MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

1.0 GENERAL

| SN | <u>GENERAL</u> Particulars | Details | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 1 | Name of inspecting office & | Shri Ejaj Ahmad Khan, Assistant Mining Geologist | |
| | designation | Indian Bureau of Mines, Hyderabad | |
| 2 | Accompanying Mine Official | Shri Pradeep Pimpalshende, Mining Engineer | |
| | with Designation | | |
| 3 | Date of inspection | 11-12-2024 | |
| 4 | Name of the Mine | Bhoraj Manganese Mine | |
| 5 | Total Lease Area (Ha) with | 122.57 ha | |
| | breakup of Non-forest and forest | | |
| | land | 40 A PRO1010 | |
| 6 | Mine Code | 40APR01018 | |
| 7 | IBM Registration Number under rule 45 of MCDR, 1988 | IBM/733/2011 | |
| 8 | Name of the lessee, Address, | M/s. Balaji Electro Smelters Limited, Plot No 18, MIDC | |
| 0 | phone, email and fax number | Lohara, Yavatmal (M.S.)- 445001 | |
| | phone, eman and rax number | Contact No. 9822033153, Email-besyml@gmail.com | |
| 9 | Village | Bhoraj | |
| 10 | Taluka / Mandal / District | Mandal Jainath, District-Adilabad | |
| 11 | State | Telangana Telangana | |
| 12 | Post office & PIN | Bhoraj -504001 | |
| 13 | Nearest police station | Jainath | |
| 14 | Nearest Railway station | Adilabad | |
| 15 | Date of Grant of Mining Lease | | |
| 16 | Date of Execution & Date of | 14-07-2009 & 13-07-2029 | |
| | Expiry | | |
| 17 | Date of opening of Mine | 17-04-2015 | |
| 18 | Date of first Renewal, if | NA | |
| | applicable and its period & | | |
| 10 | expiry | | |
| 19 | Date of second Renewal, if | NA | |
| | applicable and its period & | | |
| 20 | Date of submission of renewal | NA | |
| 20 | application if Mining Operations | INA | |
| | are continuing under deemed | | |
| | extension | | |
| 21 | Name of the Nominated Owner | Shri Anup Kaparthi | |
| | with Address, phone, email, fax | Plot No 17/18, Darda Nagar Near Water Tank Yavatmal- | |
| | number and date of appointment | 445 001 (M.S.). Contact No. 9822033153 | |
| | | Email - anupkaparthi@gmail.com | |
| 22 | Name of the Mine Agent with | Nil | |
| | Address, phone, email, fax | | |
| | number and date of appointment | | |
| 23 | Name of the Mines Manager | Nil | |
| | with Address, phone, email, fax | | |
| | number and date of appointment | | |
| | in mines | | |

| 24 | Name of the Mining Engineer, | Shri Pradeep Pimpalshende |
|----|-----------------------------------|--|
| | Qualification and total | At Post Th - Korpana, District – Chandrapur (MS) |
| | experience with Address, phone, | Contact No: 9923383025 |
| | email, fax number and date of | Date of appointment: 01-03-2021 |
| | appointment in mine | |
| 25 | Whether Geologist and Mining | Yes, Appointed |
| | Engineer appointed in mines | |
| | satisfy the rule 55 & carrying | |
| | out their duties as per rule 56 & | |
| | 57. | |
| 26 | Date of Approval of Mining | Modified Mining plan approved Vide letter No |
| | Plan/Modified Mining Plan with | AP/ADB/MP/Mn-30/Hyd dated:06-12-2021 |
| | five-year period and specific | Period:06-12-2021 to 31-03-2024 |
| | condition in approval letter, if | |
| | any. | |
| 27 | Date of Approval of Scheme of | Review of Mining plan approved Vide letter No |
| | Mining/Modified Scheme of | AP/ADB/MP/Mn-30/Hyd dated:17-01-2024 |
| | Mining with five-year period | Period: 01-04-2024 to 31-03-2027 |
| | and specific condition in | |
| | approval letter, if any. | |
| 28 | Mineral(s) granted in lease and | Manganese |
| | proved for mining | |
| 29 | Method of Mining(Opencast, | Opencast |
| | Underground) | |
| 30 | Category (Fully Mechanised, | A-Mechanised |
| | Others or Manual) | |
| 31 | Captive/Non Captive | Non Captive |
| 32 | Existing EC Limit and validity | 4000 tonnes up to life of mine |
| 33 | Status of Financial Assurance as | Financial assurance of Rs 10,00,000/- is submitted which |
| | per rule 27 of MCDR, 2017 | is valid up to 31/03/2029 |

