber of dumps establishedNilNilNil4hWhether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are thereProposedNA4iLength of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumpRetaining wal-250m Garland drain-240mNot observed4jNumber of settling pondsNot proposedNA-4kSpecific commends of inspecting officer on waste dump managementTher is no dump within UPL. Proposed dump location is a outside of UPL. Although there is no waste dump observed	4c	Number of Dumps within lease area	Within the lease area 01 &	NA	do	
limit (Rule 16)Active 164eNumber of Active & Alive dumpsActive-& Inactive-NilActive-& Inactive-Nil4fNumber of dead dumpsNilNil4gNumber of dumps establishedNilNil4hWhether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are thereProposed4iLength of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumpRetaining wal-250m Garland drain-240mNot observed Active-Nil4jNumber of settling pondsNot proposedNA-4kSpecific commends of inspecting officer on waste dump managementTher is no dump within UPL. Proposed dump location is a outside of UPL. Although there is no waste dump observed		and outside lease area	outside lease area-Nil			
4eNumber of Active & Alive dumpsActive-& Inactive-NilActive-& Inactive-Nil4fNumber of dead dumpsNilNilNil4gNumber of dumps establishedNilNilNil4gNumber of dumps establishedNilNilNil4hWhether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are thereProposedNA4iLength of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumpRetaining wal-250m Garland drain-240mNot observed4jNumber of settling pondsNot proposedNA-4kSpecific commends of inspecting officer on waste dump managementTher is no dump within UPL. Proposed dump location is a outside of UPL. Although there is no waste dump observed	4d	1 I I	Outside of UPL	NA	-	
ImachineInactive-NilInactive-Nil4fNumber of dead dumpsNilNilNil4gNumber of dumps establishedNilNilNil4hWhether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are thereProposedNA4iLength of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumpRetaining wal-250m Garland drain-240mNot observed4jNumber of settling pondsNot proposedNA-4kSpecific commends of inspecting officer on waste dump managementTher is no dump within UPL. Proposed dump location is a outside of UPL. Although there is no waste dump observed		limit (Rule 16)				
4fNumber of dead dumpsNilNilNil4gNumber of dumps establishedNilNilNil4hWhether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are thereProposedNA-4iLength of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumpRetaining wal-250m Garland drain-240mNot observed-4jNumber of settling pondsNot proposedNA-4kSpecific commends of inspecting officer on waste dump managementTher is no dump within UPL. Proposed dump location is a outside of UPL. Although there is no waste dump observed	4e	Number of Active & Alive dumps	Active-&	Active-&	-	
4gNumber of dumps establishedNilNilNil4hWhether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are thereProposedNA-4iLength of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumpRetaining wal-250m Garland drain-240mNot observed4jNumber of settling pondsNot proposedNA-4kSpecific commends of inspecting officer on waste dump managementTher is no dump within UPL. Proposed dump location is a outside of UPL. Although there is no waste dump observed			Inactive-Nil	Inactive-Nil		
4h Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there Proposed NA - 4i Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dump Retaining wal-250m Not observed - 4j Number of settling ponds Not proposed NA - 4k Specific commends of inspecting officer on waste dump management Ther is no dump within UPL. Proposed dump location is a outside of UPL. Although there is no waste dump observed	4f	Number of dead dumps	Nil	Nil	Nil	
4h Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there Proposed NA - 4i Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dump Retaining wal-250m Not observed - 4j Number of settling ponds Not proposed NA - 4k Specific commends of inspecting officer on waste dump management Ther is no dump within UPL. Proposed dump location is a outside of UPL. Although there is no waste dump observed						
all along dumps are there 1 4i Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dump Retaining wal-250m Garland drain-240m 4j Number of settling ponds Not proposed 4k Specific commends of inspecting officer on waste dump management Ther is no dump within UPL. Proposed dump location is a outside of UPL. Although there is no waste dump observed	4g	Number of dumps established	Nil	Nil	Nil	
all along dumps are there 1 4i Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dump Retaining wal-250m Garland drain-240m 4j Number of settling ponds Not proposed 4k Specific commends of inspecting officer on waste dump management Ther is no dump within UPL. Proposed dump location is a outside of UPL. Although there is no waste dump observed						
4i Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dump Retaining wal-250m Garland drain-240m Not observed 4j Number of settling ponds Not proposed NA - 4k Specific commends of inspecting officer on waste dump management Ther is no dump within UPL. Proposed dump location is a outside of UPL. Although there is no waste dump observed	4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain	Proposed	NA	-	
drain all along dump Garland drain-240m 4j Number of settling ponds Not proposed NA 4k Specific commends of inspecting officer on waste dump management Ther is no dump within UPL. Proposed dump location is a outside of UPL. Although there is no waste dump observed.		all along dumps are there				
4j Number of settling ponds Not proposed NA - 4k Specific commends of inspecting officer on waste dump management Ther is no dump within UPL. Proposed dump location is a outside of UPL. Although there is no waste dump observed. -	4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland	Retaining wal-250m	Not observed		
4k Specific commends of inspecting officer on waste dump management Ther is no dump within UPL. Proposed dump location is a outside of UPL. Although there is no waste dump observed		drain all along dump	Garland drain-240m			
on waste dump management outside of UPL. Although there is no waste dump observ	4j	Number of settling ponds	Not proposed	NA	-	
on waste dump management outside of UPL. Although there is no waste dump observ						
	4k		er Ther is no dump within UPL. Proposed dump location is also			
		on waste dump management	outside of UPL. Although	n there is no waste d	ump observed	
within lease area.			within lease area.			

