

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT (2023-24)

| S. N | Particulars | Details |
|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | Name of the Mine | Injepalli Limestone Mine (ML No - 2417 & 2475) |
| 2 | Total Lease Area (Ha) with breakup of Non-forest and forest land | Total Lease Area: 897.86 Ha Non-Forest area : 897.86 Ha Forest area : Nil |
| 3 | Mine code | 38KAR10003 |
| 4 | IBM Registration Number under rule 45 of MCDR, 1988 | IBM/245/2011 |
| 5 | Name of the lessee, Address, phone, email and fax number | M/s Kesoram Industries Limited, sedam Unit Vasavadatta cement Phone No: - +91 9449876640. Email: vc.mines@kesoram.com |
| 6 | Village | Injepalli |
| 7 | Taluk/Mandal | Sedam |
| 8 | District | Kalaburagi |
| 9 | Pin code | 585222 |
| 10 | State | Karnataka |
| 11 | Post office | Sedam |
| 12 | Nearest police station | Sedam |
| 13 | Nearest Railway station | Sedam |
| 14 | Date of Grant of Mining Lease | 09.12.1982 |
| 15 | Date of Execution | 09.12.1982 |
| 16 | Date of opening of Mine | 18.06.1984 |
| 17 | Date of first Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry | Amalgamation of ML – 1855 & 1870 as ML – 2417 on 12.09.2002 of an extent 2218.26 Acres. |
| 18 | Date of second Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry | - |
| 19 | Date of submission of renewal application if Mining Operations are continuing under deemed extension | Deemed extension of ML 2475(2417) is granted by DMG vide order No CI 07 CMC 2021 Dated 02.03.2022, executed on 02.08.2022. |
| 20 | Name of the Nominated Owner with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment | Shri P Radhakrishnan Injepalli Limestone mines, LN Nagar sedam 585222 Sedam Taluk, Kalaburagi Dist Date of Appointment: 01.04.2016. |
| 21 | Name of the Mine Agent with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment | Shri Ravindra Lagwankar Address: M/s Vasavadatta cement. Phone: +91 9449876640 Date of Appointment: 01.05.2018. |
| 22 | Name of the Mines Manager with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment in mines | Shri. Venu Gopal Reddy Address: M/s Vasavadatta cement. Phone: +91 9449876735 Date of Appointment: 01.11.2023 |
| 23 | Name of the Mining Engineer, Qualification and total experience with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment in mine | Shri. M Laxmana Kranthi Kumar Address: M/s Vasavadatta cement. Phone: +91 9449876883 Date of Appointment: 23.12.2023 |

| | | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 24 | Whether Geologist and Mining Engineer appointed in mines satisfy the rule 42 & carrying out their duties as per rule 43 & 44. | Shri: C. Naga Subbaiah – Geologist Phone: +9449876852 Date of Appointment : 08.07.2022 |
| 25 | Date of Approval of Scheme of Mining/Modified Scheme of Mining with five-year period and specific condition in approval letter, if any. | Review & Updation of Mining Plan (Including PMCP) for period from 2022-23 to 2026-27. Vide letter no. 279/168/90/BNG/. dtd 17.01.2022 |
| 26 | Mineral(s) granted in lease and proved for mining | Limestone and Shale |
| 27 | Method of Mining(Open cast, Underground) | Open cast |
| 28 | Category (Fully Mechanized, Others or Manual) | Fully Mechanized |
| 29 | Captive/ Non captive | Captive |
| 30 | Date of inspection | 17/12/2024 (Virtual Inspection – Based on Drone data submitted) |
| 31 | Name of accompanying mine officials with designation | N.A. Virtual inspection carried out based on the Drone data submitted. |

1. Exploration

| S. N | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | 2022-23 15 Holes | Backlog of 15 boreholes and Proposals of 2023-24 : 26 boreholes Completed. Total 41 Holes with a total meterage of 1166m | During the year 2024-25 there is a proposal of 40 boreholes. |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for Geological axis 1 or 2. | 2023-24 : 26 no. of core boreholes proposed. | 2023-24 : 26 no. of core boreholes completed. | As per approved RUMP G1: 394.78(Ha), G 2: 278.99(Ha) and G3 224.09 Ha. The exploration carried out yet to be updated in the Mining plan. |
| 1c | Exploration Agency & Expenditure in lakh Rupees during the year | No proposal | 37.04 Lakh | As reported in Annual return 2023-24. |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in G1 | 503.08 Ha | 503.08 Ha | As per Approved Modified & Review of Mining Plan. The exploration carried out yet to be updated in the Mining plan. |
| 1e | Balance reserves as on <u>01.04.2024</u> | Limestone-440.156MMT & Shale-34.952 MMT. | Limestone-426.982MMT & Shale-34.932 MMT. | Actual as per Annual return 2023-24. Proposals figures from RUMP approved dated |

