INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINES CONTROL AND CONSERVATION OF MINERAL DIVISION MCDR INSPECTION REPORT Ranchi Regional Office

Mine file No: MCDR-MiFL0FE/2/2023-RCH-IBM_EZ Date of Inspection: 17.07.2024 1.0 GENERAL

Particulars Details SN Name of the Mine Vijay-II Iron Ore Mine 1 Total Lease Area (Ha) with breakup of Non-forest and forest 2 Total Lease Area (ha)- 155.078 ha land i)Forest area(ha): 155.078 ha ii) Non- forest area: 0 ha Mine Code 30JHK18015 3 4 IBM Registration Number under rule 45 of MCDR, 1988 IBM/4376/2011 5 Name of the lessee, Address, phone, email and fax number Tata Steel Limited, 24 Homi Mody Street, Fort. Mumbai-400001. Ph No.: 9262699402 ,Fax: 06767-272010 Email id: ceo md office@tatasteel.com Ghatkuri RF, Barajamda 6 Village 7 Taluka/Mandal Noamundi 8 District West Singhbhum 9 Pincode 833217 Jharkhand 10 State 11 Post office Barajamda 12 Nearest police station Gua 13 Nearest Railway station Barajamda 14 Date of Grant of Mining Lease 18.08.2005 15 Date of Execution 16.08.2005 Date of opening of Mine 2005 16 17 Date of first Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry NA Date of second Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry 18 NA 19 Date of submission of renewal application if Mining NA Operations are continuing under deemed extension 20 Name of the Nominated Owner with Address, phone, email, Mr. T. V. Narendran fax number and date of appointment Northern Town, Jamshedpur, P.O: Bistupur, Dist: East Singhbhum, Jharkhand- 831001, Phone No: 0657-2424602 Email id: narendran@tatasteel.com Date of appointment: 15.11.2023 21 Name of the Mine Agent with Address, phone, email, fax Mr. Rajeev Kumar number and date of appointment Vijay II Iron Ore Mine, PO; Barajamda, Dist.: West Singhbhum, Phone No: - 9234612778 Mail ID: rajeevkr@tatasteel.com Date of appointment: 01.06.2023 22 Name of the Mines Manager with Address, phone, email, fax Mr. Braj Binod Kumar number and date of appointment in mines Vijay-II Iron Ore Mine, PO; Barajamda Dist.: West Singhbhum ,Phone No: - 9234612749 Mail ID: braibinod@tatasteel.com Date of appointment:17.04.2024 23 Name of the Mining Engineer, Qualification and total Mr. Braj Binod Kumar experience with Address, phone, email, fax number and date Qualification: B.E.- Mining, of appointment in mine Experience: 26 years, Vijay-II Iron Ore Mine, PO; Barajamda, Dist.: West Singhbhum Phone No: - 9234612749 Mail ID: brajbinod@tatasteel.com Date of appointment:17.04.2024

Mine code: 30JHK18015

SN	Particulars	Details
24	Whether Geologist and Mining Engineer appointed in mines	Yes
	satisfy the rule 42 & carrying out their duties as per rule 43 &	GEOLOGIST:
	44.	Mr. Ashis Mohanty
		M.Sc Geology, Mail ID: ashish.mohanty@tatasteel.com
		Date of appointment: 29.09.2023
		MINING ENGINEER:
		Mr. Braj Binod Kumar Qualification: B.E(Mining)
		Mail ID: brajbinod@tatasteel.com
		Date of appointment:17.04.2024
25	Date of Approval of Mining Plan/Modified Mining Plan with	Approval of Modification of Review of Mining Plan vide
	five-year period and specific condition in approval letter, if	letter No RAN/WESTSINGHBHUM/Fe/MMP-7/2023-24,
	any.	dated 11.12.2023. For the period: 2023-24 to 2024-25
26	Date of Approval of Scheme of Mining/Modified Scheme of	
	Mining with five-year period and specific condition in	-
	approval letter, if any.	
27	Mineral(s) granted in lease and proved for mining	Iron Ore
28	Method of Mining (Opencast, Underground)	Opencast
29	Category (Fully Mechanised, Others or Manual)	Fully Mechanized (Category "A")
30	Captive/Non-Captive	Captive

Scientific Mining: Compliance of proposals of approved mining plan/scheme of mining. –

