

INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES

--:-- Inspection Report --:--

Part-I : General Information

1.1.1 Mine Details

Name of the Mine :	38RAJ14001	Lease Area (Ha) :	998.40
Forest Area (Ha) :	N/A	Mine Code :	38RAJ14001
Registration Number :	IBM/5158/2011	Name of lessee :	RAJASTHAN STATE MINES AND MINERALS LIMITED
Address of lessee :	C-89-90 JANPATH ,LAL KOTHI SCHEME, JAIPUR	Phone of lessee :	0141-2743734
Email of lessee :	Minephos.rsmml@rajasthan.gov.in	Fax Number :	N/A
Village :	Sonoo	Taluka / Mandal :	Ramgarh
District :	Jaisalmer	Pincode :	302015
State :	Rajasthan	Post Office :	N/A
Nearest Police Station :	Ramgarh police station	Nearest Railway Station :	Jaisalmer
Date of Grant of Mining Lease :	1999-03-03	Date of Mining Lease Execution :	1999-03-03
Date of opening in the mine :	1993-10-18		

Nominated Owner Details			
Name of the Nominated Owner :	Mr RAJENDRA BHATT	Nominated Owner Address:	4, MEERA MARG UDAIPUR RAJASTHAN
Nominated Owner Mobile :	9414166116	Nominated Owner Email :	Md.rsmml@rajasthan.gov.in
Nominated Owner Fax Number :	Nil	Date of Nominated Owner Appointment :	2024-01-06
Mining Engineer Details			
Name of the Mining Engineer :	Ashish Parihar	Qualification of Mining Engineer :	B.E. (Mining)
Address of Mining Engineer :	RSMML, Post Bag No.56, Khanij Bhawan, Near BP Tank , Jaisalmer -345001	Phone of Mining Engineer :	9414163329
Email of Mining Engineer :	Ashishparihar.rsmml@rajasthan.gov.in	Fax Number of Mining Engineer :	0294242877
Date of Mining Engineer Appointment :	2024-10-08		
Geologist Details			
Name of the Geologist :	Sourabh Shrivastava	Qualification of Geologist :	M. Tech. (Applied Geology)
Address of Geologist :	Post Bag No.56, Khanij Bhawan, RSMML Near BP Tank , Jaisalmer -345001	Phone of Geologist :	9414064252
Email of Geologist :	Sourabhshrivastava.rsmml@rajasthan.gov.in	Fax Number of Geologist :	0294242877
Date of Geologist Appointment :	2025-01-21		
Mine Manager Details			
Name of the Mine Manager :	Ashish Parihar	Qualification of Mine Manager :	B.E. (Mining)
Address of Mine Manger :	RSMML, Post Bag No.56, Khanij Bhawan, Near BP Tank , Jaisalmer -345001	Phone of Mine Manger :	9414163329

Email of Mine Manger :	Ashishparihar.rsmml@rajasthan.gov.in	Fax Number of Mine Manger :	0294242877
Date of Mine Manger Appointment :	2024-10-08		
Mineral(s) granted in lease and proved for mining :	LIMESTONE	Date of Approval of Mining Plan / Modified Mining Plan / ROMP with five-year period :	2023-03-20 (2023 - 2027)
Method of mining :	Open Cast	Category :	MECHANISED
Nature of Use :	Captive		

Part-II : Other Information

2.1.1 : Inspection Details

Inspecting Officer :	Mohammad Salman
Designation :	Inspection Officer
Name of the Accompanying Official from Mine :	Sh Ashish Parihar
Designation of the Accompanying Official :	Mines Manager
Type of Inspection :	MCDR,STR
Date of Inspection :	13/08/2025
Review year considered for MCDR Inspection :	2024-2025

