

INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES

--:-- Inspection Report --:--

Part-I : General Information

1.1.1 Mine Details

Name of the Mine :	38HPR10079	Lease Area (Ha) :	5.61
Forest Area (Ha) :	N/A	Mine Code :	38HPR10079
Registration Number :	IBM/22025/2017	Name of lessee :	JANKI MINES & MINERALS
Address of lessee :	Village : Kamroo ,Post : Trilor Dhar, Ponta Sahib	Phone of lessee :	-
Email of lessee :	Jankiminesnminerals@gmail.com	Fax Number :	N/A
Village :	Kamrou (24)	Taluka / Mandal :	Kamrau
District :	Sirmaur	Pincode :	173029
State :	Himachal Pradesh	Post Office :	N/A
Nearest Police Station :	N/A	Nearest Railway Station :	N/A
Date of Grant of Mining Lease :	2018-02-12	Date of Mining Lease Execution :	2002-09-11
Date of opening in the mine :	Nilsss		

Nominated Owner Details			
Name of the Nominated Owner :	Mr Deepak Bhatnagar	Nominated Owner Address:	2/385 Sunder Bagh Nahan, Distt Sirmor HP
Nominated Owner Mobile :	9418104960	Nominated Owner Email :	Jankiminesnminerals@gmail.com
Nominated Owner Fax Number :	Nil	Date of Nominated Owner Appointment :	2017-01-21
Mining Engineer Details			
Name of the Mining Engineer :	Shri K Mishra	Qualification of Mining Engineer :	BE Mining Engineering
Address of Mining Engineer :	Village & Post-Sataun, Tehsil- Paonta Sahib District – Sirmour (H.P)-173029	Phone of Mining Engineer :	9816351878
Email of Mining Engineer :	Jankiminesnminerals@gmail.com	Fax Number of Mining Engineer :	N/A
Date of Mining Engineer Appointment :	2022-04-01		
Geologist Details			
Name of the Geologist :	Ritu Aggarwal	Qualification of Geologist :	MSC Geology
Address of Geologist :	Village & Post-Sataun, Tehsil- Paonta Sahib District – Sirmour (H.P)-173029	Phone of Geologist :	9418104960
Email of Geologist :	Jankiminesnminerals@gmail.com	Fax Number of Geologist :	N/A
Date of Geologist Appointment :	2025-04-01		
Mine Manager Details			
Name of the Mine Manager :	Shri K Mishra	Qualification of Mine Manager :	BE Mining Engineering
Address of Mine Manger :	Village & Post-Sataun, Tehsil- Paonta Sahib District – Sirmour (H.P)-173029	Phone of Mine Manger :	9816351878

Email of Mine Manger :	Jankiminesnminerals@gmail.com	Fax Number of Mine Manger :	N/A
Date of Mine Manger Appointment :	2022-04-01		
Mineral(s) granted in lease and proved for mining :	LIMESTONE	Date of Approval of Mining Plan / Modified Mining Plan / ROMP with five-year period :	2023-03-17 (2022 - 2026)
Method of mining :	Open Cast	Category :	OTHER_THAN_MECHANISED
Nature of Use :	Non Captive		

Part-II : Other Information

2.1.1 : Inspection Details

Inspecting Officer :	Tamal Sur
Designation :	Assistant Mining Geologist
Name of the Accompanying Official from Mine :	Shri Kedareshwar Mishra
Designation of the Accompanying Official :	Mine Manager and Mining Engineer
Type of Inspection :	MCDR,STR
Date of Inspection :	09/01/2026
Review year considered for MCDR Inspection :	2024-2025

Final Submitted

2.2.1 : Exploration Details

S.N	Items for Reporting	As Per Approved Mining Plan	Actual	Deviation	Violation under Rule
1	Number of Core Boreholes	No core boreholes proposed for the reporting year.	Not done	Nil	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
2	Number of DTH Boreholes	No DTH boreholes proposed for the reporting year.	Not done	Nil	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
3	Number of exploratory pits / trial pits	Not proposed	Not done	Nil	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
4	Number of exploratory Trenches	Not proposed	Not done	Nil	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
5	Lateral exploration status for the lease area - G1	2.848 Ha	2.848 Ha	Nil	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					