Exploration

SN	ltem	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
1a	Backlog of previous year	-	5 holes (203 meters)	5 boreholes were drilled against old DTH boreholes to validate the existence of ore)
1b	Exploration over lease area for Geological axis 1 or 2.	NA	NA	No Proposal for the reporting year
1c	Exploration Agency & Expenditure in lakh Rupees during the year	Expenditure: 22.50 Lakhs	Exploration Agency: M/s Tata Steel Limited Expenditure: 18.3 Lakh	Found Correct
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	0.0	0.0	As per approved RoMP Document
1e	Balance reserves as on 01.04.2024	12.58 million tons	14.37 million tons	Found Correct
1f	General remarks of inspecting officer on geology, exploration etc.	5 Nos.of boreholes were drilled however Form-J was not submitted to this office. Further Geological Study Report prepared in the manner specified in the Minerals (Evidence of Mineral Contents) Rules, 2015, within three months after the completion of the exploration work has also not been submitted.		

2.0 DEVELOPMENT

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t. lease area	Quarry 1 N: 22:10:58.19-22:11:10.85 E: 85:21:13.58 -85:21:27.22 Quarry 2 N: 22:10:24.51-22:10:50.65 E: 85:21:00.57-85:21:03.80	Quarry 1 N: 22:11:1.82-22:11:09.20 E 85:21:14.25-: 85:21:26.43 Quarry 2 N: 22:10:34.19-22:10:50.30 85:20:59.40-E: 85:20:59.83	It was proposed to develop 11 benches from 766mRL to 667mRL in Quarry-1 and 8 benches in Quarry-2 from 751mRl to 688 mRL but in Quarry 1 (8 benches 671mRL) benches has been developed and in Quarry -2 7 benches 698mRL has been developed.
2b	Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and mineral (Rule 15)	Yes	Yes	As per approved RoMP Document

2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	1.87 Ton/m3	2.78 Ton/m3	Stripping ratio on higher side
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	4020	Nil	No topsoil generation
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	1333535.7	256106.4	Within the approved proposal.
2f	General remarks of inspecting officer on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc.			

3.0 EXPLOITATION

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pits proposed for production	2	2	Within the approved proposal.
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	25,00,000 T	711300.96 T	Only 28% achievement has been made.
3c	Recovery of saleable/usable mineral from ROM production	25,00,000 T	711300.96 T	Only 28% achievement has been made.
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	Nil	Nil	Within the approved proposal.
3e	Grade of mineral reject generation and threshold value declared	NA	NA	Within the approved proposal.
3f	Quantity of sub-grade mineral generation	NA	NA	Within the approved proposal.
3g	Grade of sub-grade mineral generation	NA	NA	Within the approved proposal.
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	Mechanised method proposed	Mechanised method adopted	Within the approved proposal.
3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed & carried out for sub-grade mineral and reject	No	No	Within the approved proposal.
3ј	Provision of drilling & blasting in mineral benches	Deep Hole Drilling Dia: - 110 mm Depth: - 9 m, Spacing: - 4 Burden: -3 Explosive: Emulsion	Deep Hole Drilling Dia: - 110 mm Depth: - 9 m,Spacing: - 4 Burden: -3 Explosive- Emulsion	Within the approved proposal.
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	Shovel (3.5 m ³) & Tipper (35 T) Combination	Shovel (3.5 m ³) & Tipper (35 T) Combination	Within the approved proposal.
31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	Bench Height- 9 m	Bench Height- 9 m	Within the approved proposal.
3m	Total area covered under excavation/ pits	62.57 Ha	55.36 Ha	Within the approved proposal.
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year	1.87 Ton/m3	2.78 Ton/m3	Stripping Ration on the Higher end.
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	Area under mining: 62.57 ha Topsoil Stacking: 0.15 ha Waste Dump: 3.90 ha Mineral Storage: 5.13 ha Infrastructure: 1.12 ha Road: 14.93 ha Total: 87.80 ha	Area under mining: 55.36 ha Topsoil Stacking: 0.004 ha Waste Dump: 0 ha Mineral Storage: 4.98 ha Infrastructure: 1.33 ha Road: 0.5 ha Total: 62.17 ha	Slight Variation otherwise Within the approved proposal.