Scientific Mining: Compliance of proposals of approved mining plan/scheme of mining. – **1.0 Exploration**

| 1.01 | <u>exploration</u> | | | |
|------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| SN | Item | Proposals F.Y. 2023-24 | Actual work F.Y. 2023-24 | Remarks |
| 1a | Backlog of | There was proposal in | 21 DTH holes (315 m) | |
| | previous year | Year 2021-22 to do DTH | work carried out. | |
| | | holes (315 m). | | |
| | | | | |
| 1b | Exploration over | Nil | 21 DTH holes (315 m) | The Entire |
| | lease area for | | carried out over area of | potentially |
| | Geological axis 1 | | 72.92 ha. | mineralised |
| | or 2. | | | area has been |
| | | | | converted |
| | | | | into G1 level |
| | | | | of |
| | | G 10 | G 10 | exploration. |
| 1c | Exploration | Self | Self | |
| | Agency & | F1:41 | F 1:4 D 140567/ | |
| | Expenditure in lakh | Expenditure not proposed | Expenditure Rs. 140567/- | |
| | Rupees during the | | | |
| 1.1 | year | N7'1 | NT'1 | A 1 |
| 1d | Balance area to be | Nil | Nil | Area under |
| | explored to bring | | | G1 level- 2.57 ha |
| | Geological axis in 1 | | | 210 / 110 |
| | or 2 | | | Non- |
| | | | | mineralised |
| 1. | Dalamaa maaamaa | As non supposed DMD | Hadan (111), 9577 | are-120 ha. |
| 1e | Balance reserves as | As per approved RMP | Under (111): 8577 | |
| | on 01.04.2024 (in | documents dated | Tonnes | |

| | Tonnes) | 17.01.2024, Resource and reserve estimation as on 01.09.2023. Under (111): 9652.80 Tonnes Under (211): 65.69 Tonnes | Under (211): 65.69 Tonnes | |
|----|--|---|--|----------------------------|
| 1f | General remarks of inspecting officer on geology, exploration etc. | which consists shaly/silicion ore. The manganese ore occ | ea are belonging to Penganga us limestone and Thin bands curs below the upper limeston tyer of thickness 0.30 meter to | of Manganese e beds and |

2.0 Development

| SN | Item | Proposals F.Y. 2023- 24 | Actual work F.Y. 2023-24 | Remarks |
|----|--|--|--|---------|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t. lease area (Range in UTM/Local Coordinate/Plot No./Location) | In Pit-1 between the UTM-coordinate of N2183838-2183885 & E241309-241392 | Development has been carried as per proposal UTM coordinate. | |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and mineral (Rule 13) | Topsoil: 01 no. Overburden: 02 no. Mineral/Ore: 01 no. | Separate benches have been maintained Topsoil: 01 no. Overburden: 02 no. Mineral/Ore: 01 no. | |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1:3.05 | 1: 1.77 | |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | 3036 m ³ | 2370 m ³ | |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | 4250.5 m ³ | 3315 m ³ | |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officer on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc. | Mining operation has be approved Modified mi | peen carried out as propo ning plan. | osed in |