6	Lateral exploration status for the lease area-G2	1.022 Ha	1.022 Ha	Nil	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
7	Lateral exploration status for the lease area-G3	1.74 Ha	1.74 Ha	Nil	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
8	Lateral exploration status for the lease area-G4	Nil	Nil	0.0000	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
9	UNFC category wise reported Resources/Reserves as per MP/MMP ROMP Total Reserves (111, 121 & 122) and Total Mineral Resources (331, 332 & 333 upto 334)	As on 01.04.2024 as per latest approved mining plan-Total Reserve (111, 121 & 122)- 335,131.8 tonnes. Remaining Resources (331, 332 & 333 upto 334)- 169,250 tonnes.	As on 01.04.2024 as per latest approved mining plan-Total Reserve (111, 121 & 122)- 335,131.8 tonnes, Depletion of reserves during reporting year- 19,610.10 tonnes. Remaining reserve (as on 31.3.2025)- 315,521.70 tonnes. Remaining Resources (331, 332 & 333 upto 334)- 169,250 tonnes.	Nil	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					

2.3.1 : Development - Opencast Details

S.N	Items for Reporting	As Per Approved Mining Plan	Actual	Deviation	Violation under Rule
1	Location of development w.r.t. lease area	Pit : 3 & Direction : North West	Development not done as per proposal. Development is done in the southern part of the lease area close to Pit-2.	Significant deviation.	Rule 11(1)
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
2	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	90 m3 (225 tonne)	No overburden produced as per annual report of the reporting year.	No overburden produced as per annual return.	Rule 11(1)
Remark: No overburden produced as per annual return. A clarification is required. Remark File: NIL					
3	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	0.00	No topsoil produced	0	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
4	Stripping ratio : ore to OB ratio	1:0.1	1:0.0	Yes	No Violation
Remark: A violation for the same has been pointed out previously. Remark File: NIL					

2.4.1 Exploitation - Opencast Mine Details

S.N	Items for Reporting	As Per Approved Mining Plan	Actual	Deviation	Violation under Rule

1	Number of pits / Blocks proposed for production	Pit ID : Pit-3	Production not done from Pit-3. It is done from area close to Pit-2	Yes	Rule 11(1)
Remark: Production not done from proposed pit area. Remark File: NIL					
2	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed (in T)	22515.00	19610.00	2905.000	No Violation
Remark: ROM production reached 87% Remark File: NIL					
3	Recovery of salable/usable mineral quantity from ROM production in T)	20263.50	19610.00	653.500 No waste or mineral reject is being generated as per annual return. Entire ROM is salable.	No Violation
Remark: No waste or mineral reject is being generated as per annual return. Entire ROM is salable. Remark File: NIL					
4	Quantity of mineral reject/Sub-grade generation	2089.50	0	2089.500 No mineral reject is being generated as per annual return.	Rule 11(1)
Remark: No mineral reject is being generated as per annual return. Remark File: NIL					
5	Grade of mineral reject/sub-grade generation as per threshold value declared	Not Proposed	Not applicable	0	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
6	Manual/Mechanised method adopted for segregating subgrade/mineral rejects from ROM	Mechanised method adopted for segregating mineral rejects from ROM.	Segregation done as per proposal.	0	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
7	Whether height of benches in	Opencast method of mining proposed with bench height 5m and bench width 5m proposed.	Opencast method of mining proposed but proper bench development not done. Proposal not	Yes.	Rule 11(1)

	Overburden & mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in mining plan		followed for development.		
Remark: NIL Remark File: 17685434216969d4bde7e22Bench.pdf					
8	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed & carried out for sub-grade mineral and reject	Not Proposed	Not applicable	0	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					

