



Government of India  
Ministry of Mines  
Indian Bureau of Mines  
Office of the Regional Controller of Mines



Jabalpur,

To,

**Smt Ratna Mishra**

Neel Kant Vihar, Adhar Kaap,

P.O Murwara, Dist Katni (M.P)

483501

Email [nmdubashmai@rediffmail.com](mailto:nmdubashmai@rediffmail.com)

Sub.: Violation of provisions of Mineral Conservation and Development Rules, 2017, in respect of your **Rajarwara Limestone Mine (5.890ha), Mine Code 38MPR47055** in Rajarwara Village of Katni Dist M.P.

Madam,

The following provision of Mineral Conservation and Development Rules 2017 were found violated in your above mentioned mine during the inspection by the undersigned on 29.06.2024 in presence of Shri. **K K Chandra** (lessee representative) and **Shri Amitesh Tiwari** (Mining Engineer).

Rule No	Nature of violation observed in detail
<b>Rule 11(1)</b>	<p><i>No holder of a mining lease shall commence or carry out mining operations in any area except in accordance with the mining plan approved, modified or reviewed by the Indian Bureau of Mines or prepared and certified in accordance with the system established by the State Government pursuant to the proviso to clause (b) of sub-section (2) of section 5.</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. For the reporting year working has proposed in between N 2653968 to N 2654006 and E 450015 to 450229 whereas the deviation in the working at proposed location observed.</li> <li>2. Mining Lease Boundary pillars has not been established at precise locations.</li> <li>3. Backfilling has not been carried out as proposed in the last approved document for the reporting year.</li> <li>4. It is proposed to handle 23062 Cu.m of waste during the reporting year whereas only 1510 Cu.m of waste handling is reported.</li> <li>5. Proposed to plant 1000 saplings on the backfilled area which was not carried out.</li> </ol>
<b>Rule 27(2)</b>	<p><i>A financial assurance shall be furnished by the holder of the mining lease, for due and proper implementation of the progressive mine closure plan contained in the mining plan or the final mine closure plan, as the case may be, which shall be an amount of Five lakh rupees for Category 'A' mines and Three lakh rupees for Category 'B' mines, per hectare of the mining lease area put to use for mining and allied activities.</i></p> <p><i>Provided that where financial assurance has already been furnished before the commencement of these rules, an amount equal to the difference between the financial assurance due as on the date of notification of these rules and the financial assurance already furnished, shall be furnished to the authorised officer, as the case may be, within a period of ninety days from the date of notification of these rules.</i></p> <p>You have submitted only Rs. <b>17, 67,000/-</b> as Bank Guarantee against the 5.89 ha area at the rate of 3 lakh per Hectare which is due for revision at the rate of 5 lakh per Hectare as per the MCDR amendment 2021 dated 17/02/2021 accounting to Rs.29, 45,000/-. Therefore you are hereby instructed to submit the additional Bank Guarantee of <b>Rs. 11,78,000/-</b>.</p>

I/38064/2024

<p><b>Rule 45(7)</b></p>	<p><i>If it is found that the holder of a mining lease or the person or company engaged in trading or storage or end-use or export of minerals, as the case may be, has submitted incomplete or wrong or false information in monthly or annual returns or fails to submit a return within the date specified; then, –</i></p> <p><i>(a) in the case of mining of minerals by the holder of a mining lease, the Regional Controller of Mines or the authorized officer of the State Government may -</i>  <i>Order suspension of all mining operations and dispatches in the mine and to revoke the order of suspension only after ensuring proper compliance;</i></p> <p><i>(b) take action to initiate prosecution under these rules;</i></p> <p><b>Refer PART-I Upload Document</b>          The attached Kml/Kmz file should contain the lease boundary, polygon representing the reporting year development and cumulative land use details.</p> <p><b>Refer PART-I Lease area utilisation.</b>          The details furnished are incorrect and to be in line with the features depicted in attached kml as well as the UAV data submitted in DDMS portal.</p> <p><b>Refer Part V S.No 02:</b>          The details of Reserves available as on 31.03.2024 are not matching with the Reserves furnished last approved Review of Mining Plan and subsequent production achievement reported.</p> <p><b>PART-VI S.No 3(ii) &amp; 5 .</b>          The sale value reported by the you i.e Rs. 161.45/- in respect of BF grade limestone is much lower than the ASP published by Indian Bureau of Mines.</p>
--------------------------	--

2. In this connection, it is brought to your notice that the above violations constitute an offence punishable under Rule 62 of Mineral Conservations and Development Rules, 2017.
3. Non compliance of rule 11(1) of MCDR, 2017 may lead to suspension of mining operation under the provision of rule 11(2) of MCDR-2017.
4. You are advised to rectify the above violations immediately and intimate the position to this office within a period of 45 days from the date of issue of this letter.

Yours faithfully

(Kathroju Naveen)  
 Sr. Asst. Controller of Mines,  
 For Regional Controller of Mines,

Copy to:

1. The Controller of Mines (CZ), Nagpur, IBM
2. The Director of Geology & Mining, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Khanij Bhavan, Arera Hills, Bhopal (MP).
3. The District Collector, Katni.

((Kathroju Naveen)  
 Sr. Asst. Controller of Mines,  
 For Regional Controller of Mines,