

भारत सरकार / GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
खान मंत्रालय / MINISTRY OF MINES
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो / INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES
क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक कार्यालय / OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL CONTROLLER OF MINES,
DEHRADUN

File No.: MCDR-MiFLOLST/27/2023-DDN-IBM_RO_DDN

Date : 01/01/1970

To: Shri/M/s. Mr MOINUDDIN Sheikh,
 Nominated Owner, A.Dean & co, H no 12
 ,Gandhi Road, Dehradun, Chitli (244)
 Village & Mandal, Sirmaur district,
 Himachal Pradesh,- 248001

Subject: Violation of provision of Mineral Conservation and Development Rules, 2017 in respect of your 38HPR10021(38HPR10021) over an extent of 4.4 ha in Chitli (244) in , Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh

Sir, The above mine was inspected on 02.12.2025 by the undersigned in the presence of Shri Ajay Purkayashtha, Mine Manager and during the inspection, the following provisions of the Mineral Conservation and Development Rules, 2017 were found to be violated.

Summary of Violations :

Items for Reporting	As Per Plan	Actual	Deviation	Rule	Reason
Annual Return: Whether incomplete or false or wrong returns submitted (Rule 45(7))	Proposal has been given for not providing wrong and incomplete information in annual return	wrong and incomplete information given in annual return	yes	Rule 45(7),	Details of the mining engineer and geologist are not given in annual return of 2024-25.
Annual Return: Appointment of Mining Engineer & Geologist as per provisions of Rule 55 of MCDR,2017	proposal has been given for the appointment of the mining engineer and geologist as per rule 55 of MCDR.	Not appointed	yes	Rule 55(1),	During the reporting year 2024-25, a Geologist and a Mining Engineer were not appointed for the lease as required under the statutory provisions and as envisaged in the approved Mining Plan.
Progressive Mine Closure Plan: Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling/Other	Plantation : Location :Pamta Chitli block SBZ, Area :	no new plantation has been done during the	yes	Rule 11(1),	Violation has been pointed out for not carrying out plantation work as per the proposals in the approved Mining Plan. During the inspection, it was observed that the afforestation/greenbelt development activities

means Total area and numbers of plantation proposed.	0.07, No. of Plant : 100 Plantation : Location :Pamta Chitli block SBZ, Area : 0.09, No. of Plant : 100	reporting year.			have not been implemented in the manner or extent specified in the approved plan. Non-implementation of plantation work may lead to increased soil erosion, dust generation, and reduced ecological stability in the lease area. The lessee is required to undertake plantation as per the approved proposals and ensure compliance with the environmental management measures outlined in the Mining Plan.
Exploitation - Opencast Mine: Whether height of benches in Overburden & mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in mining plan	6 m	during the inspection unscientific mining has been seen. No benches has been seen in the mine.	yes	Rule 11(1),	Violation has been pointed out for not carrying out scientific mining as per the proposals in the approved Mining Plan. During the inspection, it was observed that mining operations were not conducted in accordance with the systematic methods, benching, development, and extraction sequences specified in the approved plan. Such deviations may affect resource recovery, mine safety, and environmental management. The lessee is required to undertake corrective measures and ensure that future mining activities strictly follow the scientific mining practices outlined in the approved Mining Plan.
Exploitation - Opencast Mine: Number of pits / Blocks proposed for production	Pit ID : PP-2, PC-1	No amount of production has been done during the reporting year	yes	Rule 11(1),	During the reporting year, it was observed that no production of ROM/mineral has been carried out from the lease area. This indicates that mining operations were not conducted during the reporting period. The Annual Return submitted by the lessee corroborates the absence of any production. The reasons for non-production, if any, should be documented, and mining operations should be resumed in accordance with the approved Mining Plan.
Development - Opencast: Location of development w.r.t. lease area	Pit : PP-2 & Direction : E, Pit : PC-1 & Direction : E	no development work has been carried out	yes	Rule 11(1),	Violation has been pointed out due to non-performance of the proposed development work as per the approved Mining Plan. The absence of the planned development activities may affect systematic mine progression, resource extraction, and overall operational safety. The lessee is required to undertake the prescribed development work promptly and report compliance to avoid further deviations from the approved plan.
Exploration: Number of Core Boreholes	4 boreholes have been proposed with 80 m depth	No borehole has been done during the reporting year	yes	Rule 11(1),	During the inspection, it was observed that no boreholes have been drilled to upgrade the mineralized area to the G1 level of exploration, as stipulated in the approved Mining Plan. In the absence of systematic exploratory drilling, the area has not been proved to the required G1 category. Accordingly, this has been treated as a violation and has been pointed out for necessary compliance.

1) In this connection, it is brought to your notice that the above violations constitute an offence punishable under Rule 62 of Mineral Conservations and Development Rules, 2017. 2) The mining operations can be suspended under the rule 11(2) of MCDR-2017, if compliance of rule 11(1) of MCDR-2017 is not found satisfactory. 3) You are advised to rectify the above violations immediately and intimate the position to this office within 45 (Forty-Five) days from the date of issue of this letter.

Yours faithfully,

(NITIN SINGH, DEHRADUN)
JMG

Esigned by: NITIN
Date: 30/01/2026 10:30:51 AM