INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES

MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR inspection Report

Jabalpur regional office

| S.N. | Particulars | Details |
|------|--|--|
| 1 | Name of the Mine | Nanhwara Limestone & Dolomite Mine |
| | Date of Inspection: | 21-11-2024 |
| | Name of Inspecting Officer | Akash Arun Mittarwar, Assistant Mining Engineer |
| 2 | Total Lease Area (Ha) with breakup of Non-forest and forest land | 2.19 Hect. |
| 3 | Mine code | 38MPR47127 |
| 4 | IBM Registration Number under rule 45 of MCDR,1988 | IBM/10588/2012 |
| 5 | Last Inspection Details | 31/10/2023 by Shri Raghubir Sharan Garg, ACOM |
| 6 | Mine official present during the inspection | Shri Karan Singh Chouhan (Lease holder) & Shri Shivam Kumar Rajak (Mining Engineer) |
| 7 | Name of the Lessee, Address, Phone, email and faxnumber | Shri Karan Singh Chouhan (Lease holder), Dubey Colony, Katni (M.P.) – 483501 Phone - 9669665667 E-mail: - karansinghchauhan155@gmail.com |
| 8 | Village | Nanhwara |
| 9 | Taluka/Mandal | Badwara |
| 10 | District | Katni |
| 11 | Pin code | 485773 |
| 12 | State | Madhya Pradesh |
| 13 | Post Office | Badwara |
| 14 | Nearest Police Station | Badwara |
| 15 | Nearest Railway Station | Katni |
| 16 | Date of Grant of Mining Lease | 13/02/2003 |
| 17 | Date of Execution | 25/06/2003 |
| 18 | Lease period | 25/06/2003 to 24/06/2053 |
| 19 | Date of opening of Mine | 21/07/2003 |
| 20 | Initial Grant | 25/06/2003 to 24/06/2023 |
| 21 | Date of First Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry | 25/06/2023 to 24/06/2053 |
| 22 | Date of Second Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry | NA NA |
| 23 | Date of Third Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry | NA |
| 24 | Date of submission of renewal application if Mining Operations are continuing under deemed extension | NA |

| 25 | Name of the Nominated Owner with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment | Shri Karan Singh Chouhan (Lease holder), Dubey Colony, Katni (M.P.) – 483501 Phone - 9669665667 E-mail: - karansinghchauhan155@gmail.com |
|----|--|--|
| 26 | Name of the Mine Agent with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment | NA |
| 27 | Name of the Mine Manager with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment in mines | NA |
| 28 | Whether Geologist and Mining Engineer appointment in mines satisfy the rule 42 & carrying out their duties as per rule 43 & 44. | Shri Shivam Kumar Rajak (Mining Engineer) Qualification – Diploma in Mining & Mine Surveying Date of Appointment – 01/07/2023 Mobile, Email – 8103066176, ksckatni7@gmail.com Shri Charchit Anand (Geologist) Qualification - M.Sc. (Geology), Date of Appointment – 01/07/2023 Mobile, Email - 9300429114, cmikatni@gmail.com |
| 29 | Date of Approval of Mine Plan/Modified Mine Plan with five- year period and specific condition in approval letter, if any. | Mining plan under rule 11 of MCDR,1988 – 27-MAY-03 Scheme of Mining under Rule 12(3) of MCDR1988 – 27-JUN-12 Scheme of Mining under Rule 12(3) of MCDR1988 – 15-OCT-14 Review of Mining plan under rule 17(2) of MCDR,2016 – 23-JUL-19 Review of Mining plan under rule 17(2) of MCDR,2016 – 22-MAR-24 |
| 30 | Date of Approval of Scheme of Mining/Modified Scheme of Mining with five- year period and specific condition in approval letter, if any. | NA |
| 31 | Mineral(s) granted in lease and approved for Mining | Limestone, Dolomite |
| 32 | Method of Mining (Opencast, Underground) | Opencast |
| 33 | Category (Fully Mechanized, Others or Manual) | В |
| 34 | Captive/Non-Captive | Non-Captive |

Exploration

| S.N. | ltem | Proposals | Actual Work | Remarks |
|------|--|--|--|---|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | Not proposed | Not applicable | |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for Geological axis 1 or 2. | Not proposed | Not applicable | Lease area explored up to G2 level of exploration. |
| 1c | Exploration Agency & Expenditure in Lakh Rupees during the year | Not specified. | Not specified. | |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2. | Nil | Entire mineralised area had already explored under G2 level. | |
| 1e | Balance reserves as on 01.04.2023 | Nil | Balance reserve as on 01/04/2024 – 121- 95899 tonnes (Limestone) | Reserve & resources are not estimated as per approved RMP; violation issued under rule 45(7) of MCDR,17 |
| 1f | General remarks of Inspecting officer on geology, exploration etc. | Entire lease area explored up to G2 Level of exploration. Proposal of G1 level of exploration of 4 boreholes given during the year 2024-25 in approved RMP dated – 22/03/2024. | | |