3p	Production of ROM mineral during last five-year period, as applicable	2019-20: 4000000 2020-21: 2500000 2021-22: 2500000 2022-23: 2500000 2023-24: 2500000	2019-20: 1770365.27 2020-21: 2499753.171 2021-22: 2499502.95 2022-23: 2250806.70 2023-24: 711300.96	Only 28% achievement has been made in the reporting year. Violation of MDPA has been observed.
3q	General remarks of inspecting officer on method of mining etc.		ot been achieved as envisaged in % of the targeted approved quant	

4.0 SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT-DUMPING

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB & mineral reject (Rule 32, 33)	Yes	Yes	Within the approved proposal.
4b	Location of topsoil, OB & mineral reject dumps	Topsoil: No Proposal OB Dump 1. Backfilling Dump 1 N: 22:11:06.72 to 22:11:14.19 E: 85:21:08.69 to 85:21:15.61 2. Temporary Waste Dump N: 22:10:40.96 to 22:10:52.13 E: 85:20:58.08 to 85:21:11.80 3. <i>Waste Dump 2</i> N: 22:11:06.72 to 22:11:14.19 E: 85:21:08.69 to 85:21:15.61	Topsoil: No topsoil dumps OB Dump 1. Backfilling Dump 1 N: 22:11:08.33 to 22:11:13.20 E: 85:21:09.65 to 85:21:14.84 2. Temporary Waste Dump N: 22:10:44.70 to 22:10:50.38 E: 85:21:02.02 to 85:21:04.09 3. Waste Dump 2 Dump was not developed due to less waste production	Waste Dump 2 was not developed due to less waste production.
4c	Number of dumps within lease area and outside lease	Within Lease Area: Waste Dumps -3 Nos. Mineral Reject Dumps -0 No dumps outside lease area	Within Lease Area: Waste Dumps -2 Nos. Mineral Reject Dumps -0 Nos. No dumps outside lease area	Within the approved proposal.
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	Within upl Dump: 2 Nos. 1. Backfilling Dump 1 N: 22:11:06.72 to 22:11:14.19 E: 85:21:08.69 to 85:21:15.61 2. Temporary Waste Dump N: 22:10:40.96 to 22:10:52.13 E: 85:20:58.08 to 85:21:11.80 Outside UPL External Dump: 1 Nos. 1. Waste Dump 2 N: 22:11:06.72 to 22:11:14.19 E: 85:21:08.69 to 85:21:15	Within upl Dump: 2 Nos. 1. Backfilling Dump 1 N: 22:11:06.72 to 22:11:14.19 E: 85:21:08.69 to 85:21:15.61 2. Temporary Waste Dump N: 22:10:40.96 to 22:10:52.13 E: 85:20:58.08 to 85:21:11.80 Outside UPL External Dump: 0 Nos.	Within the approved proposal.
4e	Number of active & alive dumps	Active waste dumps-3 nos. 1. Backfilling Dump 1 N: 22:11:06.72 to 22:11:14.19 E: 85:21:08.69 to 85:21:15.61 2. Temporary Waste Dump N: 22:10:40.96 to 22:10:52.13 E: 85:20:58.08 to 85:21:11.80 3. Waste Dump 2 N: 22:11:06.72 to 22:11:14.19 E: 85:21:08.69 to 85:21:15	Active waste dumps-2 nos 1. Backfilling Dump 1 N: 22:11:08.33 to 22:11:13.20 E: 85:21:09.65 to 85:21:14.84 2. Temporary Waste Dump N: 22:10:44.70 to 22:10:50.38 E: 85:21:02.02 to 85:21:04.09	Within the approved proposal.
4f	Number of dead dumps	Nil	Nil	D1-611: D 1
4g	Number of dumps stabilised	Waste Dump-1 Nos. Backfilling Dump 1 N: 22:11:06.72 to 22:11:14.19 E: 85:21:08.69 to 85:21:15.61	Stabilized Waste Dump-1 Nos. Backfilling Dump 1 N: 22:11:08.33 to 22:11:13.20 E: 85:21:09.65 to 85:21:14.84	Backfilling Dump 1 has been partially stabilized.

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps	Proposed	Constructed	Within the approved proposal.
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dump	Retaining wall:443 m Garland drain:447 m	Retaining wall:445 m Garland drain:446 m	Within the approved proposal.
4j	Number of settling ponds	01	01	Within the approved proposal.
4k	Specific comments of inspecting officer	Lessee is lagging behind in production and therefore lagging behind in advancement of dump working.		

