

INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES

--:-- Inspection Report --:--

Part-I : General Information

1.1.1 Mine Details

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Name of the Mine : | 38HPR10011 | Lease Area (Ha) : | 4.958 |
| Forest Area (Ha) : | N/A | Mine Code : | 38HPR10011 |
| Registration Number : | IBM/443/2011 | Name of lessee : | M/s MAM CHAND GOYAL AND SONS |
| Address of lessee : | 1, Banaur, Paonta Sahib ,Sirmaur, HP, | Phone of lessee : | 0135-26222159 |
| Email of lessee : | Goyalsunil63@gmail.com | Fax Number : | N/A |
| Village : | Banor (38) | Taluka / Mandal : | Paonta Sahib |
| District : | Sirmaur | Pincode : | 173025 |
| State : | Himachal Pradesh | Post Office : | N/A |
| Nearest Police Station : | N/A | Nearest Railway Station : | N/A |
| Date of Grant of Mining Lease : | 1980-02-28 | Date of Mining Lease Execution : | 1980-02-28 |
| Date of opening in the mine : | Nilsss | | |

| Nominated Owner Details | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Name of the Nominated Owner : | Mr Sunil Kumar Goyal | Nominated Owner Address: | 99/3-3, Ganga Vihar, Haridwar Road, Dehradun |
| Nominated Owner Mobile : | 8958960606 | Nominated Owner Email : | Goyalsunil63@gmail.com |
| Nominated Owner Fax Number : | Nil | Date of Nominated Owner Appointment : | 2013-05-22 |
| Mining Engineer Details | | | |
| Name of the Mining Engineer : | RC Malik | Qualification of Mining Engineer : | BE Engineer |
| Address of Mining Engineer : | Banour, Tehsil- Paonta Sahib District – Sirmour (H.P) | Phone of Mining Engineer : | N/A |
| Email of Mining Engineer : | Goyalsunil63@gmail.com | Fax Number of Mining Engineer : | N/A |
| Date of Mining Engineer Appointment : | 2025-04-01 | | |
| Geologist Details | | | |
| Name of the Geologist : | SK Sinha | Qualification of Geologist : | Msc Geology |
| Address of Geologist : | Sirmour, Himachal Pradesh | Phone of Geologist : | N/A |
| Email of Geologist : | Goyalsunil63@gmail.com | Fax Number of Geologist : | N/A |
| Date of Geologist Appointment : | 2025-04-01 | | |
| Mine Manager Details | | | |
| Name of the Mine Manager : | RC Malik | Qualification of Mine Manager : | BE Engineer |
| Address of Mine Manger : | Banour, Tehsil- Paonta Sahib District – Sirmour (H.P) | Phone of Mine Manger : | N/A |
| Email of Mine Manger : | Goyalsunil63@gmail.com | Fax Number of Mine Manger : | N/A |

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Date of Mine Manger Appointment : | 2025-04-01 | | |
| Mineral(s) granted in lease and proved for mining : | LIMESTONE | Date of Approval of Mining Plan / Modified Mining Plan / ROMP with five-year period : | 2023-03-22 (2023 - 2027) |
| Method of mining : | Open Cast | Category : | OTHER_THAN_MECHANISED |
| Nature of Use : | Non Captive | | |

Part-II : Other Information

2.1.1 : Inspection Details

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Inspecting Officer : | Tamal Sur |
| Designation : | Assistant Mining Geologist |
| Name of the Accompanying Official from Mine : | RC Malik |
| Designation of the Accompanying Official : | Mine manager |
| Type of Inspection : | MCDR,STR |
| Date of Inspection : | 08/01/2026 |
| Review year considered for MCDR Inspection : | 2024-2025 |