Development

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual Work | Remarks |
|------|--|--|-------------------------|---|
| 2a | Location of Development w.r.t. lease area | Towards East to West direction of pit | As per proposal | |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil overburden and mineral (Rule 15) | Benches in Top soil - Nil, Overburden Benches - Nil, Mineral Limestone - 2 Benches of 3 m height proposed. | As per proposal | · |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1:0.06 | 1:0.06 | |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m ³ | Nil | Nil | |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in cu.m | 657 cu.m | 150 cu.m | Less OB was achieved; violation issued under rule 11(1) of MCDR,17 |
| 2f | General remarks of Inspecting officer on development of pit w.r.t type of deposit etc. | Development was carried out a stratiform deposit. Limesto towards south and continuous | ne & dolomite is dippin | |

Exploitation

| S.N. | Item | Propo | osals | Actual Work | Remarks |
|------|--|---------|---------|---|--|
| 3a | Number of pits proposed for production | One | pit | One pit | |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed (2023-24) | 25804 | tonnes | 5894 tonnes | violation issued under rule 11(1) of MCDR,17 |
| 3c | Recovery of Salable/usable mineral from ROM production | 95 | % | 95 % | |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | Not pro | posed | Not applicable | |
| 3e | Grade of mineral reject generation and threshold value declared | Not pro | posed | Not applicable | |
| 3f | Quantity of sub-grade mineral generation | Not pro | | Not applicable | |
| 3g | Grade of sub-grade mineral generation | Not pro | | Not applicable | |
| 3h | Manual/Mechanized method adopted for segregation from ROM | Manu | | Manual | |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed & carried out for subgrade mineral and reject | Not pro | posed | Not applicable | |
| 3j | Provision of drilling & blasting in mineral benches | Propose | ed | Drilling & blasting carried out as proposed. | |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | propos | ed | Drilling & blasting using jack hammer are in practice as manual method of mining was adopted. | |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | Propo | sed | Height of o/b & mineral benches is suitable for method of mining as proposed. | |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation /pits | Not p | roposed | 1.14 hect. | |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year | 1:0. | 06 | 1:0.06 | |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | Not pr | roposed | 1.52 hect. | |
| 3р | Production of ROM mineral during | 2019-20 | 5877 t | 2019-20 – 170 t | |
| | last five-years period, as Applicable | 2020-21 | 9698 t | 2020-21- 110 t | |

| | | 2021-22 | 21345 t | 2021-22 – Nil | |
|----|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | 2022-23 | 21485 t | 2022-23 – 268 t | |
| | | 2023-24 | 25804 t | 2023-24 – 5894 t | |
| 3q | General remarks of inspecting officer on method of mining etc | excavation of chisel etc. T | f mineral don The loading o | g adopted manual methor e by using hand tools such of limestone will be done on through hired trucks or tip | as spades, hammer, with shovel-tipper |

Solid Waste Management-Dumping

| S.N. | ltem | Proposals | Actual Work | Remarks |
|------|---|---|---|--|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB & mineral reject (Rule 32,33) | Not proposed | Not applicable | OB generated during the year used for road maintenance & construction purpose. |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB & mineral reject dumps | OB generated during the year used for road maintenance & construction purpose as per approved mining plan | As per proposal | |
| 4c | Number of Dumps within lease area and outside lease area | Not proposed | Not applicable | |
| 4d | Location of Dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Not proposed | Not applicable | |
| 4e | Number of Active & Alive dumps | Not proposed | Not applicable | |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps | Not proposed | Not applicable | |
| 4g | Number of dumps established | Not proposed | Not applicable | |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there | Not proposed | Not applicable | |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dump | Not proposed | Not applicable | |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | Not proposed | Not applicable | |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | | g the proposal period. OB g nance & construction purpo | |