5.0 SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT-BACKFILLING

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
5a	Status on part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling	Proposed full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling OB Dump Temporary Waste Dump N: 22:10:40.96 to 22:10:52.13 E: 85:20:58.08 to 85:21:11.80	Full extraction of mineral from mined out area done before starting backfilling OB Dump Temporary Waste Dump N: 22:10:40.96 to 22:10:52.13 E: 85:20:58.08 to 85:21:11.80	Within the approved proposal.
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	9.72 ha	4.16 ha	Within the approved proposal.(Only 42% achievement)
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mined out area (Rule 32)	Concurrent use proposed	Concurrent use done	Within the approved proposal.
5d	Total area fully reclaimed & rehabilitated	-	-	Within the approved proposal.
5e	General remarks of inspecting officer on backfilling, reclamation etc	Work done towards solid waste	management-backfilling is satisfa	ctory.

6.0 PROGRESSIVE MINE CLOSURE PLAN

SN	ltem	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
6а	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly - Rule 23E (2). Details should be given in the format as given in Annexure-20.	Submission by 30 th June	Yes, submitted ,28.06 2024	As per approved Proposal
6b	Management of worked/mined out benches i) Area available for rehabilitation (ha) ii) Afforestation done (ha) iii) No. of saplings planted during the year iv) Cumulative no. of plants v) Any other specific method of rehabilitation vi) Cost incurred on watch & care during the year	i) 5.95 ha ii) NA iii) 0 iv) NA v) NA vi) NA	i) 2.05 ha ii) NA iii) 0 iv) NA v) NA vi) NA	2.05 ha area of Quarry 2 has been converted into Temporary Waste Dump

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
6c	Compliance on reclamation and	i) Backfilling Dump 1:	i) Backfilling Dump 1:	Total Backfilling area: 4.16 ha
	rehabilitation by backfilling	280* 180*30=15,12,000	230* 100*25=5,75,000	
	i) Voids available for backfilling	Temporary Waste Dump:	Temporary Waste Dump:	Backfilling volume: 0.256
	(L X B X D)	990*190*45=84,64,500	295*95*30=8,40,750	Mcum
	ii) Void filled by waste/tailings	ii) Backfilling Dump 1:	ii) Backfilling Area #2:	
	iii) Afforestation on the	2.11 ha	13.45 ha	
	backfilled area	Temporary Waste Dump:	Backfilling Area #3:	
	iv) Rehabilitation by making	2.05 ha	4.120 ha	
	water reservoir	iii) 1.1 ha	iii) 1.16 ha	
	v) Any other specific means	iv) No proposal	iv) NA	
		v) NA	v) NA	
6d	Compliance of Rehabilitation of	i) 1100 Nos.	i) 4000 Nos.	
	waste land within lease	ii) 1.1 ha	ii) 1.16 ha	As per approved Proposal
	i) Afforestation	iii) Plantation and coir mat	iii)Method of rehabilitation:	As per approved i toposar
	ii) Area rehabilitated (ha)		Plantation and coir mat	
	iii) Method of rehabilitation			
6e	Compliance of Environmental	Air quality monitoring:	Air quality monitoring:	
	monitoring (core zone & buffer	Monthly reporting	Monthly reporting	
	zone)	Surface Water quality	Surface Water quality	
		monitoring:	monitoring:	
		Quarterly reporting	Quarterly reporting	As per approved Proposal
		Ground Water quality	Ground Water quality	
		monitoring:	monitoring:	
		Quarterly Reporting	Quarterly Reporting	
		Noise survey:	Noise survey:	
		Monthly Reporting	Monthly Reporting	
6f	General remarks of inspecting	Satisfactory work done in the	area of PMCP compliance.	
	officer on PMCP compliance			

7.0 MINERAL CONSERVATION

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease		<62% Fe (CLO):440797.98 T Fines	Within the approved proposal.
	area	-	55 - 58% Fe - 308550.08 T 58 - 60% Fe- 159436.93 T 60 - 62% Fe - 69629.55 T	
7b	Method of grade-wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical	Size-wise mechanical sorting proposed.	Size-wise mechanical sorting is being done.	Within the approved proposal.
7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines	NA	NA	Within the approved proposal.
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines	Dry Crushing &Screening plant of 2.5 MTPA	Dry Crushing& Screening plant of 2.5 MTPA	Within the approved proposal.
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation & beneficiation issues	Satisfactory work done in the area of Mineral Conservation.		