2.4.3 : Exploitation - Dump Working Details

S.N	Items for Reporting	As Per Approved Mining Plan	Actual	Deviation	Violation under Rule
1	Number of dumps proposed for production	1 new dump proposed for mineral rejects for reporting year.	No mineral reject is generated as per annual return.	Yes. Violation for the same has already been pointed out previously.	No Violation
Remark: Violation for the same has already been pointed out previously. Remark File: NIL					
2	Quantity of dump material handling Proposed (in Tonne)	225 tonnes proposed	0 tonnes generated as per annual returns	Yes. Please clarify why no waste is being generated although it was anticipated to be generated.	Rule 11(1)
Remark: Please clarify why no waste is being generated although it was anticipated to be generated. Remark File: NIL					

3	Recovery of salable/usable mineral from dump production (in Tonne)	No Recovery of salable/usable mineral from dump production is proposed	Not done	0	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
4	Production from dump(s) during the year	0.00 proposed	Not applicable	0	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
5	Quantity of mineral reject generation	2251.5 tonne	0 tonne as per annual return for the reporting year	2251.5. Please clarify why no mineral reject is being generated although it was anticipated to be generated.	Rule 11(1)
Remark: Please clarify why no mineral reject is being generated although it was anticipated to be generated. Remark File: NIL					
6	Grade of mineral reject generation and threshold value declared	Not proposed in the approved mining plan.	Not applicable	0	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
7	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed & carried out for mineral reject	Not proposed in the approved mining plan.	Not applicable	0	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
8	Manual / Mechanized method adopted for dump working	Mechanised method adopted.	Mechanised method followed.	0	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					

Final Submitted

2.5.1 : OB/Waste Dumps Details

S.N	Items for Reporting	As Per Approved Mining Plan	Actual	Deviation	Violation under Rule
1	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB & mineral rejects, fines, tailings (Rule 36,37)	Separate dumping proposed. Dump Type : Mineral Reject, Dump ID : D1	No dumping is occurring as no waste/ reject is being generated.	0	No Violation
Remark: A violation for the same has been pointed out previously. Remark File: NIL					
2	Location of Topsoil, OB/Waste, Mineral Reject dumps, Tailings and Fines	Dump Type : Mineral Reject, Dump ID : D1, Latitude : 30:36:52.08 , 30:36:52.08, Longitude: 77:40:33.62 , 77:40:33.62	Location of OB/Waste, Mineral Reject dump has been seen which is in the Nort-western part of the lease area adjacent to boundary pillar-15	0	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
3	Number of active & Inative dumps	1 Active dump proposed	Dump is not active as no waste is being generated.	Yes. A violation for the same has been pointed out previously.	No Violation
Remark: A violation for the same has been pointed out previously. Remark File: NIL					
4	Number of dead dumps	0	0	0.000	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
5	Number of dumps proposed for stabilished	Not proposed	Not applicable	0	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable					

Remark File: NIL					
6	Stabilization Technique (Terracing, plantation, geo-textiles etc.)	Not proposed	Not applicable	0	No Violatio n
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					

2.6.1 : Solid Waste Management - Backfilling (Opencast) Details

S.N	Items for Reporting	As Per Approved Mining Plan	Actual	Deviation	Violatio n under Rule
1	Status on part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling	Not proposed	Not applicable	0	No Violatio n
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
2	Year-wise area under backfilling of mined out area	Area Proposed : 0.00	Backfilling not done.	0	No Violatio n
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
3	Year-wise topsoil generation, Utilization and Stored proposal (in m3)	Generated :0.00 Utilized :0.00 Stored :0.00	Not proposed and not done.	0	No Violatio n
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					

4	Total area fully reclaimed & rehabilitated	Not proposed	Not done	0	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
5	Locations of proposed reclamation & rehabilitated	No Reclamation & rehabilitated proposed in the approved mining plan.	Not done	Nil	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					