Final Submitted

2.2.1 : Exploration Details

S.N.	Items for Reporting		As Per Approved Mining Plan	Actual	Deviation	Violation under Rule	Remark	Remark File
1	Number of Core Boreholes		As per the approved mining plan, the proposed number of boreholes for the year 2024-25 is 130	No boreholes were executed during the year 2024-25.	130.000	Rule 11(1)	Violation of rule 11(1) given for not carried out proposed borehole for year 2024-25.	Nil
2	Number of DTH Boreholes		00	00	0.000	No Violation	Nil	Nil
3	Number of exploratory pits / trial pits		00	00	0.000	No Violation	Nil	Nil
4	Number of exploratory Trenches		00	00	0.000	No Violation	Nil	Nil
5	Lateral exploration status for the lease area	G1	0.00	00	0.000	No Violation	No boreholes were executed during the year 2024-25. Violation of rule 11(1) has been given.	Nil
6		G2	998.40	998.40	0.000	No Violation	Nil	Nil
7		G3	0.00	00	0.000	No Violation	Nil	Nil
8		G4	0.00	00	0.000	No Violation	Nil	Nil

9	UNFC category wise reported Resources/Reserves as per MP/MMP ROMP Total Reserves (111, 121 & 122) and Total Mineral Resources (331, 332 & 333 upto 334)	LIMESTONE - Total Reserve 162376239.00 , Total Resource 239666043.00	Total Reserve 156,899,276.00 Total resource 234,188,957.00	5,476,963.00 & 5,477,086.00	No Violation	111,121 and 122 =16.439 mt Steel grade & 140.459 mt Cement grade. 211,221 and 222 =4.419 mt steel grade & 12.721 mt cement grade. 331 332,333 and 334= 60.149 mt cement grade.	Nil
---	--	--	---	--------------------------------	--------------	--	-----

2.3.1 : Development - Opencast Details

S.N.	Items for Reporting	As Per Approved Mining Plan	Actual	Deviation	Violation under Rule	Remark	Remark File
1	Location of development w.r.t. lease area	Pit : 1,4 & Direction : North side	Pit : 1,4 & Direction : North side	NA	No Violation	Easting 658606-659303 and Northing 3020847-3021332	Nil
2	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	0.00	00	0.000	No Violation	No overburden. Depos it is an outcrop on surface.	Nil
3	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	0.00	00	0.000	No Violation	No significant top Soil generation.	Nil
4	Stripping ratio : ore to OB ratio	1:0	1:0	Nil	No Violation	Nil	Nil

2.4.1 Exploitation - Opencast Mine Details

S.N.	Items for Reporting	As Per Approved Mining Plan	Actual	Deviation	Violation under Rule	Remark	Remark File
1	Number of pits / Blocks proposed for production	Pit 1,4	Pit 1,4	0.000	No Violation	Nil	Nil
2	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed (in T)	As per submitted Mining plan, proposal for ROM production in 2024-25 is 2730000.00	Actual ROM production as per Annual return 2024-25 is 1698228	1031772.000 (less production)	Rule 11(1)	ROM production: By Open cast working-1698228 & By Dump Working-254902.340	Nil
3	Recovery of salable/usable mineral quantity from ROM production in T)	Recovery of Finished product i.e. 30mm to 80mm size is 45% Chemical Composition CaO 54.26 % SiO2 1.15 %	777618.980. Actual Recovery of Finished product i.e. (30-80mm size is 45.7% Chemical Composition CaO 53.75 % SiO2 1.39 %	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil
4	Quantity of mineral reject/Sub-grade generation	As per submitted Mining plan, proposal for mineral reject in 2024-25 is 764400.0	Actual Mineral rejects/ subgrade as per Annual return 2024-25 is 565678.51	198721.490	No Violation	Violation of Rule 11(1) has been given.	Nil

5	Grade of mineral reject/sub-grade generation as per threshold value declared	CaO 41.67 % SiO2 14.35%	CaO 44.25 % SiO2 12.49 %	Mineral reject is based on size. less than 10mm size consider as a min reject. Subgrade mineral is generated as by-product and having size between 10 mm to 30mm size gitty.	No Violation	Threshold value of Limestone is CaO >34% MgO<5%	Nil
6	Manual/Mechanised method adopted for segregating subgrade/mineral rejects from ROM	Mechanical	Mechanical	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil
7	Whether height of benches in Overburden& mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in mining plan	6 meter	6 meter	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil
8	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed & carried out for sub-grade mineral and reject	NA	NA	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil

Final Submitted

2.4.3 : Exploitation - Dump Working Details

S.N.	Items for Reporting	As Per Approved Mining Plan	Actual	Deviation	Violation under Rule	Remark	Remark File
1	Number of dumps proposed for production	As per approved mining plan, no of dump working is 2	2	0.000	No Violation	Nil	Nil
2	Quantity of dump material handling Proposed (in Tonne)	As per approved mining plan, Proposed dump handling quantity for year 2024-25 is 2350000.00	Actual dump quantity handle during year 2024-25. 254902.340	2095097.66	Rule 11(1)	.A violation has been issued under Rule 11(1) due to less dump handling & production	Nil
3	Recovery of salable/usable mineral from dump production (in Tonne)	As per approved mining plan, Proposed Recovery of salable/usable mineral from dump production for year 2024-25 is 826260	Actual Recovery of salable/usable mineral from dump production (in Tonne) 179272.65	70.4% recovery	No Violation	A violation has been issued under Rule 11(1) due to less dump handling & production	Nil
4	Production from dump(s) during the year	2350000.00	254902.340	2095097.660	No Violation	A violation has been issued under Rule 11(1) due to less dump handling & production	Nil
5	Quantity of mineral reject generation	1523740	75629.69	1448110.31 Low grade rejects having size less than 10 mm.	No Violation	.Less production results less reject.	Nil

6	Grade of mineral reject generation and threshold value declared	CaO 41.67 % SiO ₂ : 14.35%	CaO 44.25 % SiO ₂ : 12.49 %	Low grade rejects having size less than 10 mm .	No Violation	Threshold value of Limestone is CaO >34% MgO <5%	Nil
7	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed & carried out for mineral reject	NA	NA	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil
8	Manual / Mechanized method adopted for dump working	Mechanized	Mechanized	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil

Final Submitted

2.5.1 : OB/Waste Dumps Details

S.N.	Items for Reporting	As Per Approved Mining Plan	Actual	Deviation	Violation under Rule	Remark	Remark File
1	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB & mineral rejects, fines, tailings (Rule 36,37)	NA	NA	NA	No Violation	Separate dumping of reject is being practised	Nil
2	Location of Topsoil, OB/Waste, Mineral Reject dumps, Tailings and Fines	NA	NA	NA	No Violation	There is not much Top Soil in the Area. OB as generated dumped at particular area over mined out pit.	Nil
3	Number of active & Inative dumps	NA	NA	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil
4	Number of dead dumps	NA	NA	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil
5	Number of dumps proposed for stabilished	Year : 2024-2025, Dump ID : 0	Nil	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil
6	Stabilization Technique (Terracing, plantation, geo-textiles etc.)	NA	NA	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil

Final Submitted

2.6.1 : Solid Waste Management - Backfilling (Opencast) Details

S.N.	Items for Reporting	As Per Approved Mining Plan	Actual	Deviation	Violation under Rule	Remark	Remark File
1	Status on part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling	Yes, Full extraction of SMS grade Mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling	Yes, Full extraction of SMS grade Mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil
2	Year-wise area under backfilling of mined out area	15.464 Ha	4 Ha	Mined out area is simultaneously back-filled with low grade reject in the pit.	No Violation	Mined out area is simultaneously back-filled with low grade reject in the pit.	Nil
3	Year-wise topsoil generation, Utilization and Stored proposal (in m3)	Generated :0.00 Utilized :0.00 Stored :0.00	00	00	No Violation	Nil	Nil
4	Total area fully reclaimed & rehabilitated	Reclaimed area as on 01.04.2025 is 396.230 Ha	As on 31.03.25 396.230 Ha area is reclaimed & 172.040 Ha area rehabilitated with plantation on backfilled area & on remaining area seeds were spreaded.	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil
5	Locations of proposed reclamation & rehabilitated	Reclaimed area as on 01.04.2025 is 396.230 Ha	657557-657464 Easting & 301995 8-3022195	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil

			Northing for Plantation and 656886-658729 Easting & 3022368-302220 1 Northing for Backfilling				
--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--