Solid Waste Management-Backfilling

| S.N. | ltem | Proposals | Actual Work | Remarks |
|------|--|--|---|---------|
| 5a | Status on part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling | Full extraction of mineral from mined out area is proposed | Full extraction of mineral under progress up to the UPD | |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | Not Proposed | Not Applicable | |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mined out area (Rule 32) | Not Proposed | Not Applicable | |

| 5 | 5d | Total area fully reclaimed & rehabilitated | Not Proposed | Not Applicable | |
|---|----|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| | | | | | |
| 5 | 5e | General remarks of inspecting | No proposal of backfilling, re- | clamation & rehabilitation d | uring the year. |
| | | officer on backfilling, reclamation | | | |
| | | etc | | | |

Progressive Mine Closer Plan

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual Work | Remarks |
|------|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| 6a | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly-Rule 23E (2). Details should be given in the format as given in Annexure-20 | Proposed | Not Submitted | Violation pointed out under rule 26(2) of MCDR,2017 |
| 6b | Management of worked/mined out benches | | | |
| | i) Area available for rehabilitation (Ha) | Not proposed | Not applicable | |
| | ii) Afforestation done (ha.) | Not proposed | Not applicable | |
| | iii) No. of saplings planted during the year | Not proposed | Not applicable | |
| | iv) Cumulative no. of plants | Not proposed | Not applicable | |
| | v) Any other specific method of rehabilitation | Not proposed | Not applicable | |
| | vi) Cost incurred on watch & care during the year | Not Specified | Not applicable | |
| | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L*B*D) ii) Void filled by | Not proposed Not proposed | Not applicable Not applicable | |
| 6C | waste/tailings iii) Afforestation on the | Not proposed | Not applicable | |
| | backfilling iv) Rehabilitation by making | Not Proposed | Not applicable | |
| | water reservoir v) Any other specific means | Not Proposed | Not Applicable | |
| 6d | Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease i) Afforestation ii) Area rehabilitation iii) Method of rehabilitation | 200 plants | As per proposal | |
| 6e | Compliance of Environment monitoring (core zone & Buffer zone) | Proposed | Within prescribed limit | |
| 6f | General remarks of inspecting officer on PMCP compliance & progressive closer operations | No proposal of backfilling, reyear. | eclamation & rehabilitation | during the proposal |

Mineral Conservation

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual Work | Remarks |
|------|--|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 7a | ROM mineral dispatch or gradewise sorting within lease area | ROM mineral dispatch to steel and cement industries | As per proposal | |
| 7b | Method of grade-wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical | Manual | Manual | |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sort out at mine | Cement grade | Cement grade | |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines | Not Proposed | Not applicable | |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation & beneficiation issues | The limestone dispatched to cemprocess at mines carried out. | nent and steel industries dire | ectly. No beneficiation |

Environment

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual Work | Remarks |
|------|--|---|---|---------|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule32) | Not Proposed | Not Applicable | |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | Not Proposed | Not Applicable | |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | OB generated during the year used for road maintenance & construction purpose as per approved mining plan | As per proposal | |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | For road maintenance & construction purpose | Not Applicable | |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | Not Proposed | Not Applicable | |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation & additional plantation done (Rule 41) | NA | 200 saplings were planted. | |
| 8g | Survival rate | 70% | 70% | |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | Proposed | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust carried out with the use of water tanker | |

| | Plantation was done in the proposed location. Needs improvement for | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| officer on aesthetic beauty in ar | aesthetic beauty in and around mine area. | |
| around mine area | | |

Compliance of Rule 45:-

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual Work | Remarks |
|------|---|--|---|---------|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | Submitted both Monthly & Annual Returns | Submitted | |
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Mining Engineer and Geologist | Shri Shivam Kumar Rajak (Mining Engineer) & Shri Charchit Anand (Geologist) | |
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | Yes | Covered under current (O-C) Workings – 1.142 hect. Infrastructure – 0.120 hect. Others to specify (Green belt) – 0.254 hect. | |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | 200 number of saplings were proposed for plantation | As per proposal | |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | Yes | Nil | |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | Yes | ROM closing stock as on 01/04/2024 – 1763.000 | |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | Yes | Ex. Mine price - 485.28 and production cost - 273.10 | |
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | Yes | Value of Fixed Assets* (₹370000) | |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | Yes | Drilling & blasting using jack hammer are in practice as manual method of mining was adopted. Dumper – 2 – 10 tonnes Water Tanker – 1 – 800 lit. | |

Details of Violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out:-

Rule - 11(1) of MCDR 2017

Rule – 26(2) of MCDR 2017

Rule – 33 of MCDR 2017

Rule – 34A of MCDR 2017

Rule - 45(7) of MCDR 2017

Name: Akash Arun Mittarwar Designation: Assistant Mining Engineer