| | | | | |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|------------|
| | | (as on 01/04/2021) | | 17.01.2022 |
| 1f | General remarks of inspecting officer on geology, exploration etc. | Geology of the lease area pertains to the sedimentary rock formation of Bhima Series. The litho sequence are as follows: Black Cotton Soil : 0.5 to 2 Mtrs → Light grey dark Grey limestone : 25 to 35 Mtrs → Shale : 10 to 15 Mtrs. The limestone deposit is almost horizontal bedded deposit of regular habit. The proposed exploration of 2023-24 is achieved. Lessee is yet to update the level of exploration and reserve/resources based on the recent exploration data. | | |

2. Development

| S.N | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t. lease area | N1900200,E.745222 N 1898486, E. 746871 Proposed at 03 locations around Pit-A | In Drone data submitted it is observed that Development of benches at north-eastern part of the pit is lagging whereas in the North-Western and South-Western parts, the development of benches has been carried out beyond the proposed limit. | Violation pointed out under Rule 11(1) of MCDR, 2017. |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and mineral (Rule 15) | Separate benches in OB, Top Soil & Mineral proposed. | As per proposal | |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1:0.04 Ore: 8,779,500 tons Waste: 366,080 tons | 1:0.29 Ore: 1926316 Waste: 354719 cub. M. ~ 560456 tons | Actual figures from Annual return |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | 228800 cub.m. | 354719 cub.m | |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | | | |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officer on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc. | The deposit under mining is of bedded horizontal deposit of regular habit and the working has been proposed accordingly. Benches have been maintained with proper height and width. However, deviation in the proposed working location observed in the Drone data submitted. For the same violation has been pointed out under Rule 11(1) of MCDR, 2017. | | |

3. Exploitation

| S.N | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|-----|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------|
| 3a | Number of pits proposed for production | Only One Pit – Pit-A N 1900200-1898486. | In Drone data submitted it is observed that | |

| | | | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | E- 745222-746871. | Production & Development of benches at north-eastern part of the pit is lagging whereas in the North-Western and South-Western parts, the development of benches has been carried out beyond the proposed limit. | Violation pointed out under Rule 11(1) of MCDR, 2017. |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | Limestone: 8749500 Tons and Shale: 30,000 Tons Total: 8779500 tons | Limestone: 7174986 Tons, Shale : 8100 Tons Total: 7183086 tons | Only 78% of the proposed limestone and 27% of the proposed shale productions achieved during the year. Violation pointed out under Rule 11(1) of MCDR, 2017. |
| 3c | Recovery of salable/usable mineral from ROM production | 100% | 100% | |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | No proposal | NIL | |
| 3e | Grade of mineral reject generation and threshold value declared | Threshold value CaO : Min 34% Mgo :Max 5 % | Mine produces CaO: > 34% Mgo: < 5% | The mineral produced are above the threshold value. There is no mineral reject generated in mine. |
| 3f | Quantity of sub-grade mineral generation | No proposal | NIL | |
| 3g | Grade of sub-grade mineral generation. | No proposal | NIL | |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanized method adopted for segregating from ROM | No proposal for segregating from ROM | NIL | All the blasted limestone from the face is transported to the crusher within ML |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed & carried out for sub-grade mineral and reject | No proposal | NIL | There is no Sub-Grade Mineral available in our mines. |
| 3j | Provision of drilling & | Drilling & blasting | Deep hole drilling & | |

| | | | | |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | blasting in mineral benches | proposed in Mineral benches. | blasting carried out. | |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | Shovel dumper combination in No's. Excavator: 07 Loader: 02 Dumper: 16 Drill: 01 Dozer : 02 | Excavator: 05 No's Loader: 02 No's Dumper: 13No's Drill:02 nos Dozer : 02 nos | Fully Mechanized mines |
| 3l | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | OB: 2 Mtr Mineral: Bench height – 12 Mtr & Bench width 20 Mtrs. | Proposed Benches dimension maintained. | |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | 161.50 Ha at the end of plan period. | 161.00Ha. (The end of FY 2023-24) | Within the proposed extent. |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year | 1:0.04 Ore: 8,779,500 tons Waste: 366,080 tons | 1:0.29 Ore: 1926316 Waste: 354719 cub. M. ~ 560456 tons | |
| 3o | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year. (in Ha) | Area in Ha. (i) under Mining- 161.50 (ii) Storage for top soil/waste – 22.66 (iii) Mineral Storage – 2.26 (iv) Infrastructure – 2.95 (v) Roads -7.41 (vi) Mineral Separation plant – 0.61 (vii) Green belt– 11.33 | Area in Ha. i. under O/C Workings- 161 ii. Used for waste disposal - 19.86 iii. Infrastructure & Road 13.94 iv. Plantation 32.26 | |
| 3p | Production of ROM mineral during last five-year period, as applicable (Figures in Million Tons) | Figures in Million Tons 2019-20: 09.25 2020-21: 09.25 2021-22 :09.25 2022-23 : 08.77 2023-24 : 08.77 | Figures in Million Tons 2019-20: 05.50 2020-21: 05.10 2021-22: 06.85 2022-23 : 06.33 2023-24 : 07.18 | |
| 3q | General remarks of inspecting officer on method of mining etc. | In Drone data submitted it is observed that the Production & Development of benches at north-eastern part of the pit is lagging whereas in the North-Western and South-Western parts, the development of benches has been carried out beyond the proposed limit, which is not as per proposal. Further, only 78% of the proposed limestone and 27% of the proposed shale productions achieved during the year. Violation pointed out under Rule 11(1) of MCDR, 2017. | | |