3.0 Exploitation

| SN | Item | Proposals F.Y. 2023- | Actual work F.Y. | Remarks |
|----|---|----------------------|------------------|--|
| | | 24 | 2023-24 | |
| 3a | Number of pits proposed for production | one | one | |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | 2385.15 tonnes | 1868 tonnes | |
| 3c | Recovery of saleable/usable mineral from ROM production | 100% | 100% | Low grade Mn ore Blended with high grade Mn ore |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | Nil | Nil | No mineral sub-grade was |

| | | | | generated |
|----|---|---|---|--|
| 3e | Grade of mineral reject generation and threshold value declared | NA | NA | |
| 3f | Quantity of sub-grade mineral generation | Nil | Nil | |
| 3g | Grade of sub-grade mineral generation | NA | NA | |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | Manual | ROM is being segregated manually. | |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed & carried out for sub-grade mineral and reject | No | No | |
| 3j | Provision of drilling & blasting in mineral benches | Jackhammer drilling machine of 33 mm diameter proposed to be used & blasting is being carried out using ANFO with detonators. | Drilling & blasting operations has been carried out as per proposal. | |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | Yes, proposed. | Using Combination of excavators and tippers for production and development. | |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | 3 meter height of OB benches proposed | Up to max 3m benches in OB was developed | |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | 0.25 ha | 0.23 ha | |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year | 1:3.05 | 1: 1.77 | |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | Under Pit-0.25 ha Road- 0.01 ha | Under Pit- 0.40 ha Waste- 0.04 ha Road- 0.57 Others- 0.09 ha Reclaimed- 0.27 | Out of 1.9 Ha., 1.5 Ha. has been reclaimed and rehabilitate d. |
| 3p | Production of ROM mineral during last five-year period, as applicable (in Metric Tonnes) | F.Y-2019-20: 3448 Metric Tonnes F.Y-2020-21: 3548 Metric Tonnes F.Y-2021-22: 2399 Metric Tonnes F.Y-2022-23: 2703 Metric Tonnes F.Y-2023-24: 2385 | F.Y- 2019-20: 1253 Metric Tonnes F.Y- 2020-21: 1242 Metric Tonnes F.Y- 2021-22: 995 Metric Tonnes F.Y-2022-23: 1072 Metric Tonnes F.Y-2023-24: 1868 | |

| | | Metric Tonnes | Metric Tonnes | |
|----|---|---|---------------|--|
| 3q | General remarks of inspecting officer on method of mining | Open cast mining method with Mechanised equipment like Excavator, Jackhammer drill has been employed. | | |
| | etc. | | | |

| SN | Solid Waste Management-Dun Item | Proposals F.Y. 2023-24 | Actual work | Remarks |
|-----|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| SIN | nem | Proposais F. Y. 2023-24 | F.Y. 2023-24 | Remarks |
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, | Yes | Separate | |
| | OB & mineral reject (Rule | | stacking of | |
| | 36, 37) | | mineral and | |
| | | | topsoil have | |
| | | | been done. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB & | In-between UTM co- | Same was | |
| | mineral reject dumps (Range | ordinates | observed during | |
| | in UTM/Local | | inspection. | |
| | Coordinate/Plot No./Location) | Topsoil: N2183784 to | | |
| | No./Location) | N2183811 and E241492 | | |
| | | to E241526 | | |
| | | Mineral/Ore: N2183772 | | |
| | | to N2183792 and | | |
| | | E241474 to E241524 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 4c | Number of dumps within | Topsoil: 01 no. | Topsoil: 01 no. | Government |
| | lease area and outside lease | | | canal dump |
| | area | Mineral/Ore: 01 no. | Mineral/Ore: 01 | observed |
| | | Waste/OB: 04 nos. | no. | around lease area. |
| | | Waste/OB. 04 nos. | Waste/OB: 04 | area. |
| | | | nos. | |
| | | | nos. | |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. | Proposed beyond ultimate | All the dumps | |
| | ultimate pit limit (Rule 14) | pit limit. | are situated | |
| | | | beyond ultimate | |
| | | | pit limit area | |
| 4e | Number of active & alive | 04 nos. | 04 nos. | |
| | dumps | | | |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps | No proposal | Nil | |
| 4g | Number of dumps stabilised | No proposal | Nil | |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or | No proposal | Nil | |
| 711 | garland drain all along | 110 proposar | 1 411 | |