Final Submitted

2.2.1 : Exploration Details

| S.N | Items for Reporting | As Per Approved Mining Plan | Actual | Deviation | Violation under Rule |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|
| 1 | Number of Core Boreholes | Not Proposed | Not Applicable | Nil | No Violation |
| Remark: Not Applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 2 | Number of DTH Boreholes | Not Proposed | Not Applicable | Nil | No Violation |
| Remark: Not Applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 3 | Number of exploratory pits / trial pits | Not Proposed | Not Applicable | Nil | No Violation |
| Remark: Not Applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 4 | Number of exploratory Trenches | Not Proposed | Not Applicable | Nil | No Violation |
| Remark: Not Applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 5 | Lateral exploration status for the lease area - G1 | Entire lease Area falls under G1 level of exploration | Entire lease Area falls under G1 level of exploration. G1 4.96 ha. | Nil | No Violation |
| Remark: During inspection, it has been observed that entire lease area falls under G1 level. Primary rock type is metamorphosed limestone in contact with limestone of low grade metamorphism with calcite vein intrusions. | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|--------------|
| Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 6 | Lateral exploration status for the lease area-G2 | 0.00 | Not Applicable | Nil | No Violation |
| Remark: Not Applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 7 | Lateral exploration status for the lease area-G3 | 0.00 | Not Applicable | Nil | No Violation |
| Remark: Not Applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 8 | Lateral exploration status for the lease area-G4 | 0.00 | Not Applicable | Nil | No Violation |
| Remark: Not Applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 9 | UNFC category wise reported Resources/Reserves as per MP/MMP ROMP Total Reserves (111, 121 & 122) and Total Mineral Resources (331, 332 & 333 upto 334) | LIMESTONE - Total Reserve 1924501.00 , Total Resource 5149715.00 | As on 01.04.2024 as per latest approved mining plan-Total Reserve (111, 121 & 122)- 1799791 tonnes, Depletion of reserves during reporting year- 98096 tonnes. Remaining reserve- 1701696 tonnes. Remaining Resources (331, 332 & 333 upto 334)- 5149715 tonnes. | Nil | No Violation |
| Remark: Not Applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |

Final Submitted

2.3.1 : Development - Opencast Details

| S.N | Items for Reporting | As Per Approved Mining Plan | Actual | Deviation | Violation under Rule |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| 1 | Location of development w.r.t. lease area | Pit : PIT I & Direction : North to South, From 1364mRL to 1339mRL | Development done broadly as proposed | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not Applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 2 | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | Not Proposed | 7000 meter cube | 7000 meter cube | Rule 11(1) |
| Remark: Over burden generated is observed and it is being dumped in to the waste dump. Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 3 | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | Not Proposed | Not generated | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not Applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 4 | Stripping ratio : ore to OB ratio | 1:0.0 | 50860:7000 | 7.26 | Rule 11(1) |
| Remark: Over burden generated which was not proposed in approved mining plan. Remark File: NIL | | | | | |

2.4.1 Exploitation - Opencast Mine Details

| S.N | Items for Reporting | As Per Approved Mining Plan | Actual | Deviation | Violation |
|-----|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------|
|-----|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------|

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| | | | | | n under Rule |
| 1 | Number of pits / Blocks proposed for production | Pit ID : Pit-I | Pit-1 as proposed in mining plan | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 2 | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed (in T) | 127151.00 | 98096 tonnes produced as per annual return of the reporting year. | 29055.000 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 3 | Recovery of salable/usable mineral quantity from ROM production in T) | 114438.00 (85.7%) and reject generation is 12713 tonnes. | 98,095.8 tonnes Recovery of salable ROM but no reject has been generated as per annual report for the reporting year. | As per annual report, no reject is being generated but in mining plan 12713 tonnes of reject was proposed. | Rule 11(1) |
| Remark: Even though mineral reject generation was anticipated, then why it is not being generated? Please clarify. Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 4 | Quantity of mineral reject/Sub-grade generation | 12715.00 | No reject generated as per annual return. | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: A violation for the same has been pointed out in the previous segment. Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 5 | Grade of mineral reject/sub-grade generation as per threshold value declared | Not Proposed | Not applicable | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 6 | Manual/Mechanised method adopted for segregating subgrade/mineral rejects from ROM | Mechanized method proposed. | Mechanized method adopted for mining. | 0 | No Violation |

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---|--------------|
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 7 | Whether height of benches in Overburden & mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in mining plan | Height of the bench-5m and width of the benches-6m proposed. | Bench height and width is as per proposall. | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 8 | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed & carried out for sub-grade mineral and reject | Not proposed | Not applicable | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |

2.4.3 : Exploitation - Dump Working Details

| S.N | Items for Reporting | As Per Approved Mining Plan | Actual | Deviation | Violation under Rule |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|
| 1 | Number of dumps proposed for production | No new dumps proposed for the reporting year. Total 4 dumps exist as per approved mining plan. | 2 dump has been found to be active. | 1 | Rule 11(1) |
| Remark: 1 working dump D1 is between boundary pillar B to pillar C. Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 2 | Quantity of dump material handling Proposed (in Tonne) | No dump material proposed for the reporting year. | 7000 meter cube (Approximately 18970 tonnes) as per annual report. | 7000 m3 | No Violation |

Remark: A violation for the same has been pointed out previously.

