

Violation Notice Letter

By e-mail

**भारत सरकार / GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
खान मंत्रालय / MINISTRY OF MINES
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो / INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES
क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक कार्यालय / OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL CONTROLLER OF MINES,
AJMER**

File

Date : 30/09/2025

No.: 6246/MCDR-MiFL0LST/28/2023-AJM-
IBM_RO_AJM

To: Shri/M/s. Mr Vinod
Bahety, Nominated Owner,
M/s Ambuja Cements
Limited, Ambujanagar,
Kodinar Veraval Highway,
Kodinar, Gir Somnath,
Gujarat, 362715 ,Adani
Corporate House, Shantigran,
Near Vaishno Devi Circle, S
G Highway, Khodiyar,
Rabariyas Village & Mandal,
Beawar district, Rajasthan,-
382421

Subject : Violation of provision of Mineral Conservation and Development Rules, 2017 in respect of your RAS-II mine over an extent of 803.33 ha area near Rabariyas village of Beawar, Rajasthan.

The following provisions of the Mineral Conservation and Development Rules, 2017 (hereinafter referred to as the MCDR, 2017) were found to have been violated in your mine during the inspection conducted on 12/09/2025 by the undersigned in the presence of Shri Gundagi Shrikant Bagappa, Mining Engineer, and Shri Amit Sharma, Geologist of your mine.

Summary of Violations :

Items for Reporting	As Per Plan	Actual	Deviation	Rule	Reason
Exploration: Number of Core Boreholes	20 BH/ 2400 Meters.	20H/15 52 Meters.	Shortfall in drilling meters.	Rule 11(1),	A total of 20 exploratory boreholes with 1552 meters of drilling was achieved against the target of 20 boreholes with 2400 meters, resulting in a shortfall in the drilled meterage.
Development - Opencast: Location of development w.r.t. lease area	A total of five locations/pits has been proposed for development namely: Northern Hill pit-1, Northern Hill pit-2, Central Hill Pit, South Western Pit-1, South Western	Development has been carried out in the proposed pits, i.e., Northern Hill Pit-1, Northern Hill Pit-2, Central Hill Pit, South Western Pit-1, and South	Northern Hill Pit-1 has been developed beyond the approved limit of the RY.	Rule 11(1),	Development in Northern Hill Pit-1 was proposed between UTM grid coordinates 414353 to 415014 and 2908900 to 2909412; however, development was actually carried out between 414353 to 415000 and 2908900 to 2909541. Thus, the said pit has been extended towards the northern side beyond the approved limit for the year 2024-25.

	n Pit-2	Western Pit-2			
Development - Opencast: Stripping ratio : ore to OB ratio	1:2.71 T/T	1:2.27 T/T	Deviation in stripping ratio.	Rule 11(1),	A stripping ratio of 1:2.27 (ton/ton) has been achieved against the proposed 1:2.71 (ton/ton).
OB / Waste Dumps details: Location of Topsoil, OB/Waste, Mineral Reject dumps, Tailings and Fines	Top Soil: No proposal. OB/IB/Waste: W1 and W2 waste dump. Screen reject: SW2 dump and backfilling.	No top soil generation. Waste and screen rejects are being dumped as per the proposal, except for the waste being dumped in waste dump W1.	Deviation in waste dumping.	Rule 11(1),	Waste dumping in W1 waste dump was proposed over the existing dump; however, waste dump W1 has been extended towards the southern direction into a non-approved area for the year 2024-25.
Solid Waste Management - Backfilling (Opencast): Status on part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling	An area of 1.60 Hectare of SW Pit 2 was proposed to backfill.	An area of 2.84 hectare of SW Pit 2 was backfilled during the RY.	More area was backfilled, whereas the generation of screen rejects was less compared to the proposal.	Rule 11(1),	An area of 2.84 hectares of SW Pit-2 was backfilled against the proposed 1.60 hectares, i.e., a larger area has been backfilled; however, the generation of screen rejects was less than the proposed quantity.