Final Submitted

2.7.1 : Progressive Mine Closure Plan (PMCP) Details

S.N.	Items for Reporting	As Per Approved Mining Plan	Actual	Deviation	Violation under Rule	Remark	Remark File
1	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling/Other means Total area and numbers of plantation proposed.	Plantation : Location :3020458 mN 658697 mE to 3017909 mN 654985 mE, Area : 7, No. of Plant : 7000 Plantation : Location :3022559 mN 658349 mE to 3017909 mN 655323 mN,	8500 nos. saplings are planted. Survival rate is 75.76%. Total no. of trees at the end of the year 6440.	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil
2	Rehabilitation by making water reservoir	NA	NA	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil
3	Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease i) Afforestation ii) Area rehabilitated (ha) iii) Method of rehabilitation	YES	The Uploded PMCP table/report in annual return is blank	The Uploded PMCP table/report in annual return is blank and (KML/KMZ) is not uploaded with all features.	Rule 26(2)	Violation under rule 26(2).	Nil
4	Compliance of Environmental monitoring (core zone & buffer zone)	YES	yes	-	No Violation	Nil	Nil

2.8.1 : Mineral Conservation Details

S.N.	Items for Reporting	As Per Approved Mining Plan	Actual	Deviation	Violation under Rule	Remark	Remark File
1	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	Production Proposal:- 1217000 (SMS Grade) 423000 (Cement grade) Likely to Despatch:- 1200000 (SMS Grade) 500000 (Cement grade)	Actual Production: 777618.980 (SMS Grade) 565678.510 (Cement Grade) Actual Dispatch: 891531.870 (SMS Grade) 423163.880 (Cement Grade)	Deviation in production: 439381.02 & -142678.51 Deviation in dispatch: 308468.13 & 76836.12	Rule 11(1)	Deviation pointed out .	Nil
2	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines	SMS grade and Cement grade.	SMS grade and Cement grade. Different grade separated by screening at plant was as under:- 40-80mm size gitty 30-60mm size gitty 30-50mm size gitty 10-30mm size gitty	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil
3	Any beneficiation process at mines	Nil	NA	Nil	No Violation	Nil	Nil
5	Utilization of Mineral Rejects or Sub-grade (Blending at ROM stage, Blending after Processing etc.)	Used for Cement Grade	Used for Cement Grade	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil

2.9.1 : Environment Details

S.N.	Items for Reporting	As Per Approved Mining Plan	Actual	Deviation	Violation under Rule	Remark	Remark File
1	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil	Not Proposed	NA	Nil	No Violation	There is not much Top Soil in the Area.	Nil
2	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	Mineral rejects & fine is dumped in mined out area & for backfilling	Mineral rejects & fine is dumped in mined out area & for backfilling	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil
3	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	yes	Area used for Plantation (fire-wood plant), for natural water reservoirs & backfilled by clayey soil of low grade fines.Total 396.23 ha area has been covered	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil
4	Plantation & Survival rate	Plantation : 7000, Survival Rate : 70	8500 nos. saplings are planted. Survival rate is 75.76%. Total no. of trees at the end of the year 6440.	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil
5	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	Yes	Yes	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil

2.10 : Annual Return Details

S.N.	Items for Reporting	As Per Approved Mining Plan	Actual	Deviation	Violation under Rule	Remark	Remark File
1	Submission of Online Monthly and Annual returns in prescribed period or not	yes	Yes, submitted on time	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil
2	Appointment of Mining Engineer & Geologist as per provisions of Rule 55 of MCDR,2017	Yes	Mining Engineer : Shri Ashish Parihar Geologist : Shri Saurabh Srivastav	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil
3	Whether incomplete or false or wrong returns submitted (Rule 45(7))	Submitted	Part VII (cost of production):- (i) An overhead cost of Rs. 66,906,719 has been reported under Part-III, resulting in an actual overhead rate of Rs. 34.256 per ton of ROM production. However, a rate of Rs. 67.60 per ton has been reported under the cost of production. (ii) Depreciation cost	Part VII (cost of production):- (i) An overhead cost of Rs. 66,906,719 has been reported under Part-III, resulting in an actual overhead rate of Rs. 34.256 per ton of ROM production. However, a rate of Rs. 67.60 per ton has been reported under the cost of production. (ii) Depreciation cost	Rule 45(7)	justify these points.	Nil