8.0 ENVIRONMENT

SN	ltem	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32)	Removal – 4020 m3 Utilization – 4020 m3	Removal – 0 m3 Utilization – 2.6 m3	Within the approved proposal.
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	Concurrent use & Storage Proposed	Concurrent usage of topsoil for plantation within mines	3.18 m ³ of topsoil was utilized from old stock in concurrent plantation.
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Separate dumps proposed	Separate dumps maintained for OB/Waste dumps	Within the approved proposal.

8d	Use of overburden, waste rock,	OB Dump	OB Dump	256106.4 Cum of waste/OB		
	rejects and fines dump for	1.Backfilling Dump 1	1.Backfilling Dump 1	was used for backfilling		
	restoring the land to its original	N: 22:11:06.72 to 22:11:14.19	N: 22:11:08.33-22:11:13.20	over an area of 4.16 ha.		
	use	E: 85:21:08.69 to 85:21:15.61	E: 85:21:09.65-85:21:14.84			
		2. Temporary Waste Dump	2.Temporary Waste Dump			
		N: 22:10:40.96 to 22:10:52.13	N: 22:10:44.70-22:10:50.38			
		E: 85:20:58.08 to 85:21:11.80	E: 85:21:02.02-85:21:04.09			
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation	Plantation proposed in	Plantation achieved over an	Within the approved		
	and rehabilitation of lands	Backfilling Dump 1 over an	area of 1.10 ha in Backfilling	proposal.		
	affected by mining operations	area of 1.10 ha by plantation	<i>Dump 1</i> by plantation of			
	(Pits, dumps etc)	of 1100 nos. of saplings.	4000 nos. of saplings.			
8f	Baseline information on	Area proposed for plantation:	Area under plantation:	Within the approved		
	existence of plantation &	1.1 ha	1.16 ha	proposal.		
	additional plantation done (Rule	Saplings to be planted - 1100	No. of saplings planted:			
	41)		4000			
8g	Survival rate			Actual Survival rate is less		
		85%	60%	than proposed rate		
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	Proposed	mobile water tankers and fixed water sprinklers	Within the approved proposal.		
8i	General remarks of inspecting	Lessee has planted 4000 nos. Of saplings in the lease area and has used water tankers for				
	officer on aesthetic beauty in	dust suppression. This sustains the aesthetic beauty in and around mines.				
	and around mines		-			
9.0 COMPLIANCE OF RULE 45						

SN COMMENTS Remarks Item Monthly Return submitted up to: June 2024 9a Status of submission of Monthly Annual Return submitted for FY 2023-24 and Annual returns Details given in A.R. **Observation of I/O** Remarks SN Item 9h Scrutiny of Annual return for Mining Engineer: Mining Engineer: information As per furnished on Mining Braj Binod Kumar Braj Binod Kumar documents, found Engineer, Geologist and Geologist: Ashis Mohanty Geologist: Ashis Mohanty correct. Manager Manager: Braj Binod Kumar Manager: Braj Binod Kumar Scrutiny of Annual return on 9c Mining: 55.36 ha Mining: 55.36 ha As per furnished documents, found land use pattern for area under Topsoil Stacking: 0.004 ha Topsoil Stacking: 0.004 ha correct. pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. Waste Dump: 0 ha Waste Dump: 0 ha Mineral Storage: 4.98 ha Mineral Storage: 4.98 ha Infrastructure: 1.33 ha Infrastructure: 1.33 ha Total: 62.17 ha Total: 62.17 ha 9d Scrutiny of Annual return on As per furnished WML: 4000 Nos. WML: 4000 Nos. documents, found afforestation correct. 9e Scrutiny of Annual return on Nil Within the approved mineral reject generation (Grade proposal. & quantity) 9f Scrutiny of Annual return on Opening Stock - 559166.591 T Opening Stock - 559166.591 T **ROM Feed**: Production-711300.960 T Production-711300.960 T Opening Stock + ROM stock and/or graded ore ROM Feed - 1190113.52 T ROM Feed - 1190113.52 T Production – ROM Closing Stock-80354.026 T Closing Stock-80354.026 T Feed = Closing Products: Stock Products: CLO: CLO: (Opening Stock) Fe < 62%: 456319.15 T Fe < 62%: 456319.15 T 559166.591+ Fines: (Production) Fines: Fe (55% - 58%):489963.83 T 711300.960 - (ROM Fe (55% - 58%) :489963.83 T Fe (58% - 60%):187909.66 T Fe (58% - 60%):187909.66 T *Feed*) 1190113.52 = Fe(60% - 62%): 55920.88 T Fe(60% - 62%): 55920.88 T (Closing Stock) Dispatch: 80354.026 CLO Dispatch: Fe < 62%: 440797.98 T CLO Fines: Fe < 62%: 440797.98 T 55% - 58% Fe Fines: 308550.08 T Fines: 58% - 60% Fe Fines- 159436.93 T Fe (55% - 58%):308550.08 T 60% - 62% Fe Fines- 69629.55 T Fe (58% - 60%):159436.93 T