2.7.1 : Progressive Mine Closure Plan (PMCP) Details

S.N	Items for Reporting	As Per Approved Mining Plan	Actual	Deviation	Violation under Rule
1	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling/Other means Total area and numbers of plantation proposed.	No reclamation & rehabilitated proposed in the approved mining plan. Plantation : Location :Safety Zone BP08 to BP10, Area : 0.056 Ha, No. of Plant : 30 Plantation : Location :Safety Zone BP06 to BP08, Area : 0.056, No. of Plant : 30. Plant survival rate is 80%	Plantation proposal has been carried out broadly. But the survival rate of the plants are poor. Most of the plants have died.	Yes. Survival rate is around 30%	Rule 11(1)
Remark: Survival rate is around 30% Remark File: NIL					
2	Rehabilitation by making water reservoir	No reservoir proposed	Not Done	0	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable					

Remark File: NIL					
3	Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease i) Afforestation ii) Area rehabilitated (ha) iii) Method of rehabilitation	No reclamation & rehabilitated proposed in the approved mining plan. Plantation : Location :Safety Zone BP08 to BP10, Area : 0.056 Ha, No. of Plant : 30 Plantation : Location :Safety Zone BP06 to BP08, Area : 0.056, No. of Plant : 30. Plant survival rate is 80%	Plantation proposal has been carried out broadly. But the survival rate of the plants are poor. Most of the plants have died.	Yes. Survival rate is around 30%	No Violation
Remark: A violation for the same has been pointed out previously. Remark File: NIL					
4	Compliance of Environmental monitoring (core zone & buffer zone)	Environmental monitoring proposed	Environmental monitoring done	0	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					

2.8.1 : Mineral Conservation Details

S.N	Items for Reporting	As Per Approved Mining Plan	Actual	Deviation	Violation under Rule
1	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	Not proposed	Not applicable	0	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
2	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines	Not proposed	Not applicable	0	No Violation

Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
3	Any beneficiation process at mines	Not proposed	Not applicable	0	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
5	Utilization of Mineral Rejects or Sub-grade (Blending at ROM stage, Blending after Processing etc.)	Reject generation has been proposed. Rejects are proposed to be powdered and used in road constructions as per approved mining plan.	No reject is being generated as per annual return for the reporting year.	Yes. A violation for the same has been pointed out previously.	No Violation
Remark: NIL Remark File: NIL					

2.9.1 : Environment Details

S.N	Items for Reporting	As Per Approved Mining Plan	Actual	Deviation	Violation under Rule
1	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil	Not Proposed	Not applicable	0	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
2	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	Reject generation has been proposed. Rejects are proposed to be powdered and used in road constructions as per approved mining plan.	Not applicable	0	No Violation

Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
3	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	Not Proposed	Not applicable	0	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
4	Plantation & Survival rate	Plantation : 30, Survival Rate : 80%	Plantation : 15, Survival Rate : 30%	0	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
5	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	Not Proposed	Not applicable	0	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					

2.10 : Annual Return Details

S.N	Items for Reporting	As Per Approved Mining Plan	Actual	Deviation	Violation under Rule
1	Submission of Online Monthly and Annual returns in prescribed period or not	Furnished	Annual returns submitted on 30.06.2025 Last Monthly return for the month of December submitted on 07.01.2026	0	No Violation

Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
2	Appointment of Mining Engineer & Geologist as per provisions of Rule 55 of MCDR,2017	Geologist : Arun Dhiman & Date of Appointment : 2022-01-31	Geologist or mining engineer was not present during the inspection.	100%	Rule 55(1)
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
3	Whether incomplete or false or wrong returns submitted (Rule 45(7))	Returns Furnished	Returns submitted are found to be in order after scrutiny.	0	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
4	Status of Approval of FMPCP u/r 24, 25 of MCDR,2017	Not approved yet. Mine is still active.	Not approved yet. Mine is still active.	0	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
5	Notices and Returns for the lease area submitted or not where fine applicable	Furnished	Furnished	0	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
6	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price & production cost	Furnished	Return Scrutinized for Ex. Mine price & Production Cost. Cost of production per tonne is R.s. 439.91/-. Sale Value is- R.s. 439.4/- for Cement grade limestone. Ex. Mine Price is same that is 439.4. total production for 2024-25 is- 19610.1 tonnes	No discrepancy observed	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					