Solid Waste Management-Backfilling

S.N.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks		
5a	Status on part or full extraction of	Not proposed	NA	Nil		
	mineral from mined out area					
	before starting backfilling					
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	Not proposed	NA	Backfilling found		
				started.		
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for	Not proposed	NA	Nil		
	restoration or rehabilitation of mined					
	out area (Rule 32)					
5d	Total area fully reclaimed &	Not Proposed	NA	Nil		
	rehabilitated	-				
5e	General remarks of inspecting officer	There is no proposal for	backfilling and rec	lamation against the		
	on backfilling, reclamation etc.	year under review. Mine was reportedly as well as office record				
		basis closed in entire the	basis closed in entire the year under review except in May,2023.			

Progressive Mine Closer Plan

S.N ·		Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
6a	on time a	Annual report on PMCP submitted and correctly-Rule 23E(2). Details e given in the format as given in -20		PMCP report and UAV survey (KML file) found attached with the AR,2023-24	-
6b	Manager	nent of worked/mined out benches			
	i)	Area available for rehabilitation (Ha)	Not specified	NA	
	ii)	Afforestation done (ha)	Not proposed	NA	
	iii)	No. of saplings planted during the year	Not proposed	NA	
	iv)	Cumulative no. of plants	Not proposed	NA	

	v) Any other specific method of rehabilitation	NA	NA	Nil	
	vi) Cost incurred on watch & care during the year	Not proposed.	NA	Nil	
6c	Complianceonreclamationandrehabilitation by backfillingi)Voids available for backfilling (L*B*D)ii)Void filled by waste/tailingsiii)Afforestation on the backfillingiv)Rehabilitationbymakingwaterreservoirv)v)Any other specific means	Not proposed Not proposed Not proposed Not proposed Not proposed	NA NA NA NA NA	-	
6d	Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease i) Afforestation ii) Area rehabilitation iii) Method of rehabilitation	239 0.2150 plantation	Nil Nil NA	Mine was closed almost the year under review.	
6e	Compliance of Environment monitoring (core zone & buffer zone)	Yes	NA	-	
6f	6fGeneral remarks of inspecting officer on PMCP compliance & progressive closer operationsMine was closed almost the year under review hence no progress on PMCP compliances seen during the inspection.				

Mineral Conservation

S.N.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
7a	ROM mineral dispatch or grade wise sorting within lease area	Dispatch proposed without mineral processing. Iron ore -15170T Laterite-59270T	Iron ore 256tone (Fe content 55 to 58%) & Laterite-Nil	Nil
7b	Method of grade-wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical	Along with Manual sorting mechanized screening	As per approved proposal.	Nil
7c	Different grade of mineral sort out at mine	48 to 52% Fe content	Fe content 55 to 58%)	-
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines	Not proposed	NA	Nil
7e	Mineral conservation & beneficiation	There is no issue related with mineral conservation aspect. However, due to lacking in proposed exploration work level of information about deposit is less resulting low level of mining activity in the ML area.		

Environment

S.N.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule32)	Not Proposed	NA	-
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	Not Proposed	NA	-
8c	Separate dumps for overburden waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Proposed	NA	-

8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	Not Proposed.	NA	-
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	Not proposed	NA	-
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation & additional plantation done (Rule 41)	239 saplings	20	Mine was closed almost entire year under review.
8g	Survival rate	80%	60	
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	Water sprinkling is proposed by water tanker	NA	-
8i	1 0	Aesthetic beauty in and and needs further improv		ne area is moderate

Compliance of Rule 45

S.N.	Item	Comments	Remarks
9a	Status of submission of monthly and Annual returns	MR of Sep-24 and AR of 2023-24	MR of Sep,24 as well as AR for 2023-24 found submitted.
9b	on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	ME and Mrs. Ranjeeta Markam, Geologist	Shri Punit Kumar Dhruve accompanied to inspecting officer during inspection.
9c		Land use as per Annual return 2023-24 Currant working: 3.278ha. OB/waste dump: 0.0ha. Occupied by plant etc. 0.030 Green Belt: 0.00 ha. Progressive mine closure plan-0.00hect.	Uploaded KML file found not complete. Only ML boundary line and Boundary Pillar points are shown on the attached KML file. Updated mine working, dumps, mineral stacks, plantation area etc should have been shown on the KML file. Deficiency pointed out through violation of rule 45(7) vide letter dated 23/09/2024
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on Afforestation	Afforestation as per AR 20	Sapling found ok but survival rate is on lower side i.e 60%
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade & Quantity)	No any mineral reject generated during the year.	Ok
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or grade ore	ROM closing stock -Nil Graded Iron ore Fines of 55 to 58 Fe content 16227tone	Ok
9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price & production costs	Ex mine Price & sale value both are: Rs.450/ per ton, Cost of production = Rs. 130 per tone	In calculation of cost of production royalty, DMF, NMET amounts have not been taken into account properly. Necessary. Deficiency pointed out through violation of 45(7)

9i	Scrutiny of Annual return on Fixed assets		Matter discussed with the mining engineer and advised to take care while filing of AR.
9k	Scrutiny of Annual return on mining	Front end Loader -Nil	OK, Mine was closed almost
	Machineries	Dumper - Nil	entire the year under review.

Details of voilations observed during current inspection and compliance position of earlier violation pointed out:-

- 1. Rule 11(1) letter dated 23/09/2024
- 2. Rule 12(3) letter dated 23/09/2024
- 3. Rule 28 letter dated 23/09/2024
- 4. Rule 29 letter dated 23/09/2024
- 5. Rule 45(7) letter dated 23/09/2024

Date: 23/10/2024

Name:- Raghubir Sharan Garg Designation: Assistant Controller of Mines