Fe(60% - 62%): 69629.55 T

9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on	Ex-mine price (Rs/MT)	Ex-mine price (Rs/MT)	
	sale value, Ex. Mine price &	CLO :Fe < 62% : 1740.4	CLO:Fe < 62% : 1740.4	
	production cost	Fines:Fe (55% - 58%) : 1530.6	Fines: Fe (55% - 58%) : 1530.6	
		Fe (58% - 60%):1724.2	Fe (58% - 60%):1724.2	Within the approved
		Fe(60% - 62%): 2039.9	Fe(60% - 62%): 2039.9	proposal.
		Cost of production/tonne of ore-	Cost of production/tonne of ore-	
		mineral produced- 2057.79	mineral produced- 2057.79	
9i	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets	₹ 2372900516	Verified from the asset register and	Certified by
			found correct.	Chartered
				Accountant
	Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries	1.Shovel-0	1.Shovel- 0	
		2. Backhoe- 13	2. Backhoe- 13	
		3. Dumper- 0	3. Dumper- 0	
9k		4. Tipper- 24	4. Tipper- 24	Within the approved
		5.Rock Drill- 01	5.Rock Drill- 01	proposal.
		6.Air Compressor-0	6.Air Compressor-0	
		7. DG - 03	7. DG- 03	
		8. Dozer- 02	8. Dozer- 02	

10 - Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of earlier violation pointed out: -

 Earlier pointed out violation: 1 Violation letter No. – MCDR-MiFLOFE/2/2023-RCH-IBM_EZ, dt. 29.02.2024, Complied vide letter no - VII/Mines/23-24/327, dated 07.03.2024

- 2. Violation pointed out in the current inspection are as under:
 - i) Rule 11(1):
 - (a) It was proposed to develop 11 benches from 766mRL to 667mRL in Quarry-1 and 8 benches in Quarry-2 from 751mRl to 688 mRL but in Quarry 1 (8 benches 671mRL) benches has been developed and in Quarry -2 7 benches 698mRL has been developed.
 - (b) Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed was 25, 00,000 T for the reporting year 2023-24, however only 711300.96 T have been produced which is only 28% achievement. This in-turn has resulted into the less Recovery of saleable/usable mineral from ROM production which is also only 711300.96 T against 25, 00,000 T. This is a gross violation of Rule11 (1).
 - (c) It was proposed to develop Waste Dump 2 in the designated location as specified in the approved RoMP document; however the same has not been developed.
 - (d) The proposal of backfilling of mined out area was 9.72 Ha, however only 4.16 ha have been done which is only 42% of the proposal.
 - (e) An amount of Rs. 47, 32, 00,000.00 was submitted as performance security deposit equivalent to 0.50% of value of estimated resources as a part of MDPA agreement which has expired on 02.05.2024. Renewal/updated copy of the performance security deposit have not submitted to this office afterwards.
 - ii) **Rule 12(4B):** Geological Study Report prepared in the manner specified in the Minerals (Evidence of Mineral Contents) Rules, 2015, within three months after the completion of the exploration work has also not been submitted.
 - iii) Rule 48: 5 Nos. of boreholes were drilled however Form-J was not submitted to this office.

(Vikas Kumar), Asst. Controller of Mines, Indian Bureau of Mines- Ranchi