Final Submitted

2.11 : SDF Details

S.N	Items for Reporting	As Per Approved Mining Plan	Actual	Deviation	Violation under Rule
1	Start Ratings accorded for mine during last 3 years	Year of Submission : 2023-2024 , Rating : 3 Stars	2024-25- 3 Stars 2023-24- 3 Stars 2022-23- 1 Stars	Not applicable	No Violation
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					

Part-III : Other Reports**3.1.1 : Upload Other Reports**

S.N.	Reports File	Remark File Description
1	NIL	Nil

Final Submitted

Part-IV : Summary Details

4.1 : Summary of Violations

S.N	Items for Reporting	As Per Approved Mining Plan	Actual	Deviation	Violation under Rule
1	Development - Opencast : Location of development w.r.t. lease area	Pit : 3 & Direction : North West	Development not done as per proposal. Development is done in the southern part of the lease area close to Pit-2.	Significant deviation.	Rule 11(1)
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					
2	Development - Opencast : Quantity of overburden generation in m3	90 m3 (225 tonne)	No overburden produced as per annual report of the reporting year.	No overburden produced as per annual return.	Rule 11(1)
Remark: No overburden produced as per annual return. A clarification is required. Remark File: NIL					
3	Exploitation - Opencast Mine : Number of pits / Blocks proposed for production	Pit ID : Pit-3	Production not done from Pit-3. It is done from area close to Pit-2	Yes	Rule 11(1)
Remark: Production not done from proposed pit area. Remark File: NIL					
4	Exploitation - Opencast Mine : Quantity of mineral reject/Sub-grade generation	2089.50	0	2089.500 No mineral reject is being generated as per annual return.	Rule 11(1)
Remark: No mineral reject is being generated as per annual return. Remark File: NIL					
5	Exploitation - Opencast Mine : Whether height of benches in	Opencast method of mining proposed with bench height 5m and bench width 5m proposed.	Opencast method of mining proposed but proper bench development not done. Proposal not followed for development.	Yes.	Rule 11(1)

	Overburden & mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in mining plan				
Remark: NIL Remark File: 17685434216969d4bde7e22Bench.pdf					
6	Exploitation - Dump Working : Quantity of dump material handling Proposed (in Tonne)	225 tonnes proposed	0 tonnes generated as per annual returns	Yes. Please clarify why no waste is being generated although it was anticipated to be generated.	Rule 11(1)
Remark: Please clarify why no waste is being generated although it was anticipated to be generated. Remark File: NIL					
7	Exploitation - Dump Working : Quantity of mineral reject generation	2251.5 tonne	0 tonne as per annual return for the reporting year	2251.5. Please clarify why no mineral reject is being generated although it was anticipated to be generated.	Rule 11(1)
Remark: Please clarify why no mineral reject is being generated although it was anticipated to be generated. Remark File: NIL					
8	Progressive Mine Closure Plan : Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling/Other means Total area and numbers of plantation proposed.	No reclamation & rehabilitated proposed in the approved mining plan. Plantation : Location : Safety Zone BP08 to BP10, Area : 0.056 Ha, No. of Plant : 30 Plantation : Location : Safety Zone BP06 to BP08, Area : 0.056, No. of Plant : 30. Plant survival rate is 80%	Plantation proposal has been carried out broadly. But the survival rate of the plants are poor. Most of the plants have died.	Yes. Survival rate is around 30%	Rule 11(1)
Remark: Survival rate is around 30% Remark File: NIL					
9	Annual Return : Appointment of Mining Engineer & Geologist as per provisions of Rule 55 of MCDR, 2017	Geologist : Arun Dhiman & Date of Appointment : 2022-01-31	Geologist or mining engineer was not present during the inspection.	100%	Rule 55(1)
Remark: Not applicable Remark File: NIL					

Final Submitted

Esigned by: Tamal Sur
Date: 16/01/2026 01:10:37 PM