4. Solid Waste Management-Dumping

| S. N | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB & mineral reject (Rule 32, 33) | Separate dumping of OB is proposed | Separate dumping of OB carried out. However, | |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB & mineral reject dumps | PSD-1 (N1898891,1898716 E744535, 744796) and PSD-2 (N1898732, 1898534 E746287,746348). | In Drone data it is observed that Dumping has been carried out only at PSD-2 location, dumping is not carried out PSD-1 location. | Violation pointed out under Rule 11(1) of MCDR, 2017 |
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside lease area | within lease area : 04 nos. and outside lease area : Nil | within lease area : 04 nos. and outside lease area : Nil | |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Inside the UPL | Inside the UPL | Entire lease area is mineralized. |
| 4e | Number of active & alive dumps | 01 | 01 | |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps | 3 | 3 | |
| 4g | Number of dumps stabilized | 3 | 3 | 3 dumps stabilized with plantation. |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there | Yes | Yes | Garland drain is made at foot of Dump. |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dump | Garland drain 620m | In Drone data garland drain at proposed location observed. | |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | No Proposal | Nil | |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management. | In Drone data it is observed that Dumping has been carried out only at PSD-2 location, dumping is not carried out at PSD-1 location. Hence, Violation pointed out under Rule 11(1) of MCDR, 2017 | | |

5. Solid Waste Management-Backfilling

| S.N | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 5a | Status on part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling | No proposal | Nil | Mineral is not exhausted in the working pits. |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | No Proposal | Nil | |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mined out area (Rule 32) | Top soil generated is proposed for bund making along the acquired mine boundary. | Nil | |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed & rehabilitated | No Proposal | Nil | About 5.23 Ha of pit is filled water. |
| 5e | General remarks of | There is no proposal for back filling as mineral persist at | | |

| | | |
|--|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | inspecting officer on backfilling, reclamation etc. | depth. The part of the existing pit is converted into water reservoir. |
|--|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|

6. Progressive Mine Closure Plan

| S. N. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 6a | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time & Correctly-Rule 23E (2). | Annual report on PMCP to be submitted before 1 st July every year | PMCP report submitted dtd. 10.06.2023. | Submitted within the stipulated time |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) | No proposal | Nil | |
| 6c | Afforestation done (ha) | Planation at two locations viz. PSD1: N1898754 E744763, N.1898654 E.744915 and PSD2 N1898736 E746290, N.1898733 E.746290 covering an area of 0.64Ha and 0.02Ha respectively | In the drone data submitted, plantation at the proposed locations was not observed. | Violation pointed out under Rule 11(1) of MCDR, 2017. |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | Within ML 1250 saplings proposed. | In Annual retrun it is reported within ML 2050 plantation carried out. However, in Drone data submitted plantation not observed at proposed location. | |
| 6e | Cumulative no. of plants | 166274 at the end of RMUP period 2026-27 | In Annual retrun it is reported within ML 2050 plantation carried out. However, in Drone data submitted plantation not observed at proposed location | |
| 6f | Any other specific method of rehabilitation | No Proposal | Nil | - |
| 6g | Cost incurred on watch & care during the year | Rs. 6,35,250 | Rs. 12,13,800 | Actual as per PMCP report 2023-24. |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (L x B x D) | No Proposal | Nil | Part of the existing pit 5.23 Ha is being utilized as water reservoir |
| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and | No Proposal | Nil | - |

| | | | | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Void filled by waste/tailings | | | |
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii) Afforestation on the backfilled area | No Proposal | Nil | - |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | No Proposal | Nil | - |
| 6l | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v) Any other specific means | No Proposal | Nil | - |
| 6m | Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i) Afforestation | No Proposal | Nil | There is no waste land in ML area |
| 6n | Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii) Area rehabilitated (ha) | No Proposal | Nil | |
| 6o | Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii) Method of rehabilitation | No Proposal | Nil | |
| 6p | Compliance of Environmental monitoring (core zone & buffer zone) | Environmental monitoring proposed in core and buffer zone. | Done regularly in core and buffer zone. Once in every quarter in all the seasons viz. Monsoon, Post-Monsoon, Winter & Summer | Environmental monitoring is done by NABL accredited agency M/s Universal Enviro Associates. |
| 6q | General remarks of inspecting officer on PMCP compliance & progressive closure operations | The lessee has not carried out plantation activity as per proposal. Violation has been pointed out under Rule 11(1) of MCDR, 2017. | | |

7. Mineral Conservation

| S. N | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | Dispatch of ROM for captive | As per proposal | For their captive cement plant of 6.00 million ton |

| | | | | |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-------------------|
| | | consumption | | clinker capacity. |
| 7b | Method of grade-wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical | No proposal | NIL | |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines | No proposal | NIL | |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines | No proposal | NIL | |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation & beneficiation issues | Beneficiation of limestone is not required as entire limestone excavated is being utilized with proper blending in the cement manufacturing plant. | | |

8. Environment

| S. N | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | The top soil generated is proposed for making bund for plantation along the lease boundary. | Utilized for plantation along the boundary. | |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | Top soil generated was proposed to stacked and utilized for bund making | Utilized for plantation along the boundary. | |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | Separate dumps for overburden proposed | Separate dumps for overburden carried out | |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | No Proposal | Nil | Mineral not exhausted in the pit for restoring the land |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | No proposal | Nil | |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation & additional plantation done (Rule 41) | Proposed to Plant 1650 | Within ML Area : Total 2050 reported in AR. However, plantation in the proposed area not observed. | |

| | | | | |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 8g | Survival rate | No proposal | 65% | |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | Water sprinkling on haul roads proposed to control airborne dust. | water sprinkling is carried out static water sprinklers are provide on haul road, unloading station, ramps & view point. | As per the data submitted with Star rating template |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | The aesthetic beauty in and around the mine is good. In Drone data it is observed that plantation is not carried out in the proposed location. | | |

9. Compliance of Rule 45

| S. N | Item | COMMENTS | Remarks | |
|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | M.R. Submitted up to Nov 2024 A.R. Submitted up to 2023-2024. | Monthly and Annual return submitted within the stipulated time. | |
| S. N. | Item | Details GIVEN in A.R. | Observation of I/Officer | Remarks |
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager. | Mines Manager: Shri. Venu Gopal Reddy Mining Engineer: M Laxmana Kranthi Kumar Geologist: C Naga Subbaiah | Appears to be correct | |
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | Current 161.00 (O/C) Workings Reclamation 5.23 Dumps 19.86 Roads/ 13.940 Infrastructur e Others 32.26 (Green Belt) | Appears to be correct | |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | 2050 plants within ML | Appears to be not correct | |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade & quantity) | NIL | NA | |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | ROM (OS) Limestone – 396205 tonnes Shale - Nil ROM (CS) Limestone: 66303 | Appears to be correct | |

| | | | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| | | tonnes Shale: Nil Graded ore i.e. cement grade as per ROM | | |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price & production cost | Ex-mine price & production For Limestone: Cost: Rs. 197.87 per MT For Shale: Rs. 287.27 per MT. | (a) Overhead cost given in Part VII was not tallying with the reported figures in section Part III(6)(i). (b) Rs. 11528000000 interest paid during the year was not considered while calculating the Cost of Production. | Showcause notice issued under Rule 45(7) of MCDR, 2017 |
| 9i | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | Rs. 53548000/- | Part-III (4) Depreciation on fixed assets reported as 'zero', however, in Part-IIA(1), depreciation of fixed assets reported as Rs. 53548000 | Showcause notice issued under Rule 45(7) of MCDR, 2017 |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machinery | Excavator: 05 No's Loader: 02 No's Dumper: 13 No's Dozer: 02No's Drill: 02No's Water Tanker: 03 nos. 03No's Bulk Mixing & Delivery Truck: 01No's | Appears to be correct. | |

| Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------|---------------------|------------|---------------|
| Violation observed | | | Show cause position | | |
| Rule No. | Issued on | Compliance on | Rule No. | Issued on | Compliance on |
| 11(1) of MCDR | 07/02/2025 | 16/05/2025 | 45(7) of MCDR | 07/02/2025 | 20/03/2025 |

डॉ. सुधाकर टी.एल. / Dr. Sudhakara T. L.)
वरिष्ठ खनन भूविज्ञानी / Senior Mining Geologist