| | | dumps are there | | | |
|---|----|--|---|-----|--|
| 4 | i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dump | No proposal | Nil | |
| 4 | ŀj | Number of settling ponds | No proposal | Nil | |
| 4 | ŀk | Specific comments of inspecting officer | Waste and the topsoil have been utilized for backfilling and topsoil spread over backfilled pit for plantation. | | |

5.0 Solid Waste Management-Backfilling

| SN | Item | Proposals F.Y. 2023- 24 | Actual work F.Y. 2023-24 | Remarks |
|----|--|---|--|---------|
| 5a | Status on part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling | Concurrent backfilling has been proposed in the approved document. | Same was observed during inspection | |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | 0.25 ha | 0.23 ha | |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mined out area (Rule 36) | Yes, top soil is to be spread in a proper manner over backfilled area so that the land is restored to its near to the original condition | Top soil generated during the year is 2370 m ³ , during the year reclamation of 0.27 ha has been carried out | |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed & rehabilitated | 0.28 ha | 0.27 ha area has been reclaimed. | |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officer on backfilling, reclamation etc | Nil. | | |

6.0 Progressive Mine Closure Plan

| SN | Item | Proposals F.Y. 2023- | Actual work F.Y. | Remarks |
|----|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| | | 24 | 2023-24 | |
| 6a | Whether Annual report on | To be submitted up to | Submitted in on 26-06- | |
| | PMCP submitted on time and | 1st July of next | 2024 | |
| | correctly - Rule 23. Details | proposed year. | | |
| | should be given in the format | | | |
| | as given in Annexure-20. | | | |
| 6b | Management of | Area proposed for | Work carried out- | |
| | worked/mined out benches | | | |
| | i) Area available for | (i) rehabilitation- 0.28 | (i) rehabilitation- 0.28 | |
| | rehabilitation (ha) | ha | ha | |
| | ii) Afforestation done (ha) | | | |
| | iii) No. of saplings planted | (ii) Afforestation- Nil | (ii) Afforestation- Nil | |
| | during the year | | | |
| | iv) Cumulative no. of plants | (iii) No. of saplings | (iii) No. of saplings | |
| | v) Any other specific method | planted-125 | planted- 125 | |
| | of rehabilitation | | | |
| | vi) Cost incurred on watch & | (iv) cost incurred- | | |

| | care during the year | 50000/. | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 6c | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L X B X D) ii) Void filled by waste/tailings iii) Afforestation on the backfilled area iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir v) Any other specific means | i) Voids available for backfilling (L X B X D) 0.25 ha ii) Void filled by waste/tailings -0.25 ha iii) Afforestation on the backfilled area - 0 iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir -0 v) Any other specific means – Reclamation & rehabilitation of land by levelling with top soil & make it suitable for cultivation - 0.28 ha | i) Voids available for backfilling (L X B X D) 0.23 ha ii) Void filled by waste/tailings -0.23 ha iii) Afforestation on the backfilled area - 0 iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir -0 v) Any other specific means – Reclamation & rehabilitation of land by levelling with top soil & make it suitable for cultivation - 0.27 ha | |
| 6d | Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease i) Afforestation ii) Area rehabilitated (ha) iii) Method of rehabilitation | No proposal | Nil | |
| 6e | Compliance of Environmental monitoring (core zone & buffer zone) | Monitoring is to be done | Monitoring is being carried out | |
| 6f | General remarks of inspecting officer on PMCP compliance & progressive | Nil. | ' | |