Remark File: NIL

| | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|---|--------------|
| 3 | Recovery of salable/usable mineral from dump production (in Tonne) | Not proposed | Not applicable | 0 | No Violation |
|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|---|--------------|

Remark: Not applicable

Remark File: NIL

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|---|--------------|
| 4 | Production from dump(s) during the year | Not proposed | Not applicable | 0 | No Violation |
|---|-----------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|---|--------------|

Remark: Not applicable

Remark File: NIL

| | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|--------------|
| 5 | Quantity of mineral reject generation | 5085 m3 (approximately 12713) tonnes proposed. | No reject is being generated, entire ROM is salable as per annual return. | 0 | No Violation |
|---|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|--------------|

Remark: Not applicable

Remark File: NIL

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|--------------|
| 6 | Grade of mineral reject generation and threshold value declared | CaO-40.04% to 52.64%, MgO-0.40% to 20.55%, Al ₂ O ₃ -0.40% to 5.80%, Fe ₂ O ₃ - 0.19% to 5.19% | CaO-40.04% to 52.64%, MgO-0.40% to 20.55%, Al ₂ O ₃ -0.40% to 5.80%, Fe ₂ O ₃ - 0.19% to 5.19% | 0 | No Violation |
|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|--------------|

Remark: Not applicable

Remark File: NIL

| | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|---|--------------|
| 7 | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed & carried out for mineral reject | Not proposed | Not applicable | 0 | No Violation |
|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|---|--------------|

Remark: Not applicable

Remark File: NIL

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|---|--------------|
| 8 | Manual / Mechanized method adopted for dump working | Not proposed | Not applicable | 0 | No Violation |
|---|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|---|--------------|

Remark: Not applicable

Remark File: NIL

2.5.1 : OB/Waste Dumps Details

| S.N | Items for Reporting | As Per Approved Mining Plan | Actual | Deviation | Violation under Rule |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|
| 1 | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB & mineral rejects, fines, tailings (Rule 36,37) | Separate dumping of mineral rejects proposed. | No mineral reject is being generated. | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 2 | Location of Topsoil, OB/Waste, Mineral Reject dumps, Tailings and Fines | No new Mineral Reject dump is proposed for the reporting year. | No mineral reject is being generated as per annual return. | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 3 | Number of active & Inative dumps | No new dumps have been proposed for the reporting year. As per mining plan, 2 dumps are active. Dump ID : D1, Dump Type : Waste, Dump Status : Active , Dump ID : D2, Dump Type : Waste, Dump Status : Active | As per annual return, waste has been generated and it has been put in the 2 active dumps. | Yes | Rule 11(1) |
| Remark: Waste has been produced which was not proposed in the mining plan. Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 4 | Number of dead dumps | 2 dead dumps | As per proposal | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 5 | Number of dumps | Not proposed | Not applicable | 0 | No |

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|---|--------------|
| | proposed for stabilised | | | | Violation |
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 6 | Stabilization Technique (Terracing, plantation, geo-textiles etc.) | Not proposed | Not applicable | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |

2.6.1 : Solid Waste Management - Backfilling (Opencast) Details

| S.N | Items for Reporting | As Per Approved Mining Plan | Actual | Deviation | Violation under Rule |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 1 | Status on part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling | Not proposed | Not applicable | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 2 | Year-wise area under backfilling of mined out area | Not proposed | 2500 meter cube backfilled as per annual returns. | 2500 meter cube. | Rule 11(1) |
| Remark: Please clarify how this 2500 meter cube material is used for backfilling. Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 3 | Year-wise topsoil generation, Utilization and Stored proposal (in m3) | Nil | Not applicable | 0 | No Violation |

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|---|--------------|
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 4 | Total area fully reclaimed & rehabilitated | Not proposed | Not applicable | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 5 | Locations of proposed reclamation & rehabilitated | Not proposed | Not applicable | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |

2.7.1 : Progressive Mine Closure Plan (PMCP) Details

| S.N | Items for Reporting | As Per Approved Mining Plan | Actual | Deviation | Violation under Rule |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|
| 1 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling/Other means Total area and numbers of plantation proposed. | No rehabilitation proposed for the year. Plantation : Location :safety barrier zone between boundary pillar J to pillar K, Area : 0.2 Ha, No. of Plant : 200 | Plantation done in the designated location but no. of plants were less than approval. | 50 | Rule 11(1) |
| Remark: Around 50 more plants requires to be planted. Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 2 | Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | Not proposed | Not applicable | 0 | No Violation |