of Rs. 9853759 has been reported under part-II A, resulting actual depreciation cost of Rs. 5.04 per ton of ROM production. However, Rs. 12.67 per ton has been reported under the cost of production. (iii) Total Taxes of Rs. 7671922 has been reported under part II, Section 5, resulting taxes cost of Rs. 3.9280 per ton of ROM production. However, Rs. 67 per ton has been reported under cost of the production. (iv) It has also been observed that the reported ex-mine price does not match the actual ex-mine price calculated based on the dispatched value and quantity of ROM. (v) As mentioned in AR 2024-25 Part V, Point 7(1), the quantity of

of Rs. 9853759 has been reported under part-II A, resulting actual depreciation cost of Rs. 5.04 per ton of ROM production. However, Rs. 12.67 per ton has been reported under the cost of production. (iii) Total Taxes of Rs. 7671922 has been reported under part II, Section 5, resulting taxes cost of Rs. 3.9280 per ton of ROM production. However, Rs. 67 per ton has been reported under cost of the production. (iv) It has also been observed that the reported ex-mine price does not match the actual ex-mine price calculated based on the dispatched value and quantity of ROM. (v) As mentioned in AR 2024-25 Part V, Point 7(1), the quantity of

Final Submitted

			tailings is reported as 354,930.510. However, no corresponding proposal for this has been observed in the submitted mining plan. clarify these points.	tailings is reported as 354,930.510. However, no corresponding proposal for this has been observed in the submitted mining plan. clarify these points.			
4	Status of Approval of FMPCP u/r 24, 25 of MCDR,2017	NA	NA	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil
5	Notices and Returns for the lease area submitted or not where fine applicable	Submitted	Submitted	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil
6	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price & production cost	Ex mine price of SMS Grade reported as Rs. 712.05(per tonne) and for Cement Grade Rs. 234.32 (per tonne).Deductions made from sale value for computation of Ex-mine price reported as Rs. 117.05. Cost of production reported as Rs. 414.57	The reported ex-mine price does not match the actual ex-mine Price calculated based on the dispatched value and quantity of ROM. Clarify this.	The reported ex-mine price does not match the actual ex-mine Price calculated based on the dispatched value and quantity of ROM.Clarify this.	Rule 45(7)	Clarify this discrepancy.	Nil

Final

2.11 : SDF Details

S.N.	Items for Reporting	As Per Approved Mining Plan	Actual	Deviation	Violation under Rule	Remark	Remark File
1	Start Ratings accorded for mine during last 3 years	Year of Submission : 2023-2024 , Rating : 3 Stars	Year of Submission : 2023-2024 , Rating : 3 Stars	NA	No Violation	Nil	Nil

Part-III : Other Reports**3.1.1 : Upload Other Reports**

S.N.	Reports File	Remark File Description
1	Nil	Nil

Final Submitted

Part-IV : Summary Details

4.1 : Summary of Violations

S.N.	Items for Reporting	As Per Approved Mining Plan	Actual	Deviation	Violation under Rule	Remark	Remark File
1	Exploration : Number of Core Boreholes	As per the approved mining plan, the proposed number of boreholes for the year 2024-25 is 130	No boreholes were executed during the year 2024-25.	130.000	Rule 11(1)	Violation of rule 11(1) given for not carried out proposed borehole for year 2024-25.	Nil
2	Exploitation - Opencast Mine : Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed (in T)	As per submitted Mining plan, proposal for ROM production in 2024-25 is 2730000.00	Actual ROM production as per Annual return 2024-25 is 1698228	1031772.000 (less production)	Rule 11(1)	ROM production: By Open cast working-1698228 & By Dump Working-254902.340	Nil
3	Exploitation - Dump Working : Quantity of dump material handling Proposed (in Tonne)	As per approved mining plan, Proposed dump handling quantity for year 2024-25 is 2350000.00	Actual dump quantity handle during year 2024-25. 254902.340	2095097.66	Rule 11(1)	.A violation has been issued under Rule 11(1) due to less dump handling & production	Nil
4	Progressive Mine Closure Plan : Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease i) Afforestation ii) Area rehabilitated (ha) iii) Method of rehabilitation	YES	The Uploded PMCP table/report in annual return is blank	The Uploded PMCP table/report in annual return is blank and (KML/KMZ) is not uploaded with all features.	Rule 26(2)	Violation under rule 26(2).	Nil