<p>Annual Return: Whether incomplete or false or wrong returns submitted (Rule 45(7))</p>	<p>(1) Waste generation quantity of 777632 CuM has been furnished in the annual return. (2) ROM production has not been furnished under Part VI, Section 2 of annual return 2024-25. (3) ROM production has not been furnished under Part II, Section 2 of monthly returns for the reporting</p>	<p>(1) During inspection it is informed that waste quantity of 725385.13 CuM has been generated. (2) Processed limestone product ion has been furnished in place of ROM p</p>	<p>(1) The waste generation quantity is not furnished correctly under part-V, section 4. (2) ROM production has not been furnished under Part VI, Section 2 of annual return 2024-25. (3) ROM production has not been furnished under Part II, Section 2 of monthly returns for the reporting year 2024-25.</p>	<p>Rule 45(7),</p>	<p>(1) Part-V, section 4: The waste generation quantity of 725385.13 CuM (screen reject + rock waste) has been informed during mine inspection, whereas, waste quantity of 777632.00 CuM has been furnished in the annual return 2024-25. (2) Part VI, Section 2: The ROM production of 1818688.00 tons has been informed during mine inspection, whereas, ROM production of 1367302.00 tons has been furnished in the annual return 2024-25. (3) Part-II, Section: Similarly, ROM production has not been reported under mentioned part and section of all monthly returns for the year 2024-25.</p>
---	--	---	---	--------------------	---

	year 20 24-25.	roducti on under Part II, Section 2 of monthly returns for the r eporting year 20 24-25.			
Annual Return: Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price & production cost	The cost of product ion has not been est imated based on the ROM p roducti on. The mine is captive mine, hence Ex. Mine price and Cost of product ion should be same.	Part- VII: The cost of product ion has not been est imated based on the ROM p roducti on. Ex. Mine price and Cost of product ion furn ished is same, h owever, correcti on is require d as cost of product ion is fu rnished	The cost of product ion is fu rnished in correc tly.	Rule 45(7),	On examination of the cost of production furnished in the Annual Return, it is observed that the cost has been estimated based on the grade- wise ore produced rather than on the ROM production.

		incorrectly.			
Construction of retaining wall.	Construction of retaining wall of 100 meters was proposed along the waste dump W-1 during reporting year 2024-25.	Retaining wall of 100 meters as proposed during the reporting year 2024-25 was not constructed.	Retaining wall of 100 meters was not constructed.	Rule 11(1),	Retaining wall of 100 meters proposed to construct during the year 2024-25 has not been constructed.

2. In this connection, it is brought to your notice that the above violations constitute an offence punishable under Rule 62 of the MCDR, 2017. In case of non-compliance with the aforesaid rules of the MCDR, 2017, the following action may be initiated against you under the provisions of the MCDR, 2017: (i) All operations of your mine may be suspended. (ii) Prosecution may be initiated against you. (iii) Recommendation for termination of the mining lease to the State Government. 3. You are advised to rectify the violation of Rule 11(1) of the MCDR, 2017 at the earliest and to inform this office about the compliance status within forty-five (45) days from the date of issue of this letter. 3. The violation pointed out above under Rule 45(7) of the MCDR, 2017 shall be treated as a show-cause notice, and you are hereby granted 30 days' time to rectify the same. 4. Therefore, you are directed to show cause within thirty (30) days from the date of issue of this letter/notice as to why action under Rule 45(7) and Rule 62 of the MCDR, 2017 should not be initiated against you. 5. Please note that no further notice will be issued to you for violation of Rule 45(7) of the MCDR, 2017. In case of non-compliance, the provisions of Schedule-II under Rule 45(7A) of the MCDR, 2017 shall be applicable. Copy for kind information to: 1. Controller of Mines (NZ), Udaipur. 2. Director, Department of Mines and Geology, Government of Rajasthan, Udaipur. 3. Mining Engineer, Department of Mines and Geology, Sirohi.

Yours faithfully,

(DILIP JAIN, AJMER)
SMG

Esigned by: DILIP
Date: 08/10/2025 12:04:23 PM