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|--------------|
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 3 | Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease i) Afforestation ii) Area rehabilitated (ha) iii) Method of rehabilitation | Afforestation : Location :safety barrier zone between boundary pillar J to pillar K, Area : 0.2 Ha, No. of Plant : 200 No rehabilitation proposed for the year | Afforestation done in the area as per proposal. but the number of plants did not meet the proposal. | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 4 | Compliance of Environmental monitoring (core zone & buffer zone) | Environment Monitoring proposed | Environment Monitoring done | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |

2.8.1 : Mineral Conservation Details

| S.N | Items for Reporting | As Per Approved Mining Plan | Actual | Deviation | Violation under Rule |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------|----------------------|
| 1 | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | Not proposed | Not applicable | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 2 | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines | Not proposed | Not applicable | 0 | No Violation |

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--------------|
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 3 | Any beneficiation process at mines | Not proposed | Not applicable | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 5 | Utilization of Mineral Rejects or Sub-grade (Blending at ROM stage, Blending after Processing etc.) | Dolomitic limestone having MgO contains more than 20% is treated as mineral reject. The mineral reject generation is in small quantities as compared to the production of limestone. Therefore it is blended with limestone. | No mineral reject is being generated. | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: NIL Remark File: NIL | | | | | |

2.9.1 : Environment Details

| S.N | Items for Reporting | As Per Approved Mining Plan | Actual | Deviation | Violation under Rule |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------|----------------------|
| 1 | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil | Not Proposed | Not Applicable | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not Applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 2 | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | Not Proposed | Not Applicable | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not Applicable | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|----|--------------|
| Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 3 | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | Not Proposed | Not Applicable | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not Applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 4 | Plantation & Survival rate | Plantation : 200, Survival Rate : 60% | Plantation done around 150 plants with Survival Rate : 60% | 50 | No Violation |
| Remark: A violation for this have been pointed out previously. Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 5 | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | Proposed | Done as per proposal | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not Applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |

2.10 : Annual Return Details

| S.N | Items for Reporting | As Per Approved Mining Plan | Actual | Deviation | Violation under Rule |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|
| 1 | Submission of Online Monthly and Annual returns in prescribed period or not | Last Annual Returns : submitted on 30-06-2025, Last Monthly Returns : Yes - December 2025 - Submitted on : 07-01-2025 | Submitted | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| 2 | Appointment of Mining Engineer & Geologist as per provisions of Rule 55 of MCDR,2017 | Appointed | Appointed | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 3 | Whether incomplete or false or wrong returns submitted (Rule 45(7)) | Returns submitted | Returns Scrutinized and found to be in order. | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 4 | Status of Approval of FMPCP u/r 24, 25 of MCDR,2017 | Not approved yet. Mine is still active. | Not approved yet. Mine is still active. | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 5 | Notices and Returns for the lease area submitted or not where fine applicable | Furnished | Furnished | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 6 | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price & production cost | Furnished | Return Scrutinized for Ex. Mine price & Production Cost. Cost of production per tonne is R.s. 566.04/-. Sale Value is- R.s. 3210.96/- for LD grade limestone and R.s. 528.46/- for Cement grade limestone. Ex. Mine Price is same as above. total production for 2024-25 is- 98095 tonnes | Cost of production per tonne is found to be R.s. 566.04/- while Ex mine price for Cement grade limestone is Rs. 528.46/- | Rule 45(7) |
| Remark: An explanation is required for lower Ex-mine price than cost of production per tonne. Remark File: NIL | | | | | |

Final Submitted

2.11 : SDF Details

| S.N | Items for Reporting | As Per Approved Mining Plan | Actual | Deviation | Violation under Rule |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|
| 1 | Start Ratings accorded for mine during last 3 years | Year of Submission : 2023-2024 , Rating : 3 Stars | 2024-25- 3 Stars 2023-24- 3 Stars 2022-23- 3 Stars | 0 | No Violation |
| Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL | | | | | |

Part-III : Other Reports

3.1.1 : Upload Other Reports

| S.N. | Reports File | Remark File Description |
|------|--------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | NIL | Nil |