5	Mineral Conservation : ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	Production Proposal:- 1217000 (SMS Grade) 423000 (Cement grade) Likely to Despatch:- 1200000 (SMS Grade) 500000 (Cement grade)	Actual Production: 777618.980 (SMS Grade) 565678.510 (Cement Grade) Actual Dispatch: 891531.870 (SMS Grade) 423163.880 (Cement Grade)	Deviation in production: 439381.02 & -142678.51 Deviation in dispatch: 308468.13 & 76836.12	Rule 11(1)	Deviation pointed out .	Nil
6	Annual Return : Whether incomplete or false or wrong returns submitted (Rule 45(7))	Submitted	Part VII (cost of production):- (i) An overhead cost of Rs. 66,906,719 has been reported under Part-III, resulting in an actual overhead rate of Rs. 34.256 per ton of ROM production. However, a rate of Rs. 67.60 per ton has been reported under the cost of production. (ii) Depreciation cost of Rs. 9853759 has been reported under part-II A, resulting actual deprecation cost of Rs. 5.04 per ton of ROM production. However, Rs. 12.67 per ton has	Part VII (cost of production):- (i) An overhead cost of Rs. 66,906,719 has been reported under Part-III, resulting in an actual overhead rate of Rs. 34.256 per ton of ROM production. However, a rate of Rs. 67.60 per ton has been reported under the cost of production. (ii) Depreciation cost of Rs. 9853759 has been reported under part-II A, resulting actual deprecation cost of Rs. 5.04 per ton of ROM production. However, Rs. 12.67 per ton has	Rule 45(7)	justify these points.	Nil

been reported under the cost of production. (iii) Total Taxes of Rs. 7671922 has been reported under part II, Section 5, resulting taxes cost of Rs. 3.9280 per ton of ROM production. However, Rs. 67 per ton has been reported under cost of the production. (iv) It has also been observed that the reported ex-mine price does not match the actual ex-mine price calculated based on the dispatched value and quantity of ROM. (v) As mentioned in AR 2024-25 Part V, Point 7(1), the quantity of tailings is reported as 354,930.510. However, no corresponding proposal for this has been observed in the submitted mining plan. clarify these

been reported under the cost of production. (iii) Total Taxes of Rs. 7671922 has been reported under part II, Section 5, resulting taxes cost of Rs. 3.9280 per ton of ROM production. However, Rs. 67 per ton has been reported under cost of the production. (iv) It has also been observed that the reported ex-mine price does not match the actual ex-mine price calculated based on the dispatched value and quantity of ROM. (v) As mentioned in AR 2024-25 Part V, Point 7(1), the quantity of tailings is reported as 354,930.510. However, no corresponding proposal for this has been observed in the submitted mining plan. clarify these

			points.	points.			
7	Annual Return : Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price & production cost	Ex mine price of SMS Grade reported as Rs. 712.05(per tonne) and for Cement Grade Rs. 234.32 (per tonne).Deductions made from sale value for computation of Ex-mine price reported as Rs. 117.05. Cost of production reported as Rs. 414.57	The reported ex-mine price does not match the actual ex-mine Price calculated based on the dispatched value and quantity of ROM. Clarify this.	The reported ex-mine price does not match the actual ex-mine Price calculated based on the dispatched value and quantity of ROM.Clarify this.	Rule 45(7)	Clarify this discrepancy.	Nil

Final Submitted

Esigned by:  Mohammad Salman
Date: 09/09/2025 03:28:17 PM