7.0 Mineral Conservation

| SN | Item | Proposals F.Y. 2023-24 | Actual work F.Y. 2023-24 | Remarks |
|----|--|--|---|---------|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | Entire ROM generated is to be sorted and sized manually & dispatched | Sizing, sorting & grading work is being carried out | |
| 7b | Method of grade-wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical | Manual | Manual | |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines | Grade wise sorting and sizing is to be carried out manually to obtain required grade | Sorting & sizing carried out manually | |

| 7d | Any beneficiation process at | No proposal | nil | |
|----|-------------------------------|---|-----|--|
| | mines | | | |
| 7e | General remarks of | | | |
| | inspecting officer on Mineral | ROM generated is subject to sorting & sizing manually. | | |
| | conservation & beneficiation | Entire ROM generated is reported to have been dispatched. | | |
| | issues | | | |

8.0 Environment

| | 8.0 Environment | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|---------|--|--|
| SN | Item | Proposals F.Y. 2023-24 | Actual work F.Y. 2023-24 | Remarks | | |
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 36) | Top soil proposed to be removed and plate it separately for utilisation | Top soil is being utilized for restoration of land | | | |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | Proposal to restore the top soil temporarily and to be utilized for spreading over backfilled area | Top soil is being stacked separately and utilized over backfilled area | | | |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 37) | Yes | Separate dump for overburden, waste has been done as per proposal. | | | |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | 10626 tonnes/4250.4 m ³ waste was proposed to be backfilled | 8287.5 tonne/ 3315 m³ of waste generated was backfilled | | | |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | Mined out area is being backfilled by waste and levelled. The top soil to be spread on top layer and restore to ground level bring utilized. | Reclamation is being carried out as per approved proposal | | | |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation & additional plantation done (Rule 44) | Proposal for green belt development along boundary pillar C-13 to C14 with 125 plant. | Plantation work has been carried out. | | | |
| 8g | Survival rate | 50% | 50% | | | |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | Water sprinkling arrangement to be done to suppress the dust generation | Water sprinkling arrangement are observed | | | |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and | Mined out area is being backfilled, levelled and make it suitable for agriculture. | | | | |

| aı | round mines are | |
|----|-----------------|--|
| | | |

9.0 Compliance of Rule 45

| S. | Item | COMMENTS | Remarks |
|----|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| N. | | | |
| | Status of submission of | M.R. Submitted for – Nov 2024 | Return are |
| | Monthly and Annual | A.R. submitted for Year 2023-24 | submitted in |
| | returns | | time |

| S. N. | Item | Details GIVEN in A.R. | Observation of I/Officer | Remarks |
|----------|--|--|--|-------------------------|
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Mining Engineer- Pradeep Pimpalshende Geologist- Balasaheb Suryavanshi | Mining engineer & Geologist were present during inspection | |
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | Under Current Working 0.40 ha Reclaimed 1.5 ha Waste disposal 0.04 Road 0.57 ha Other 0.09 ha | Same was observed during inspection | |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on Afforestation | 125 plants | Near about 50 plants observed. | |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade & quantity) | Mineral reject – nil | The details furnished in the annual returns are found correct | |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | Below 25% Mn 66.32 tonnes Between 25 to 35% Mn 388.499 tons | -do- | |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price & production cost | Below 25% Mn Rs 3708 Between 25 to 35% Mn - Rs 7113.43 Production cost -Rs 3362 | -do- | |
| 9i | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | Rs 900000/. | Rs 900000/. | |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | Air Compressor (25cum/min)-1, Back hoe – 0.9cum capacity & Tipper 10.0 cum | Air Compressor (25cum/min)-1, Back hoe – 0.9cum capacity & Tipper 10.0 cum | Verified from logbooks. |

¹⁰⁻ Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of earlier violation pointed out: - Rule 31(4), 34A (1) of MCDR,2017

Ejaj Ahmad Khan (Assistant Mining Geologist)