Part-IV : Summary Details

4.1 : Summary of Violations

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|

| S.N | Items for Reporting | As Per Approved Mining Plan | Actual | Deviation | Violation under Rule |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1 | Development - Opencast : Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | Not Proposed | 7000 meter cube | 7000 meter cube | Rule 11(1) |
| Remark: Over burden generated is observed and it is being dumped in to the waste dump. Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 2 | Development - Opencast : Stripping ratio : ore to OB ratio | 1:0.0 | 50860:7000 | 7.26 | Rule 11(1) |
| Remark: Over burden generated which was not proposed in approved mining plan. Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 3 | Exploitation - Opencast Mine : Recovery of salable/usable mineral quantity from ROM production in T) | 114438.00 (85.7%) and reject generation is 12713 tonnes. | 98,095.8 tonnes Recovery of salable ROM but no reject has been generated as per annual report for the reporting year. | As per annual report, no reject is being generated but in mining plan 12713 tonnes of reject was proposed. | Rule 11(1) |
| Remark: Even though mineral reject generation was anticipated, then why it is not being generated? Please clarify. Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 4 | Exploitation - Dump Working : Number of dumps proposed for production | No new dumps proposed for the reporting year. Total 4 dumps exist as per approved mining plan. | 2 dump has been found to be active. | 1 | Rule 11(1) |
| Remark: 1 working dump D1 is between boundary pillar B to pillar C. Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 5 | OB / Waste Dumps details : Number of active & Inative dumps | No new dumps have been proposed for the reporting year. As per mining plan, 2 dumps are active. Dump ID : D1, Dump Type : Waste, Dump Status : Active , Dump ID : D2, Dump Type : Waste, Dump Status : Active | As per annual return, waste has been generated and it has been put in the 2 active dumps. | Yes | Rule 11(1) |
| Remark: Waste has been produced which was not proposed in the mining plan. Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 6 | Solid Waste Management - Backfilling (Opencast) : | Not proposed | 2500 meter cube backfilled as per annual returns. | 2500 meter cube. | Rule 11(1) |

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| | Year-wise area under backfilling of mined out area | | | | |
| Remark: Please clarify how this 2500 meter cube material is used for backfilling. Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 7 | Progressive Mine Closure Plan : Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling/Other means Total area and numbers of plantation proposed. | No rehabilitation proposed for the year. Plantation : Location :safety barrier zone between boundary pillar J to pillar K, Area : 0.2 Ha, No. of Plant : 200 | Plantation done in the designated location but no. of plants were less than approval. | 50 | Rule 11(1) |
| Remark: Around 50 more plants requires to be planted. Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 8 | Annual Return : Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price & production cost | Furnished | Return Scrutinized for Ex. Mine price & Production Cost. Cost of production per tonne is R.s. 566.04/-. Sale Value is- R.s. 3210.96/- for LD grade limestone and R.s. 528.46/- for Cement grade limestone. Ex. Mine Price is same as above. total production for 2024-25 is- 98095 tonnes | Cost of production per tonne is found to be R.s. 566.04/- while Ex mine price for Cement grade limestone is Rs. 528.46/- | Rule 45(7) |
| Remark: An explanation is required for lower Ex-mine price than cost of production per tonne. Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 9 | Development - Opencast: Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | Not Proposed | 7000 meter cube | 7000 meter cube | Rule 11(1) |
| Remark: NIL Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 10 | Development - Opencast: Stripping ratio : ore to OB ratio | 1:0.0 | 50860:7000 | 7.26 | Rule 11(1) |
| Remark: NIL Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 11 | Progressive Mine Closure Plan: Compliance on | No rehabilitation proposed for the year. Plantation : Location :safety barrier zone between boundary pillar J to pillar K, Area : 0.2 Ha, No. of Plant : | Plantation done in the designated location but no. of plants were less than approval. | 50 | Rule 44 |

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| | reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling/Other means Total area and numbers of plantation proposed. | 200 | | | |
| Remark: NIL Remark File: NIL | | | | | |
| 12 | Annual Return: Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price & production cost | Furnished | Return Scrutinized for Ex. Mine price & Production Cost. Cost of production per tonne is R.s. 566.04/-. Sale Value is- R.s. 3210.96/- for LD grade limestone and R.s. 528.46/- for Cement grade limestone. Ex. Mine Price is same as above. total production for 2024-25 is- 98095 tonnes | Cost of production per tonne is found to be R.s. 566.04/- while Ex mine price for Cement grade limestone is Rs. 528.46/- | Rule 45(7) |
| Remark: NIL Remark File: NIL | | | | | |

Final Submitted

Esigned by: Tamal Sur
Date: 13/01/2026 11:09:26 AM