

भारत सरकार / GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
खान मंत्रालय / MINISTRY OF MINES
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो / INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES
क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक कार्यालय / OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL CONTROLLER OF MINES,
DEHRADUN

File No.: MCDR-MiFL0LST/6/2022-DDN-IBM_RO_DDN

Date : 30/01/2026

To: Shri/M/s. Mr MANOJ GUPTA,
 Nominated Owner, Gupta Associates, MAIN
 BAZAR ,V.P.O. DADHAU, DADHAU,
 Mandoli (149) Village & Mandal, Sirmaur
 district, Himachal Pradesh,- 173022

Subject: Violation of provision of Mineral Conservation and Development Rules, 2017 in respect of your mine HIMALAYA (38HPR10019) over an extent of 15.55 ha in Mandoli (149) in , Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh

Sir, The above mine was inspected on 17.12.2025 by the undersigned in the presence of Shri Ravi Bhusan Singh, Mine Manager and during the inspection, the following provisions of the Mineral Conservation and Development Rules, 2017 were found to be violated.

Summary of Violations :

Items for Reporting	As Per Plan	Actual	Deviation	Rule	Reason
Exploration: Number of Core Boreholes	7 boreholes have been proposed for the reporting year	4 boreholes have been done	3	Rule 11(1),	As per the approved Mining Plan, a proposal was made for drilling 7 boreholes during the reporting year. However, only 3 boreholes were actually drilled during the said period. Thus, the exploration work has not been carried out as per the approved proposals. Accordingly, a violation of Rule 11(1) is being pointed out for non-compliance with the approved Mining Plan. The lessee is required to complete the remaining boreholes and submit updated exploration details to ensure compliance.
Development - Opencast: Location of development w.r.t. lease area	Pit : Pit-I & Direction : SW-NE, Pit : Pit-I & Direction : SW-NE, Pit : Pit-I & Direction : E-W, Pit : Pit-II & Direction : SW-NE, Pit : Pit-II &	development has not been done as per the proposal	yes	Rule 11(1),	As per the approved Mining Plan, development work was proposed to be carried out in two pits during the reporting year. However, during the inspection the development work has been seen in one pit. Accordingly, a violation of Rule 11(1) is being pointed out for non-compliance with the approved Mining Plan.

	Direction : N-E				
Progressive Mine Closure Plan: Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling/Other means Total area and numbers of plantation proposed.	Plantation : Location : Safety Barrier Zone, Area : 0.20, No. of Plant : 200 Plantation : Location : Safety Barrier Zone, Area : 0.20, No. of Plant : 200 Pit ID : Along Boundary Pillar ED, Area : 398.00	new plantation has not been seen over the backfilled area as proposed	yes	Rule 11(1),	200 plantation have been proposed in the approved mining plan however zero plantation has been seen during the inspection therefore violation of rule 11(1) is being pointed out for not carrying out PMCP work.
Annual Return: Whether incomplete or false or wrong returns submitted (Rule 45(7))	proposal for not given incomplete or wrong information in annual return	Backfilling for the reporting year was proposed, and backfilling activity was observed during the inspection; however, details of the backfilling have not been mentioned in the annual return.	yes	Rule 45(7), <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; width: fit-content; margin: auto;">Esigned by: NITIN Date: 08/02/2026 01:06:54 PM</div>	violation is being pointed out for not carrying out PMCP work as per the proposal given for the year 2024-25

1) In this connection, it is brought to your notice that the above violations constitute an offence punishable under Rule 62 of Mineral Conservations and Development Rules, 2017. 2) The mining operations can be suspended under the rule 11(2) of MCDR-2017, if compliance of rule 11(1) of MCDR-2017 is not found satisfactory. 3) You are advised to rectify the above violations immediately and intimate the position to this office within 45 (Forty-Five) days from the date of issue of this letter.

Yours faithfully,

(NITIN SINGH, DEHRADUN)
JMG